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## BEARING CAPACITY OF SHALLOW FOUNDATIONS

### 3.1 MODES OF FAILURE

Failure is defined as mobilizing the full value of soil shear strength accompanied with excessive settlements. For shallow foundations it depends on soil type, particularly its compressibility, and type of loading. Modes of failure in soil at ultimate load are of three types; these are (see Fig. 1.5):
Mode of Failure Characteristics Typical Soils

## 1. General Shear failure



Load

2. local Shear failure (Transition)



- Well defined continuous slip surface up to ground level,
- Low compressibility soils
- Very dense sands,
- Heaving occurs on both sides with final collapse and tilting on one side,
- Failure is sudden and catastrophic,
- Ultimate value is peak value.
- Well defined slip surfaces only below the foundation, discontinuous either side,
- Large vertical displacements required before slip surfaces appear at ground level,
- Some heaving occurs on both sides with no tilting and no catastrophic failure,
- No peak value, ultimate value not defined.
- Well defined slip surfaces only below the foundation, non either side,
- Large vertical displacements produced by soil compressibility,
- No heaving, no tilting or catastrophic failure, no ultimate value.
- Saturated clays (NC and OC),
- Undrained shear (fast loading).
- Moderate compressibility soils
- Medium dense sands,

Fig. (3.1): Modes of failure.

## BEARI NG CAPACI TY EXAMPLES

Footings with inclined or eccentric loads

## Example (4): A square footing of $1.5 \times 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ is subjected to an inclined load as shown in figure

 below. What is the factor of safety against bearing capacity (use Terzaghi's equation).
## Solution:



By Terzaghi's equation: $\quad q_{u l t .}=c N_{c} \cdot S_{c}+q N_{q}+\frac{1}{2} \cdot B \cdot \gamma \cdot N \gamma \cdot S_{\gamma}$
Shape factors: from table (3.2) for square footing $S_{c}=1.3 ; S \gamma=0.8, c=q_{u} / 2=80 \mathrm{kPa}$
Bearing capacity factors: from table (3.3) for $\phi_{u}=0: N_{c}=5.7, . . N_{q}=1.0, . . N_{\gamma}=0$
$q_{\text {ult. }(\text { vertical.load })}=80(5.7)(1.3)+20(1.5)(1.0)+0.5(1.5)(20)(0)(0.8)=622.8 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
From Fig.(3.7) with $\alpha=30^{\circ}$ and cohesive soil, the reduction factor for inclined load is 0.42 .
$q_{u l t .(\text { inclined } . l o a d)}=622.8(0.42)=261.576 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
$Q_{v}=Q \cdot \cos 30=180(0.866)=155.88 \mathrm{kN}$
Factor of safety (against bearing capacity failure) $=\frac{Q_{u l t .}}{Q_{v}}=\frac{261.576(1.5)(1.5)}{155.88}=3.77$

## Check for sliding:

$Q_{h}=Q \cdot \sin 30=180(0.5)=90 \mathrm{kN}$
$H_{\text {max. }}=A_{f}^{\prime} . C_{a}+\sigma^{\prime} \tan \delta=(1.5)(1.5)(80)+(180)(\cos 30)(\tan 0)=180 \mathrm{kN}$
Factor of safety (against sliding) $=\frac{H_{\max }}{Q_{h}}=\frac{180}{90}=2.0$

- Note: Due to scale effects, $\mathrm{N}_{\gamma}$ and then the ultimate bearing capacity decreases with increase in size of foundation. Therefore, Bowle's (1996) suggested that for ( $\mathbf{B}>\mathbf{2 m}$ ), with any bearing capacity equation of Table (3.2), the term ( $0.5 \mathrm{~B} \gamma \cdot \mathrm{~N}_{\gamma} \mathrm{S}_{\gamma} \mathrm{d}_{\gamma}$ ) must be multiplied by a reduction factor: $\mathrm{r}_{\gamma}=1-0.25 \log \left(\frac{\mathrm{~B}}{2}\right) \quad$;i.e., $0.5 \mathrm{~B} \gamma . \mathrm{N}_{\gamma} \mathrm{S}_{\gamma} \mathrm{d}_{\gamma} \mathrm{r}_{\gamma}$

| $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{m})$ | 2 | 2.5 | 3 | 3.5 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{r}_{\gamma}$ | 1 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.90 | 0.82 | 0.75 | 0.57 |

Table (3.3): Bearing capacity factors for Terzaghi's equation.

| $\phi, . . \operatorname{deg}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q}}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\gamma}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{P} \gamma}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $5.7^{+}$ | 1.0 | 0.0 | 10.8 |
| 5 | 7.3 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 12.2 |
| 10 | 9.6 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 14.7 |
| 15 | 12.9 | 4.4 | 2.5 | 18.6 |
| 20 | 17.7 | 7.4 | 5.0 | 25.0 |
| 25 | 25.1 | 12.7 | 9.7 | 35.0 |
| 30 | 37.2 | 22.5 | 19.7 | 52.0 |
| 34 | 52.6 | 36.5 | 36.0 |  |
| 35 | 57.8 | 41.4 | 42.4 | 82.0 |
| 40 | 95.7 | 81.3 | 100.4 | 141.0 |
| 45 | 172.3 | 173.3 | 297.5 | 298.0 |
| 48 | 258.3 | 287.9 | 780.1 |  |
| 50 | 347.5 | 415.1 | 1153.2 | 800.0 |

${ }^{+}=1.5 \pi+1$

Table (3.4): Shape, depth and inclination factors for Meyerhof's equation.

| For | Shape Factors | Depth Factors | Inclination Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Any $\phi$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c}}=1+0.2 . \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{P}} \frac{\mathrm{B}}{\mathrm{L}}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{c}}=1+0.2 \sqrt{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{P}}} \frac{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{f}}}{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{q}}=\left(1-\frac{\alpha^{\circ}}{90^{\circ}}\right)^{2}$ |
| $\phi \geq 10^{\circ}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{S}_{\gamma}=1+0.1 . \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{P}} \frac{\mathrm{B}}{\mathrm{L}}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{d}_{\gamma}=1+0.1 \sqrt{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{P}}} \frac{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{f}}}{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\mathrm{i}_{\gamma}=\left(1-\frac{\alpha^{\circ}}{\phi^{\circ}}\right)^{2}$ |
| $\phi=0$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{S}_{\gamma}=1.0$ | $\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{d}_{\gamma}=1.0$ | $\mathrm{i}_{\gamma}=0$ |
| Where:$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{P}}=\tan ^{2}(45+\phi / 2)$ <br> $\alpha=$ angle of resultant measured from vertical without a sign. $^{\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{f}}=\text { width, length, and depth of footing. }}$ <br> Note:- When $\phi_{\text {triaxial }}$ is used for plan strain, adjust $\phi$ as: $\phi_{\mathrm{Ps}}=\left(1.1-0.1 \frac{\mathrm{~B}}{\mathrm{~L}}\right) \phi_{\text {triaxial }}$ |  |  |  |

### 3.2 BEARING CAPACITY CLASSIFICATION (According to column loads)

- Gross Bearing Capacity ( $\mathrm{q}_{\text {gross }}$ ): It is the total unit pressure at the base of footing which the soil can take up.

$\mathrm{q}_{\text {gross }}=$ total pressure at the base of footing $=\sum P_{\text {footing }} /$ area.of.footing.
where $\sum P_{\text {footing }}=p .($ column.load $)+$ own wt. of footing + own wt. of earth fill over the footing.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{q}_{\text {gross }}=\left(\mathrm{P}+\gamma_{\mathrm{s}} \cdot \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{o}} \cdot \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{L}+\gamma_{\mathrm{c}} \cdot \mathrm{t} . \mathrm{B} . \mathrm{L}\right) / \mathrm{B} . \mathrm{L} \\
& \mathrm{q}_{\text {gross }}=\frac{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{L}}+\gamma_{\mathrm{s}} \cdot \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{o}}+\gamma_{\mathrm{c}} . \mathrm{t} \ldots \ldots \ldots . . \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

- Ultimate Bearing Capacity ( $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{ult}}$ ): It is the maximum unit pressure or the maximum gross pressure that a soil can stand without shear failure.
- Allowable Bearing Capacity ( $\mathrm{q}_{\text {all }}$ ): It is the ultimate bearing capacity divided by a reasonable factor of safety.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{q}_{\text {all. }}=\frac{\mathrm{q}_{\text {ult. }}}{\mathrm{F} . \mathrm{S}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Net Ultimate Bearing Capacity: It is the ultimate bearing capacity minus the vertical pressure that is produced on horizontal plain at level of the base of the foundation by an adjacent surcharge.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{q}_{\text {ult.-net }}=\mathrm{q}_{\text {ult. }}-\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{f}} \cdot \gamma . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.7 WHICH EQUATIONS TO USE?

Of the bearing capacity equations previously discussed, the most widely used equations are Meyerhof's and Hansen's. While Vesic's equation has not been much used (but is the suggested method in the American Petroleum Institute, RP2A Manual, 1984).

Table (3.6) : Which equations to use.

| Use | Best for |
| :---: | :--- |
| Terzaghi | - Very cohesive soils where $D / B \leq 1$ or for a quick estimate of |
|  | $q_{\text {ult. }}$ to compare with other methods, |
|  | - Somewhat simpler than Meyerhof's, Hansen's or Vesic's |
|  | equations; which need to compute the shape, depth, inclination, |
|  | base and ground factors, |
|  | - Suitable for a concentrically loaded horizontal footing, |
|  | - Not applicable for columns with moment or tilted forces, |
|  | - More conservative than other methods. |
| Meyerhof, Hansen, Vesic | - Any situation which applies depending on user preference with a |
|  | particular method. |
| Hansen, Vesic | - When base is tilted; when footing is on a slope or when $D / B>1$. |

### 3.8 EFFECT OF SOIL COMPRESSIBILITY (local shear failure)

1. For clays sheared in drained conditions, Terzaghi (1943) suggested that the shear strength parameters $c$ and $\phi$ should be reduced as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{*}=0.67 c^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \quad \phi^{*}=\tan ^{-1}\left(0.67 \tan \phi^{\prime}\right) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. For loose and medium dense sands (when $D_{r} \leq 0.67$ ), Vesic (1975) proposed:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{*}=\tan ^{-1}\left(0.67+D_{r}-0.75 D_{r}^{2}\right) \tan \phi^{\prime} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{r}$ is the relative density of the sand, recorded as a fraction.
Note: For dense sands ( $D_{r}>0.67$ ) the strength parameters need not be reduced, since the general shear mode of failure is likely to apply.

- Net Allowable Bearing Capacity ( $q_{\text {all.-net }}$ ): It is the net safe bearing capacity or the ultimate bearing capacity divided by a reasonable factor of safety.
Approximate: $\quad \mathrm{q}_{\text {all. -net }}=\frac{\mathrm{q}_{\text {ult. }} \text { net }}{\text { F.S }}=\frac{\mathrm{q}_{\text {ult. }}-\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{f}} \cdot \gamma}{\text { F.S }} \ldots$
Exact:

$$
\mathrm{q}_{\text {all. } . \text { net }}=\frac{\mathrm{q}_{\text {ult. }}}{\text { F.S }}-\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{f}} \cdot \gamma .
$$

### 3.3 FACTOR OF SAFETY IN DESIGN OF FOUNDATION

The general values of safety factor used in design of footings are 2.5 to 3.0 , however, the choice of factor of safety (F.S.) depends on many factors such as:

1. the variation of shear strength of soil,
2. magnitude of damages,
3. reliability of soil data such as uncertainties in predicting the $q_{\text {ult. }}$,
4. changes in soil properties due to construction operations,
5. relative cost of increasing or decreasing F.S., and
6. the importance of the structure, differential settlements and soil strata underneath the structure.

### 3.4 BEARING CAPACITY REQUIREMENTS

Three requirements must be satisfied in determining bearing capacity of soil. These are:
(1) Adequate depth; the foundation must be deep enough with respect to environmental effects; such as: frost penetration, seasonal volume changes in the soil, to exclude the possibility of erosion and undermining of the supporting soil by water and wind currents, and to minimize the possibility of damage by construction operations,

Table (3.1): Bearing capacity values according to building codes.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Soil type \& Description \& Bearin \& pressure
\[
\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)
\] \& Notes \\
\hline Rocks \& \begin{tabular}{l}
1. bed rocks. \\
2. sedimentary layer rock (hard shale, sand stone, siltstone). \\
3. shest or erdwas. \\
4. soft rocks.
\end{tabular} \& \& 0
30

20
3 \& Unless they are affected by water. <br>

\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Cohesionless soil} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{| 1. well compacted sand or sand mixed with gravel. |
| :--- |
| 2. sand, loose and well graded or loose mixed sand and gravel. |
| 3. compacted sand, well graded. |
| 4. well graded loose sand. |} \& Dry \& submerged \& <br>

\hline \& \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 3.5-5.0 \\
& 1.5-3.0 \\
& 1.5-2.0 \\
& 0.5-1.5
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
1.75-2.5 \\
0.5-1.5 \\
0.5-1.5 \\
0.25-0.5
\end{gathered}
$$
\] \& Footing width 1.0 ms . <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{7}{*}{Cohesive soil} \& 1. very stiff clay \& \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{2-4
$1-2$}} \& \multirow{7}{*}{It is subjected to settlement due to consolidation} <br>
\hline \& 2. stiff clay \& \& \& <br>

\hline \& 3. medium-stiff clay \& \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{$$
\begin{gathered}
0.5-1 \\
0.25-0.5
\end{gathered}
$$}} \& <br>

\hline \& 4. low stiff clay \& $$
0.25-0.5
$$ \& \& <br>

\hline \& 5. soft clay \& \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{up to 0.2} \& <br>

\hline \& 6. very soft clay \& \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{$$
0.1-0.2
$$} \& <br>

\hline \& 7. silt soil \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

## (b) Field Load Test

This test is fully explained in (chapter 2).

## (c) Bearing Capacity Equations

Several bearing capacity equations were developed for the case of general shear failure by many researchers as presented in Table (3.2); see Tables (3.3, 3.4 and 3.5) for related factors.

Table (3.2): Bearing capacity equations by the several authors indicated.

- Terzaghi (see Table 3.3 for typical values for $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{P} \gamma}$ values)

$$
\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{ult} .}=\mathrm{cN}_{\mathrm{c}} \cdot \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{c}}+\overline{\mathrm{qN}}_{\mathrm{q}}+0.5 \cdot \mathrm{~B} \cdot \gamma \cdot \mathrm{~N} \gamma \cdot \mathrm{~S}_{\gamma}
$$

$$
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q}}=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{2\left[0.75 \pi \cdot-\frac{\phi}{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{180}\right)\right] \cdot \tan \phi}}{2 \cos ^{2}(45+\phi / 2)} ; \quad \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}=\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q}}-1\right) \cdot \cot \phi ; \quad \mathrm{N}_{\gamma}=\frac{\tan \phi}{2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{P} \gamma}}{\cos ^{2} \phi}-1\right)
$$

where a close approximation of $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{P} \gamma} \approx 3 \cdot \tan ^{2}\left(45+\frac{(\phi+33)}{2}\right)$.

|  | Strip | circular | square | rectangular |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 | $(1+0.3 \mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{L})$ |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\gamma}=$ | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.8 | $(1-0.2 \mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{L})$ |

- Meyerhof (see Table 3.4 for shape, depth, and inclination factors)

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { Vertical load: } & \mathrm{q}_{\text {ult. }}=\mathrm{c} \cdot \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}} \cdot \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{c}} \cdot \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{c}}+\mathrm{q} \cdot \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{q}} \cdot \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{q}} \cdot \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{q}}+0.5 \cdot \mathrm{~B} \cdot \gamma \cdot \mathrm{~N}_{\gamma} \cdot \mathrm{S}_{\gamma} \cdot \mathrm{d}_{\gamma} \\
\text { Inclined load: } \quad \mathrm{q}_{\text {ult. }}=\mathrm{c} \cdot \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}} \cdot \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{c}} \cdot \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{c}}+\mathrm{q} \cdot \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{q}} \cdot \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{q}} \cdot \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{q}}+0 \cdot 5 \cdot \mathrm{~B} \cdot \gamma \cdot \mathrm{~N}_{\gamma} \cdot \mathrm{d}_{\gamma} \cdot \mathrm{i}_{\gamma}
\end{array} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{e}^{\pi \cdot \tan \phi} \tan ^{2}(45+\phi / 2) ; \quad \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}=\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q}}-1\right) \cdot \cot \phi ; \quad \mathrm{N}_{\gamma}=\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q}}-1\right) \cdot \tan (1 \cdot 4 \phi)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Hansen (see Table 3.5 for shape, depth, and inclination factors)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { For.. } \phi>0: \quad \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{ult.}}=\mathrm{cN}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{c}}} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{c}}+\mathrm{qN}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{q}}+0.5 \cdot \mathrm{~B} \cdot \gamma \cdot \mathrm{~N}_{\gamma} \mathrm{S}_{\gamma} \mathrm{d}_{\gamma} \mathrm{i}_{\gamma} \mathrm{g}_{\gamma} \mathrm{b}_{\gamma} \\
& \text { For.. } \phi=0: \quad \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{ult} .}=5.14 \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{u}}\left(1+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}-\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}-\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}-\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}\right)+\overline{\mathrm{q}} \\
\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{q}}= & \mathrm{e}^{\pi \cdot \tan \phi} \tan ^{2}(45+\phi / 2) ; \quad \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}=\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q}}-1\right) \cdot \cot \phi ; \quad \mathrm{N}_{\gamma}=1.5\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{q}}-1\right) \cdot \tan \phi
\end{aligned}
$$

- Vesic (see Table 3.5 for shape, depth, and inclination factors)


## Use Hansen's equations above

$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{e}^{\pi \cdot \tan \phi} \tan ^{2}(45+\phi / 2) ; \quad \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}=\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q}}-1\right) \cdot \cot \phi ; \quad \mathrm{N}_{\gamma}=2\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{q}}+1\right) \cdot \tan \phi$

- All the bearing capacity equations above are based on general shear failure in soil.
Table (3.5): Shape, depth, inclination, ground and base factors for use in Hansen or Wesic bearing capacity equations of Table [3.2). [1] Factors apply to either method unless subscripted with $\{\mathrm{H}\}$ or (1). (2) Use primed factors when $\phi=0$.

| Shape factors | Depth factors | Inclination factors | Ground Factors (Base on slope) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S}_{c}^{\prime}=0.2 \frac{\mathrm{~B}}{\mathrm{~L}} \\ & \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{c}}=1-\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{g}}}{\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{c}}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{~B}}{\mathrm{~L}} \\ & \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{c}}=1.0 \text { for strip } \\ & \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{q}}=1+\frac{\mathrm{B}}{\mathrm{~L}} \tan \phi \\ & \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{q}}=1-0.4 \frac{\mathrm{~B}}{\mathrm{~L}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & d_{c}^{*}=0.4 \mathrm{k} \\ & \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{c}}=1+0.4 \mathrm{k} \\ & \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{q}}=1+2 \tan \phi(1-\sin \phi)^{2} \mathrm{k} \\ & d_{f}=1.0 \text { for all } \phi \\ & \mathrm{k}=\frac{D_{\mathrm{f}}}{B} \text { for } \frac{D_{\mathrm{t}}}{B} \leq 1 \\ & \mathrm{k}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{D_{f}}{B}[\operatorname{rad}] \text { for } \frac{D_{f}}{B}>1 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\mathrm{g}_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{\beta^{=}}{147^{a}}$ <br> For Wesic use: $y_{7}=-2 \sin \beta$ for $\phi=0$ |
| Fibere <br>  <br> $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{f}}=$ Effetive boting mea $\mathrm{B}^{\prime} \mathrm{x} . \mathrm{L} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}$ <br> $\mathrm{C}_{1}=$ Adbesion whese $=$ cobesingor a reduced rabe <br> $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{f}}=$ Depth of foring (ised mith B and nor $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ ) <br> $\mathrm{H}=$ Hecionze rompoom of koal zith $\mathrm{H} \leq \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{f}+\mathrm{V}_{\text {tand }}$ <br> $\boldsymbol{r}=$ Iolal vertical hed on ofoting <br>  <br>  con scl <br>  <br> GENERAL MOTES <br> 1. Do motise $S_{i}$ in ombination arim $i_{1}$ <br> 2. Conse $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{L}}$ in combinacio aith $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{I}}$ <br> 3. For L B $\leq 2$ use $\phi_{\mathrm{t}}$ <br> For L B $>2$ dse $\phi_{p_{5}}=1.5 \phi_{t .}-17$ <br> For $\phi \leq 34^{a} \phi_{\mathrm{Ps}}=o_{\mathrm{tr}}$. |  |  | Base factors (Titited base) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{m}_{3}=\frac{2+\mathrm{B} \mathrm{L}}{1+\mathrm{B} L}$ for H parallel to B $m=m_{1}=\frac{2+L \cdot B}{1+L: B}$ for $H$ parallel to $L$ Note: $i_{q}, i_{>}>0$ |  |



Figure (3.7): Inclined load reduction factors.

## Important Notes:

- Remember that in this case, Meyerhof's bearing capacity equation for inclined load (from Table 3.2) can be used directly:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\text {ult. } \text { (inclined.load) }}=c N_{c} d_{c} i_{c}+\bar{q} N_{q} d_{q} i_{q}+0.5 \gamma^{\prime} . B_{1} N_{\gamma} d_{\gamma} i_{\gamma} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

- The footings stability with regard to the inclined load's horizontal component also must be checked by calculating the factor of safety against sliding as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F s_{(\text {slididing })}=\frac{H_{\max }}{H} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$H=$ the inclined load's horizontal component,
$H_{\text {max. }}=$ the. max imum.resisting.force $=A_{f}^{\prime} . C_{a}+\sigma^{\prime} \tan \delta \ldots$. for $(c-\phi)$ soils; or
$H_{\text {max. }}=A_{f}^{\prime} . C_{a} \ldots \ldots$. for the undrained case in clay $\left(\phi_{u}=0\right)$; or

## BEARI NG CAPACI TY EXAMPLES

Example (1): Determine the allowable bearing capacity of a strip footing shown below using Terzaghi and Hansen Equations if $\mathbf{c}=\mathbf{0}, \phi=30^{\circ}, D_{f}=\mathbf{1 . 0} \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{B}=\mathbf{1 . 0} \mathbf{m}, \gamma_{\text {soil }}=19$ $\mathbf{k N} / \mathbf{m}^{3}$, the water table is at ground surface, and $\mathrm{SF}=3$.

## Solution:

(a) By Terzaghi's equation:

$$
q_{u l t .}=c N_{c} \cdot S_{c}+q N_{q}+\frac{1}{2} \cdot B \cdot \gamma \cdot N \gamma \cdot S_{\gamma}
$$

Shape factors: from table (3.2), for strip footing $S_{c}=S \gamma=1.0$
Bearing capacity factors: from table (3.3), for $\phi=30^{\circ}, N_{q}=22.5, . . N_{\gamma}=19.7$
$q_{\text {ult. }}=0+1.0(19-9.81) 22.5+0.5 x 1(19-9.81) 19.7 x 1.0=297 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
$q_{\text {all. }}=297 / 3=99 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$

## (b) By Hansen's equation:

for. $. \phi>0$ :

$$
q_{u l t .}=c N_{c} S_{c} d_{c} i_{c} g_{c} b_{c}+q N_{q} S_{q} d_{q} i_{q} g_{q} b_{q}+0.5 \gamma \cdot B \cdot N_{\gamma} S_{\gamma} d_{\gamma} i_{\gamma} g_{\gamma} b_{\gamma}
$$

Since $c=0$, any factors with subscript $c$ do not need computing. Also, all $g_{i} .$. and... $b_{i}$ factors are 1.0; with these factors identified the Hansen's equation simplifies to:
$q_{u l t .}=\bar{q} N_{q} S_{q} d_{q}+0.5 \gamma^{\prime} \cdot B . N_{\gamma} S_{\gamma} d_{\gamma}$
From table (3.5): $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { for... } \phi \leq 34^{\circ} \text {..use.. } \phi_{p s}=\phi_{t r} \\ \text { for } L / B>2 \text { 2..use.. } \phi_{p s}=1.5 \phi_{t r}-17\end{array}, \quad \therefore\right.$ use. $\phi_{p s}=1.5 \phi_{t r}-17$

$$
\therefore u s e . \phi_{p s}=1.5 \phi_{t r}-17, \quad 1.5 \times 30-17=28^{\circ}
$$

Bearing capacity factors: from table (3.4), for $\phi=28^{\circ}, N_{q}=14.7, . . N_{\gamma}=10.9$
Shape factors: from table (3.5), $S_{\gamma}=S_{q}=1.0$,
Depth factors: from table (3.5),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{q}=1+2 \tan \phi(1-\sin \phi)^{2} \frac{D}{B}, \\
& d_{q}=1+2 . \tan 28(1-\sin 28)^{2} \frac{1}{1}=1.29, \quad \text { and } \quad d_{\gamma}=1.0 \\
& q_{\text {ult. }}=1.0(19-9.81) 14.7 \times 1.29+0.5 \times 1(19-9.81) 10.9 \times 1.0=224.355 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \\
& q_{\text {all. }}=224.355 / 3=74.785 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example (2): A footing load test produced the following data:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{f}=\mathbf{0 . 5 m}, \quad \mathbf{B}=\mathbf{0 . 5 m}, \quad \mathbf{L}=\mathbf{2 . 0 m}, \quad \gamma_{\text {soil }}^{\prime}=9.31 \mathbf{k N} / \mathbf{m}^{3}, \quad \phi_{t r}=42.5^{\circ}, \quad \mathbf{c}=\mathbf{0}, \\
& P_{\text {ult. }}(\text { measured })=1863 . \mathrm{kN}, q_{\text {ult. }}(\text { measured })=1863 / 0.5 x 2=1863 \mathbf{k N} / \mathbf{m}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Required: compute $q_{u l t}$ by Hansen's and Meyerhof's equations and compare computed with measured values.

## Solution:

(a) By Hansen's equation:

Since $c=0$, and all $g_{i} .$. and.. $b_{i}$ factors are 1.0; the Hansen's equation simplifies to:

$$
q_{u l t .}=\bar{q} N_{q} S_{q} d_{q}+0.5 \gamma^{\prime} . B . N_{\gamma} S_{\gamma} d_{\gamma}
$$

From table (3.5): $L / B=2 / 0.5=4>2 \quad \therefore . . u s e . . \phi_{p s}=1.5 \phi_{t r}-17$,
$1.5 \times 42.5-17=46.75^{\circ} \longrightarrow$ take $\ldots \phi=47^{\circ}$
Bearing capacity factors: from table (3.2)
$N_{q}=e^{\pi . \tan \phi} . . \tan ^{2}(45+\phi / 2), \quad N_{\gamma}=1.5\left(N_{q}-1\right) \tan \phi$
for $\phi=47^{\circ}: \quad N_{q}=187.2, \quad N_{\gamma}=299.5$

Shape factors: from table (3.5),

$$
S_{q}=1+\frac{B}{L} \tan \phi=1+\frac{0.5}{2.0} \tan 47=1.27, \quad S_{\gamma}=1-0.4 \frac{B}{L}=1-0.4 \frac{0.5}{2.0}=0.9
$$

Depth factors: from table (3.5),

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{q}= & 1+2 \tan \phi(1-\sin \phi)^{2} \frac{D}{B}, d_{q}=1+2 \tan 47(1-\sin 47)^{2} \frac{0.5}{0.5}=1.155, d_{\gamma}=1.0 \\
q_{\text {ult. }}= & 0.5(9.31) 187.2 \times 1.27 \times 1.155+0.5 \times 0.5(9.31) 299.5 \times 0.9 \times 1.0=1905.6 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \\
& \text { versus } 1863 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \text { measured. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## (b) By Meyerhof's equation:

From table (3.2) for vertical load with $c=0$ :

$$
q_{u l t .}=\bar{q} N_{q} S_{q} d_{q}+0.5 \gamma^{\prime} \cdot B \cdot N_{\gamma} S_{\gamma} d_{\gamma}
$$

From table (3.4): $\phi_{p s}=\left(1.1-0.1 \frac{B}{L}\right) \phi_{t r},\left(1.1-0.1 \frac{0.5}{2.0}\right) 42.5=45.7, \quad$ take... $\phi=46^{\circ}$
Bearing capacity factors: from table (3.2)

$$
N_{q}=e^{\pi \cdot \tan \phi} . . \tan ^{2}(45+\phi / 2), \quad N_{\gamma}=\left(N_{q}-1\right) \tan (1.4 \phi)
$$

for $\phi=46^{\circ}: \quad N_{q}=158.5, N_{\gamma}=328.7$
Shape factors: from table (3.4)

$$
K_{p}=\tan ^{2}(45+\phi / 2)=6.13, \quad S_{q}=S_{\gamma}=1+0.1 . K_{p} \frac{B}{L}=1+0.1(6.13) \frac{0.5}{2.0}=1.15
$$

Depth factors: from table (3.4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{K_{p}}=2.47, \quad d_{q}=d_{\gamma}=1+0.1 \cdot \sqrt{K_{p}} \frac{D}{B}=1+0.1(2.47) \frac{0.5}{0.5}=1.25 \\
& q_{u l t .}=0.5(9.31) 158.5 \times 1.15 \times 1.25+0.5 \times 0.5(9.31) 328.7 \times 1.15 \times 1.25=2160.4 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$ versus $1863 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ measured

$\therefore$ Both Hansen's and Meyerhof's eqs. give over-estimated $q_{\text {ult. }}$. compared with measured.

## Inclination factors from table (3.5):

$i_{q}=\left(1-\frac{0.5 H}{V+A_{f} \cdot \operatorname{c\cdot cot} \phi}\right)^{5}=\left(1-\frac{0.5 \times 200}{600+4 \times 25 \times \cot 25}\right)^{5}=0.52$,
$i_{c}=i_{q}-\frac{\left(1-i_{q}\right)}{\left(N_{q}-1\right)}=0.52-\frac{1-0.52}{10.7-1}=0.47$,
for. $. \eta>0: i_{\gamma}=\left(1-\frac{\left(0.7-\eta^{\circ} / 450\right) H}{V+A_{f} . \operatorname{c.cot} \phi}\right)^{5}=\left(1-\frac{(0.7-10 / 450) 200}{600+4 \times 25 \times \cot 25}\right)^{5}=0.40$
The base factors for. $\eta=10^{\circ}$ (0.175. .radians ) from table (3.5):
$b_{c}=1-\frac{\eta^{\circ}}{147^{\circ}}=1-\frac{10}{147}=0.93$,
$b_{q}=e^{(-2 \eta \tan \phi)}=e^{(-2(0.175) \tan 25)}=0.85, \quad b_{\gamma}=e^{(-2.7 \eta \tan \phi)}=e^{(-2.7(0.175) \tan 25)}=0.80$
$q_{\text {ult. }}=25(20.7)(1.06)(0.47)(0.93)+0.3(17.5)(10.7)(1.05)(0.52)(0.85)$

$$
+0.5(17.5)(2.0)(6.8)(1)(0.40)(0.80)=304 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}
$$

$q_{\text {all. }}=304 / 3=101.3 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
$P_{\text {all. }}=q_{\text {all. }} \cdot A_{f}=101.3(4)=405.2 \mathrm{kN}<600 \mathrm{kN}$ (the given load), $\therefore B=2 \mathrm{~m}$ is not adequate and, therefore it must be increased and $P_{\text {all. }}$. recomputed and checked.

### 3.9 FOOTINGS WITH INCLINED OR ECCENTRIC LOADS

## - INCLINED LOAD:

If a footing is subjected to an inclined load (see Fig.3.7), the inclined load $Q$ can be resolved into vertical and horizontal components. The vertical component $Q_{v}$ can then be used for bearing capacity analysis in the same manner as described previously (Table 3.2). After the bearing capacity has been computed by the normal procedure, it must be corrected by an $R_{i}$ factor using Fig.(3.7) as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\therefore q_{\text {ult.(inclined..load) }}=q_{\text {ult.(vertical..load })} \cdot x \cdot R_{i} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H_{\max }=\sigma^{\prime} \tan \delta \ldots \ldots$. for a sand and the drained case in clay $\left(c^{\prime}=0\right)$.
$A_{f}^{\prime}=$ effective..area $=B^{\prime} . L^{\prime}$
$C_{a}=$ adhesion $=\alpha . C_{u}$
where... $\alpha=1.0 \ldots$ for.soft.to.medium.clays.; and

$$
. \alpha=0.5 \ldots . \text { for .stiff .clays }
$$

$\sigma^{\prime}=$ the net vertical effective load $=Q_{v}-D_{f} \cdot \gamma ;$ or
$\sigma^{\prime}=\left(Q_{v}-D_{f} \cdot \gamma\right)-u . A_{f}^{\prime}$ (if the water table lies above foundation level)
$\delta=$ the skin friction angle, which can be taken as equal to ( $\phi^{\prime}$ ), and
$u=$ the pore water pressure at foundation level.

## - ECCENTRIC LOAD:

Eccentric load result from loads applied somewhere other than the footing's centroid or from applied moments, such as those resulting at the base of a tall column from wind loads or earthquakes on the structure.

To provide adequate $S F_{\text {(against.lifting) }}$ of the footing edge, it is recommended that the eccentricity ( $e \leq B / 6$ ). Footings with eccentric loads may be analyzed for bearing capacity by two methods: (1) the concept of useful width and (2) application of reduction factors.

## (1) Concept of Useful Width:

In this method, only that part of the footing that is symmetrical with regard to the load is used to determine bearing capacity by the usual method, with the remainder of the footing being ignored.

- First, computes eccentricity and adjusted dimensions:

$$
e_{x}=\frac{M_{y}}{V} ; \quad L^{\prime}=L-2 e_{x} ; \quad e_{y}=\frac{M_{x}}{V} ; \quad B^{\prime}=B-2 e_{y} ; \quad A_{f}^{\prime}=A^{\prime}=B^{\prime} \cdot L^{\prime}
$$

- Second, calculates $q_{\text {ult. from Meyerhof's, or Hansen's, or Vesic's equations (Table 3.2) }}$ using $B^{\prime}$ in the $\left(\frac{1}{2} B \cdot \gamma \cdot N_{\gamma}\right)$ term and $B^{\prime}$ or/and $L^{\prime}$ in computing the shape factors and not in computing depth factors.


## (2) Application of Reduction Factors:

First, computes bearing capacity by the normal procedure (using equations of Table 3.2), assuming that the load is applied at the centroid of the footing. Then, the computed value is corrected for eccentricity by a reduction factor $\left(R_{e}\right)$ obtained from Figure (3.8) or from Meyerhof's reduction equations as:

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& \left.\begin{array}{l}
R_{e}=1-2(e / B) \ldots . . . . . . . f o r . . \text { cohesive ..soil } \\
\\
\\
R_{e}=1-(e / B)^{1 / 2} \ldots \ldots . . \text { for.cohesionless.soil }
\end{array}\right\} . \\
\therefore \quad & q_{\text {ult. }(\text { eccentric })}=q_{\text {ult. }(\text { concentric })} \cdot x . R_{e} . . . . . . . . . . \tag{3.12}
\end{array}
$$



Figure(3.8): Eccentric load reduction factors.

Example (5): A $1.5 \times 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ square footing is subjected to eccentric load as shown below. What is the safety factor against bearing capacity failure (use Terzaghi's equation):
(a) By the concept of useful width, and
(b) Using Meyerhof's reduction factors.


## Solution:

(1) Using concept of useful width:
from Terzaghi's equation:

$$
q_{u l t .}=c N_{c} \cdot S_{c}+q N_{q}+\frac{1}{2} \cdot B^{\prime} \cdot \gamma \cdot N \gamma \cdot S_{\gamma}
$$

Shape factors: from table (3.2) for square footing $S_{c}=1.3 ; S \gamma=0.8, c=q_{u} / 2=95 \mathrm{kPa}$
Bearing capacity factors: from table (3.3) for $\phi_{u}=0: N_{c}=5.7, N_{q}=1.0, N_{\gamma}=0$
The useful width is: $\quad B^{\prime}=B-2 e_{x}=1.5-2(0.18)=1.14 m$
$q_{u l t}=95(5.7)(1.3)+20(1.2)(1.0)+0.5(1.14)(20)(0)(0.8)=727.95 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
Factor of safety (against bearing capacity failure) $=\frac{Q_{u l t}}{Q_{v}}=\frac{727.95(1.14)(1.5)}{330}=3.77$

## (2) Using Meyerhof's reduction factors:

In this case, $q_{u l t}$ is computed based on the actual width: $B=1.5 \mathrm{~m}$
from Terzaghi's equation:

$$
q_{u l t .}=1.3 c N_{c}+q N_{q}+0.4 B \cdot \gamma \cdot N \gamma
$$

$q_{\text {ult. }(\text { concentric.load })}=1.3(95)(5.7)+20(1.2)(1.0)+0.4(1.5)(20)(0)=727.95 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
For eccentric load from figure (3.8):
with Eccentricity ratio $=\frac{e_{x}}{B}=\frac{0.18}{1.5}=0.12$; and cohesive soil $R_{e}=0.76$
$\therefore q_{\text {ult.(eccentric.load })}=727.95(0.76)=553.242 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
Factor of safety (against bearing capacity failure) $=\frac{Q_{u l t .}}{Q_{v}}=\frac{553.242(1.5)(1.5)}{330}=3.77$

Example (6): A square footing of $1.8 \times 1.8 \mathrm{~m}$ is loaded with axial load of 1780 kN and subjected to $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}$ $=267 \mathrm{kN}-\mathrm{m}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{y}}=160.2 \mathrm{kN}-\mathrm{m}$ moments. Undrained triaxial tests of unsaturated soil samples give $\phi=36^{\circ}$ and $c=9.4 \mathbf{k N} / \mathbf{m}^{2}$. If $D_{f}=\mathbf{1 . 8 m}$, the water table is at $6 \mathbf{m}$ below the G.S. and $\gamma=18.1 \mathbf{k N} / \mathbf{m}^{3}$, what is the allowable soil pressure if $\mathbf{S F}=\mathbf{3 . 0}$ using (a) Hansen bearing capacity and (b) Meyerhof's reduction factors.

## Solution:

$e_{y}=\frac{267}{1780}=0.15 \mathrm{~m} ; \quad e_{x}=\frac{160.2}{1780}=0.09 \mathrm{~m}$
$B^{\prime}=B-2 e_{y}=1.8-2(0.15)=1.5 m ; \quad L^{\prime}=L-2 e_{x}=1.8-2(0.09)=1.62 m$
(a) Using Hansen's equation:
( with...all... $i_{i}, g_{i} .$. and... $b_{i} .$. factors...are...1.0)

$$
q_{u l t .}=c N_{c} \cdot S_{c} \cdot d_{c}+\bar{q} N_{q} \cdot S_{q} \cdot d_{q}+0.5 \gamma \cdot B^{\prime} \cdot N_{\gamma} \cdot S_{\gamma} \cdot d_{\gamma}
$$

Bearing capacity factors from table (3.2):
$N_{c}=\left(N_{q}-1\right) \cdot \cot \phi, \quad N_{q}=e^{\pi \cdot \tan \phi} . . \tan ^{2}(45+\phi / 2), \quad N_{\gamma}=1.5\left(N_{q}-1\right) \tan \phi$
for $\phi=36^{\circ}: \quad N_{c}=50.6, \quad N_{q}=37.8, \quad N_{\gamma}=40$

## Shape factors from table (3.5):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{c}=1+\frac{N_{q}}{N_{c}} \frac{B^{\prime}}{L^{\prime}}=1+\frac{37.8}{50.6} \frac{1.5}{1.62}=1.692, \quad S_{q}=1+\frac{B^{\prime}}{L^{\prime}} \tan \phi=1+\frac{1.5}{1.62} \tan 36=1.673 \\
& S_{\gamma}=1-0.4 \frac{B^{\prime}}{L^{\prime}}=1-0.4 \frac{1.5}{1.62}=0.629
\end{aligned}
$$

## Depth factors from table (3.5):

for $D=1.8 \mathrm{~m}$, and $B=1.8 \mathrm{~m}, D / B=1.0$ (shallow footing)

$$
d_{c}=1+0.4 \frac{D}{B}=1+0.4(1.0)=1.4,
$$

$$
d_{q}=1+2 \tan \phi(1-\sin \phi)^{2} \frac{D}{B}=1+2 \tan 36(1-\sin 36)^{2}(1.0)=1.246, \quad d_{\gamma}=1.0
$$

$$
q_{u l t}=9.4(50.6)(1.692)(1.4)+1.8(18.1)(37.7)(1.673)(1.246)
$$

$$
+0.5(18.1)(1.5)(40)(0.629)(1)=4028.635 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}
$$

$q_{\text {all. }}=4028.635 / 3=1342.878 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
Actual soil pressure $\left(q_{\text {act }}\right)=1780 /(1.5)(1.62)=732.510<1342.878$

## (b) Using Meyerhof's reduction:

$$
R_{e x}=1-\left(\frac{e_{x}}{L}\right)^{1 / 2}=1-\left(\frac{0.09}{1.8}\right)^{0.5}=0.78 ; \quad R_{e y}=1-\left(\frac{e_{y}}{B}\right)^{1 / 2}=1-\left(\frac{0.15}{1.8}\right)^{0.5}=0.72
$$

Recompute $q_{\text {ult. }}$ as for a centrally loaded footing, since the depth factors are unchanged.

## The revised Shape factors from table (3.5) are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{c}=1+\frac{N_{q}}{N_{c}} \frac{B}{L}=1+\frac{37.8}{50.6} \frac{1.8}{1.8}=1.75 ; \quad S_{q}=1+\frac{B}{L} \tan \phi=1+\frac{1.8}{1.8} \tan 36=1.73 \\
& S_{\gamma}=1-0.4 \frac{B}{L}=1-0.4 \frac{1.8}{1.8}=0.60 \\
& q_{u l t .}=c N_{c} \cdot S_{c} \cdot d_{c}+\bar{q} N_{q} \cdot S_{q} \cdot d_{q}+0.5 \gamma \cdot B \cdot N_{\gamma} \cdot S_{\gamma} \cdot d_{\gamma}
\end{aligned}
$$

$q_{u l t}=9.4(50.6)(1.75)(1.4)+1.8(18.1)(37.7)(1.73)(1.246)$

$$
+0.5(18.1)(1.8)(40)(0.60)(1)=4212.403 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}
$$

$q_{\text {all.centrally.loaded.footing }}=4212.403 / 3=1404.134 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
$q_{\text {all.eccentric.loaded.footing }}=q_{\text {all.centrally.loaded.footing }}\left(R_{e x}\right)\left(R_{e y}\right)$

$$
=1404.134(0.78)(0.72)=788.35 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \quad(\text { very high })
$$

Actual soil pressure $\left(q_{\text {act }}\right)=1780 /(1.8)(1.8)=549.383<788.35$ (O.K.)

### 3.10 EFFECT OF WATER TABLE ON BEARING CAPACITY

Generally the submergence of soils will cause loss of all apparent cohesion, coming from capillary stresses or from weak cementation bonds. At the same time, the effective unit weight of submerged soils will be reduced to about one-half the weight of the same soils above the water table. Thus, through submergence, all the three terms of the bearing capacity (B.C.) equations may be considerably reduced. Therefore, it is essential that the B.C. analysis be made assuming the highest possible groundwater level at the particular location for the expected life time of the structure.


## Case (1):

If the water table (W.T.) lies at B or more below the foundation base; no W.T. effect.

## Case (2):

- (from Ref.;Foundation Engg. Hanbook): if the water table (W.T.) lies within the depth $\left(d_{w}<B\right)$; (i.e., between the base and the depth $\left.B\right)$, use $\gamma_{\text {av. }}$ in the term $\frac{1}{2} \gamma \cdot B . N_{\gamma}$ as:

$$
\gamma_{a v .}=\gamma^{\prime}+\left(d_{w} / B\right)\left(\gamma_{m}-\gamma^{\prime}\right) \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .(\text { from Meyerhof) }
$$

- (from Ref.;Foundation Analysis and Design): if the water table (W.T.) lies within the wedge zone $\{H=0.5 B \cdot \tan (45+\phi / 2)\}$; use $\gamma_{a v}$. in the term $\frac{1}{2} \gamma \cdot B \cdot N_{\gamma}$ as:

$$
\gamma_{a v .}=\left(2 H-d_{w}\right) \frac{d_{w}}{H^{2}} \cdot \gamma_{w e t}+\frac{\gamma^{\prime}}{H^{2}}\left(H-d_{w}\right)^{2} \ldots \ldots \ldots . \text { (from ,Bowles) }
$$

where:

$$
H=0.5 B \cdot \tan (45+\phi / 2) .
$$

$$
\gamma^{\prime}=\text { submerged unit weight }=\left(\gamma_{\text {sat. }}-\gamma_{\mathrm{w}}\right) \text {, }
$$

$$
d_{w}=\text { depth to W.T. below the base of footing, }
$$

$$
\gamma_{m}=\gamma_{\text {wet }}=\text { moist or wet unit weight of soil in depth }\left(d_{w}\right), \text { and }
$$

- Snice in many cases of practical purposes, the term $\frac{1}{2} \gamma \cdot B \cdot N_{\gamma}$ can be ignored for conservative results, it is recommended for this case to use $\gamma=\gamma^{\prime}$ in the term

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \gamma \cdot B . N_{\gamma} \text { instead of } \gamma_{a v} . \\
& \quad\left(\gamma^{\prime}<\gamma_{a v .}(\text { from..Meyerhof })<\gamma_{a v .}(\text { from..Bowles })\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Case (3): if $d_{w}=0$; the water table (W.T.) lies at the base of the foundation; use $\gamma=\gamma^{\prime}$

Case (4): if the water table (W.T.) lies above the base of the foundation; use:

$$
q=\gamma_{t} . D_{l_{(\text {above..W.T. })}}+\gamma^{\prime} . D_{2(\text { below.W.T. })} \text { and } \gamma=\gamma^{\prime} \text { in } \frac{1}{2} \gamma . \text { B.N } \gamma_{\gamma} \text { term. }
$$

Case (5): if the water table (W.T.) lies at ground surface (G.S.); use: $q=\gamma^{\prime} . D_{f}$ and

$$
\gamma=\gamma^{\prime} \text { in } \frac{1}{2} \gamma \cdot B \cdot N_{\gamma} \text { term. }
$$

Note: All the preceding considerations are based on the assumption that the seepage forces acting on soil skeleton are negligible. The seepage force adds a component to the body forces caused by gravity. This component acting in the direction of stream lines is equal to ( $i . \gamma_{w}$ ), where $i$ is the hydraulic gradient causing seepage.

Example (7): A ( $1.2 \times 4.2$ ) m rectangular footing is placed at a depth of ( $D_{f}=\mathbf{1 m}$ ) below the G.S. in clay soil with $\phi_{u}=0^{\circ}, \gamma=18 \mathbf{k N} / \mathbf{m}^{3}, C_{u}=22 \mathbf{k N} / \mathbf{m}^{2}$. Find the allowable maximum load which can be applied under the following conditions:
(a) W.T. at base of footing with $\gamma_{\text {sat }}=20 \mathrm{kN} / \mathbf{m}^{3}$,
(b) W.T. at 0.5 m below the surface and $\gamma_{s a t}=20 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$,
(c) If the applied load is 400 kN and the W.T. at the surface what will be the factor of safety of the footing against B.C. failure.

## Solution:


$L / B=4.2 / 1.2=3.5<5 \therefore$ rectangular footing,
$D / B=1 / 1.2=0.833<1.0 \therefore$ shallow footing; therefore Terzaghi's equation is suitable.
By Terzaghi's equation: $\quad q_{u l t .}=c N_{c} \cdot S_{c}+q N_{q}+\frac{1}{2} \cdot B \cdot \gamma \cdot N \gamma \cdot S_{\gamma}$
Shape factors: from table (3.2), for rectangular footing $S_{c}=\left(1+0.3 \frac{B}{L}\right) ; \quad S_{\gamma}=\left(1-0.2 \frac{B}{L}\right)$
Bearing capacity factors: from table (3.3), for $\phi=0^{\circ}, N_{c}=5.7, N_{q}=1.0, . . N_{\gamma}=0$

## (a) for W.T. at base of footing:

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{u l t .}=(22)(5.7)\left(1+0.30 \frac{1.2}{4.2}\right) & +1.0(18)(1) \\
& +0.5(1.2)(20-10)(0)\left(1-0.20 \frac{1.2}{4.2}\right)=154.148 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
q_{\text {all. }}=154.148 / 3=51.388 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}
$$

$$
Q_{\text {all. }}=51.388(1.2 \times 4.2)=258.970 \mathrm{kN}
$$

(b) for W.T. at 0.5 m below the surface:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad q=\gamma_{t} . D_{1(\text { above..W.T. })}+\gamma^{\prime} . D_{2(\text { below.W.T. })} \\
& D_{1}=0.5 \text { and } D_{2}=0.5 ; q=18(0.5)+(20-10)(0.5)=14 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \\
& q_{u l t .}=(22)(5.7)\left(1+0.30 \frac{1.2}{4.2}\right)+1.0(14)(1) \\
& \\
& \qquad+0.5(1.2)(20-10)(0)\left(1-0.20 \frac{1.2}{4.2}\right)=150.148 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \\
& q_{\text {all. }}=150.148 / 3=50.049 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \\
& Q_{\text {all. }}=50.049(1.2 \times 4.2)=252.249 \mathrm{kN}
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) If the applied load is 400 kN and the W.T. at the surface what will be the factor of safety of the footing against B.C. failure?.
$Q_{\text {all. }}=400 \mathrm{kN} ; \quad q_{\text {all }}=400 /\left(1.2(4.2)=79.36 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2} ; \quad q=D_{f} \cdot \gamma^{\prime}=(1)(20-10)=10 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right.$
$q_{\text {ult. }}=(22)(5.7)\left(1+0.30 \frac{1.2}{4.2}\right)+10(1)+0.5(1.2)(20-10)(0)\left(1-0.20 \frac{1.2}{4.2}\right)=146.14 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
$S F=\frac{q_{\text {ult }} .}{q_{\text {all } .}}=\frac{146.14}{79.36}=1.8$

### 3.11 Bearing Capacity For Footings On Layered Soils

Stratified soil deposits are of common occurrence. It was found that when a footing is placed on stratified soils and the thickness of the top stratum form the base of the footing ( $d_{1}$ or $\left.H\right)$ is less than the depth of penetration $\left[H_{\text {crit. }}=0.5 B \tan (45+\phi / 2)\right.$ ]; in this case the rupture zone will extend into the lower layer (s) depending on their thickness and therefore require some modification of ultimate bearing capacity (qult.).

Several solutions have been proposed to estimate the bearing capacity of footings on layered soils, however, they are limited for the following three general cases:

## Case (1): Footing on layered clays (all $\phi=0$ ):

(a) Top layer stronger than lower layer $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} / \mathrm{C}_{1} \leq 1\right)$.
(b) Top layer weaker than lower layer $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} / \mathrm{C}_{1}>1\right)$.

For clays in undrained condition ( $\phi_{u}=0$ ), the undrained shear strength ( $S_{u}$ or $c_{u}$ ) can be determined from unconfined compressive $\left(q_{u}\right)$ tests. So that assuming a circular slip surface of the soil shear failure pattern, may give reasonably reliable results (see figure (3.9)).


Figure (3.9): Footings on layered clays.

The first situation occurs when the footing is placed on a stiff clay or dense sand stratum followed by a relatively soft normally consolidated clay. The failure in this case is basically a punching failure. While, the second situation is often found when the footing is placed on a relatively thin layer of soft clay overlying stiff clay or rock. The failure in this case occurs, at least in part by lateral plastic flow (see Fig.(3.10)).

(a)

(b)

Figure (3.10): Typical two-layer soil profiles.

- Hansen Equation (Ref., Bowles's Book, 1996)

For both cases (a and b), the ultimate bearing capacity is calculated from Table (3.2) for $(\phi=0)$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{u l t .}=S_{u} N_{c}\left(1+S_{c}^{\prime}+d_{c}^{\prime}-i_{c}^{\prime}-b_{c}^{\prime}-g_{c}^{\prime}\right)+q^{\prime} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the inclination, base and ground effects are neglected, then equation (3.25) will be:-

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{u l t .}=S_{u} N_{c}\left(1+S_{c}^{\prime}+d_{c}^{\prime}\right)+q^{\prime} . \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where: $S_{u}$ and $N_{c}$ can be calculated by the following method (From Bowles's Book, 1996):

In this method, $S_{u}$ is calculated as an average value $C_{\text {avg }}$. depending on the depth of penetration $\left(H_{\text {crit. }}=0.5 B \tan (45+\phi / 2)\right.$, while $N_{c}=5.14$. So that, equation (3.26) is written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{u l t .}=5.14 C_{\text {avg. }}\left(1+S_{c}^{\prime}+d_{c}^{\prime}\right)+q^{\prime} \tag{3.26b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where: $S_{u}=C_{\text {avg. }}=\frac{C_{1} H+C_{2}[\text { Hcrit }-H]}{\text { Hcrit }}$;

$$
S^{\prime} c=0.2 \frac{B}{L} ; \quad d^{\prime} c=0.4 \frac{D f}{B} \text { for } \frac{D f}{B} \leq 1 ; \quad \text { and } \quad d_{c}^{\prime}=0.4 \tan ^{-1} \frac{D}{B} \text { for }(D>B)
$$

## Case (2): Footing on layered $c-\phi$ soils as in Fig.(3.11):

(a) Top layer stronger than lower layer $\left(C_{2} / C_{1} \leq 1\right)$.
(b) Top layer weaker than lower layer $\left(C_{2} / C_{1}>1\right)$.

Figure (3.18) shows a foundation of any shape resting on an upper layer having strength parameters $c_{1}, \phi_{1}$ and underlain by a lower layer with $c_{2}, \phi_{2}$.


Figure (3.11): Footing on layered $c-\phi$ soils.

- Hansen Equation (Ref., Bowles's Book, 1996)
(1) Compute $H_{\text {crit. }}=0.5 B \tan \left(45+\phi_{1} / 2\right)$ using $\phi_{1}$ for the top layer.
(2) If $H_{\text {crit. }}>H$ compute the modified values of $c$ and $\phi$ as:

$$
c^{*}=\frac{H c_{1}+\left(H_{\text {crit. }}-H\right) c_{2}}{H_{\text {crit. }}} ; \quad \phi^{*}=\frac{H \phi_{1}+\left(H_{\text {crit }}-H\right) \phi_{2}}{H_{\text {crit }} .}
$$

Note: A possible alternative for $c-\phi$ soils with a number of thin layers is to use average values of cand $\phi$ in bearing capacity equations of Table (3.2) as:

$$
c_{a v}=\frac{c_{1} H_{1}+c_{2} H_{2}+\ldots .+c_{n} H_{n}}{\sum H_{i}} ; \quad \phi_{a v .}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{H_{1} \tan \phi_{1}+H_{2} \tan \phi_{2}+\ldots \ldots+H_{n} \tan \phi_{n}}{\sum H_{i}}
$$

(3) Use Hansen's equation from Table (3.2) for $q_{u l t}$. with $c^{*}$ and $\phi^{*}$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{u l t .}=c^{*} N_{c} S_{c} d_{c} i_{c} g_{c} b_{c}+q N_{q} S_{q} d_{q} i_{q} g_{q} b_{q}+0.5 \gamma B N_{\gamma} S_{\gamma} d_{\gamma} i_{\gamma} g_{\gamma} b_{\gamma} \ldots \ldots \text {. } \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the effects of inclination, ground and base factors are neglected, then equation (3.27) will takes the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{u l t .}=c^{*} N_{c} S_{c} d_{c}+q N_{q} S_{q} d_{q}+0.5 \gamma B N_{\gamma} S_{\gamma} d_{\gamma} \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
Bearing capacity factors: from table (3.2)
$N_{q}=e^{\pi \cdot \tan \phi^{*}} \tan ^{2}\left(45+\phi^{*} / 2\right), N_{c}=\left(N_{q}-1\right) \cot \phi^{*}, \quad N_{\gamma}=1.5\left(N_{q}-1\right) \tan \phi^{*}$
Shape factors from table (3.6): $S_{c}=1+\frac{N_{q}}{N_{c}} \frac{B}{L}, \quad S_{q}=1+\frac{B}{L} \tan \phi^{*}, \quad S_{\gamma}=1-0.4 \frac{B}{L}$
Depth factors: from table (3.6)
$d_{c}=1+0.4 k, \quad d_{q}=1+2 \tan \phi^{*}\left(1-\sin \phi^{*}\right)^{2} k, \quad d_{\gamma}=1.0$
where: $k=\frac{D}{B}$ for $\frac{D f}{B} \leq 1 \quad$ or $\quad k=\tan ^{-1} \frac{D}{B}$ (radian) for $\frac{D f}{B}>1$

## Case (3): Footing in layered sand and clay soils:

(a) Sand overlying clay.
(b) Clay overlying sand.

- Hansen Equation (Ref., Bowles's Book, 1996)
(1) Compute $H_{\text {crit. }}=0.5 B \tan \left(45+\phi_{1} / 2\right)$ using $\phi_{1}$ for the top layer.
(2) If $H_{\text {crit. }}>H$, for both cases; sand overlying clay or clay overlying sand, estimate $q_{\text {ult }}$.
as follows: $q_{u l t .}=q_{b}+\frac{p \cdot P v \cdot K_{s} \cdot \tan \phi_{1}}{A_{f}}+\frac{p \cdot d_{1} c_{1}}{A_{f}} \leq q_{t}$
where: $q_{t}, q_{b}=$ ultimate bearing capacities of footing with respect to top and bottom soils,


## for $\phi>0$ (sand or clay)

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{t}=c_{1} N_{c l} S_{c 1} d_{c 1}+\gamma_{1} D_{f} N_{q 1} S_{q 1} d_{q 1}+0.5 B \gamma_{1} N_{\gamma 1} S_{\gamma 1} d_{\gamma 1} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . . . .  \tag{3.29a}\\
& q_{b}=c_{2} N_{c 2} S_{c 2} d_{c 2}+\gamma_{1}\left(D_{f}+H\right) N_{q 2} S_{q 2} d_{q 2}+0.5 B \gamma_{2} N_{\gamma 2} S_{\gamma 2} d_{\gamma 2} . \tag{3.29b}
\end{align*}
$$

for $\phi_{u}=0$ (clay in undrained condition)

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{t}=5.14 S_{u}\left(1+S_{c}^{\prime}+d_{c}^{\prime}\right)+\gamma_{1} D_{f} \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . .  \tag{3.29c}\\
& q_{b}=5.14 S_{u}\left(1+S_{c}^{\prime}+d_{c}^{\prime}\right)+\gamma_{l}\left(D_{f}+H\right) . \tag{3.29d}
\end{align*}
$$

Hansen's bearing capacity factors from table (3.2) with $\left(\phi=\phi_{i}\right)$ :
$N_{q}=e^{\pi \cdot \tan \phi} \tan ^{2}(45+\phi / 2), \quad N_{c}=\left(N_{q}-1\right) \cot \phi, \quad N_{\gamma}=1.5\left(N_{q}-1\right) \tan \phi$
Shape factors from table (3.5): $\quad S_{c}=1+\frac{N_{q}}{N_{c}} \frac{B}{L}, S_{q}=1+\frac{B}{L} \tan \phi, \quad S_{\gamma}=1-0.4 \frac{B}{L}$
Depth factors from table (3.5): $\quad d_{c}=1+0.4 k, d_{q}=1+2 \tan \phi(1-\sin \phi)^{2} k, d_{\gamma}=1.0$
where: $k=\frac{D}{B}$ for $\frac{D f}{B} \leq 1 \quad$ or $\quad k=\tan ^{-1} \frac{D}{B}$ (radian) for $\frac{D f}{B}>1$
$p=$ total perimeter for punching $=2(B+L)$ or $\pi \cdot D$ (diameter $)$,
$P_{v}=$ total vertical pressure from footing base to lower soil computed as:

$$
\int_{0}^{d_{l}} \gamma_{l} h \cdot d h+\bar{q} d_{l}=\gamma_{l} \frac{d_{l}^{2}}{2}+\gamma_{l} D_{f} \cdot d_{l}
$$

$K_{S}=$ lateral earth pressure coefficient, which may range from $\tan ^{2}(45 \pm \phi / 2)$ or use $K_{o}=1-\sin \phi$,
$\tan \phi=$ coefficient of friction between $P_{v} K_{S}$ and perimeter shear zone wall, $p d_{1} c_{1}=$ cohesion on perimeter as a force, $A_{f}=$ area of footing.
(3) Otherwise, if $(H / B)_{\text {crit. }} \leq(H / B)$,then $q_{u l t}$. is estimated as the bearing capacity of the first soil layer whether it is sand or clay.

## BEARI NG CAPACI TY EXAMPLES

## Footings on layered soils

Prepared by: Dr. Farouk Majeed Muhauwiss
Civil Engineering Department - College of Engineering
Tikrit University
Example (8): (footing on layered clay)
A rectangular footing of $3.0 \times 6.0 \mathrm{~m}$ is to be placed on a two-layer clay deposit as shown in figure below. Estimate the ultimate bearing capacity.


## Solution:

$$
H_{\text {crit. }}=0.5 B \tan (45+\phi / 2)=0.5(3) \tan 45=1.5 m>1.22 m
$$

$\therefore$ the critical depth penetrated into the $2^{\text {nd. }}$ layer of soil.
For case(1); clay on clay layers using Hansen's equation:

- From Bowles's Book, 1996:

$$
q_{u l t .}=5.14 . C_{\text {avg. }}\left(1+S_{c}^{\prime}+d_{c}^{\prime}\right)+q^{\prime}
$$

where:

$$
S_{u}=C_{\text {avg. }}=\frac{C_{1} H+C_{2}[H c r i t-H]}{H c r i t}=\frac{77(1.22)+115(1.5-1.22)}{1.5}=84.093
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{c}^{\prime}=0.2 B / L=0.2(3 / 6)=0.1 ; \text { for } D f / B \leq 1: d_{c}^{\prime}=0.4 D / B=0.4(1.83 / 3)=0.24 \\
& \therefore \quad q_{\text {ult. }}=5.14(84.093)(1+0.1+0.24)+1.83(17.26)=610.784 \mathrm{kPa}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example (9): (footing on sand overlying clay)
A 2.0x2.0m square footing is to be placed on sand overlying clay as shown in figure below. Estimate the allowable bearing capacity of soil?.


## Solution:

$$
H_{\text {crit. }}=0.5 B \tan \left(45+\phi_{1} / 2\right)=0.5(2) \tan (45+34 / 2)=1.88 m>0.6 m
$$

$\therefore$ the critical depth $H_{\text {crit. }}>H$ penetrated into the $2^{\text {nd. }}$ layer of soil.
For case (3); sand overlying clay using Hansen's equation:

$$
q_{u l t .}=q_{b}+\frac{p \cdot P v \cdot K_{s} \cdot \tan \phi_{1}}{A_{f}}+\frac{p \cdot d_{1} c_{1}}{A_{f}} \leq q_{t}
$$

where:

## - for sand layer:

$$
q_{t}=\gamma_{1} D_{f} N_{q 1} S_{q 1} d_{q 1}+0.5 B \gamma_{1} N_{\gamma_{1}} S_{\gamma_{1}} d_{\gamma 1}
$$

Hansen's bearing capacity factors from Table (3.2) with $\left(\phi=34^{\circ}\right)$ :

$$
N_{q}=e^{\pi \tan 34} \tan ^{2}(45+34 / 2)=29.4, \quad N_{\gamma}=1.5(29.4-1) \tan 34=28.7
$$

Shape factors from Table (3.5): $S_{q}=1+\frac{B}{L} \tan \phi=1.67, \quad S_{\gamma}=1-0.4 \frac{B}{L}=0.6$

Depth factors from Table (3.5):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{q}=1+2 \tan \phi(1-\sin \phi)^{2} \frac{D_{f}}{B}=1+2 \tan 34(1-\sin 34)^{2} \frac{1.5}{2}=1.2, \\
& d_{\gamma}=1.0 \\
& \left.\therefore \quad q_{t}=17.25(1.5)(29.4)(1.67)(1.2)+0.5(2) 17.25\right)(28.7)(0.6)(1.0)=821.5 \mathrm{kPa}
\end{aligned}
$$

## for clay layer:

$$
q_{b}=5.14 S_{u}\left(1+S_{c}^{\prime}+d_{c}^{\prime}\right)+q^{\prime}
$$

$S_{c}^{\prime}=0.2 \frac{B}{L}=0.2 \frac{2}{2}=0.2 ;$
for $\frac{D f}{B}>1: \quad d_{c}^{\prime}=0.4 \tan ^{-1} \frac{D_{f}}{B}=0.4 \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{1.5+0.6}{2}\right)=0.32$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{q}=d_{q}=1 \\
& \therefore \quad q b=5.14(75)(1+0.2+0.32)+(1.5+0.6)(17.25)=622 \mathrm{kPa}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, obtain the punching contribution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.P_{v}=\int_{0}^{d_{l}} \gamma_{1} h \cdot d h+\bar{q} d_{l}=\gamma_{1} \frac{d_{l}^{2}}{2}\right]_{0}^{0.6}+\gamma_{1} D f d_{l}=17.25 \frac{0.6^{2}}{2}+17.25(1.5)(0.6)=18.6 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m} \\
& K_{o}=1-\sin \phi=1-\sin 34=0.44, \\
& \therefore q_{u l t .}=622+\frac{2(2+2)(18.6)(0.44) \tan 34}{2 x 2}+\frac{2(2+2)(0.6)(0)}{2 \times 2}=633 \mathrm{kPa}<q_{u l t .}=1821.5 \mathrm{kPa} \\
& q_{\text {all. }}=633 / 3=211 \mathrm{kPa}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example (10): (footing on $c-\phi$ soils)
Check the adequacy of the rectangular footing $1.5 \times 2.0 \mathrm{~m}$ shown in figure below against shear failure (use F.S. $=3.0$ ), $\gamma_{w}=10 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$.

| parameter | Soil <br> $\mathbf{( 1 )}$ | Soil <br> $(\mathbf{2 )}$ | Soil <br> $\mathbf{( 3 )}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $G S$ | 2.70 | 2.65 | 2.75 |
| $e$ | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.85 |
| $c(k P a)$ | 10 | 60 | 80 |
| $\phi^{\circ}$ | 35 | 0 | 0 |



## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{d 1}=\frac{G_{s} \cdot \gamma_{w}}{1+e}=\frac{2.70(10)}{1+0.8}=15 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
& \gamma_{\text {sat } 1}=\frac{\left(G_{s}+e\right) \gamma_{w}}{1+e}=\frac{(2.70+0.8) 10}{1+0.8}=19.4 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
& \gamma_{d 2}=\frac{G_{s} \cdot \gamma_{w}}{1+e}=\frac{2.65(10)}{1+0.9}=18.7 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
& \gamma_{\text {sat } 2}=\frac{(2.75+0.85) 10}{1+0.85}=19.45 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
H_{\text {crit. }}=0.5 B \tan (45+\phi / 2)=0.5(1.5) \tan 45=0.75 \mathrm{~m}>0.50 \mathrm{~m}
$$

$\therefore$ the critical depth penetrated into the soil layer (3).
Since soils (2) and (3) are of clay layers, therefore; by using Hansen's equation:

- From Bowles's Book, 1996:

$$
q_{\text {ult. }}=5.14 C_{\text {avg. }}\left(1+S_{c}^{\prime}+d_{c}^{\prime}\right)+q^{\prime}
$$

where:
$C_{\text {avg. }}=\frac{C_{1} H+C_{2}[H c r i t-H]}{H c r i t}=\frac{60(0.5)+80(0.75-0.50)}{0.75}=66.67$
$S_{c}^{\prime}=0.2 B / L=0.2(1.5 / 2)=0.15 ;$
for $D f / B \leq 1 \quad d_{c}^{\prime}=0.4 D / B=0.4(1.2 / 1.5)=0.32$
$\therefore \quad q_{u l t}=5.14(66.67)(1+0.15+0.32)+0.8(15)+0.4(19.45-10)=519.5 \mathrm{kPa}$
$\left.q_{\text {all }(\text { net }}\right)=\frac{519.5}{3}-15.78=157.4 \mathrm{kPa}$
$q_{\text {applied }}=\frac{300}{1.5 \times 2}=100 \mathrm{kPa}<q_{\text {all }}\left({ }_{\text {net }}\right)=157.4 \mathrm{kPa} \quad \therefore$ (O.K.)

## Check for squeezing:

For no squeezing of soil beneath the footing: $\left(q_{u l t}>4 c_{1}+\bar{q}\right)$

$$
4 c_{1}+\bar{q}=4(60)+0.8(15)+0.4(19.45-10)=255.78 \mathrm{kPa}<519.5 \mathrm{kPa} \therefore \text { (O.K.) }
$$

### 3.12 Skempton's Bearing Capacity Equation

## - Footings on Clay and Plastic Silts:

From Terzaghi's equation, the ultimate bearing capacity is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{u l t .}=c N_{c} \cdot S_{c}+q N_{q}+\frac{1}{2} \cdot B \cdot \gamma \cdot N \gamma \cdot S_{\gamma} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For saturated clay and plastic silts: $\left(\phi_{u}=0\right.$ and $N_{c}=5.7, N_{q}=1.0,$. and $\left.. N_{\gamma}=0\right)$,
For strip footing: $\quad S_{c}=S_{\gamma}=1.0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{\text {ult. }}=c N_{c}+\bar{q} \cdot \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots  \tag{3.30}\\
& q_{\text {all. }}=\frac{q_{\text {ult. }}}{3} \text { and } \quad q_{\text {all. }(\text { net })}=q_{\text {all. }}-\bar{q} \\
& \therefore \quad q_{\text {all. }(\text { net })}=\frac{q_{\text {ult. }}}{3}-\bar{q}=\frac{c N_{c}+\bar{q}}{3}-\bar{q}=\frac{c N_{c}}{3}+\left(\frac{\bar{q}}{3}-\bar{q}\right) . \tag{3.30a}
\end{align*}
$$

where: $N_{c}=$ bearing capacity factor obtained from figure (3.12) depending on shape of footing and $\frac{D_{f}}{B} \cdot\left(\frac{\bar{q}}{3}-\bar{q}\right)$ is a small value can be neglected. for $\mathrm{c}-\phi$ soil: $\quad \sigma_{I}=\sigma_{3} \tan ^{2}(45+\phi / 2)+2 \operatorname{ctan}(45+\phi / 2)$ for UCT: $\sigma_{l}=q_{u}$ and $\sigma_{3}=0$; then $q_{u}=2 c \tan (45+\phi / 2)$
 or $\phi_{u}=0 ; c=\frac{q_{u}}{2}$ and equation (3.30a) will be: $q_{\text {all.(net) }}=q_{u} \frac{N_{c}}{6}$


From figure (3.12) for $\frac{D_{f}}{B}=0: N_{c}=6.2$ for square or circular footings; 5.14 for strip or continuous footings If $N_{c}=6.0$, then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\text {all.(net) }} \approx q_{u} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

See figure (3.13) for net allowable soil pressure for footings on clay and plastic silt.


Figure (3.12): $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ bearing capacity factor for
Footings on clay under $\phi=0$ conditions (After Skemoton. 1951).


Figure (3.13): Net allowable soil pressure for footings on clay and plastic silt, determined for a factor of safety of 3 against bearing capacity failure ( $\phi=$ 0 conditions). Chart values are for strip footings ( $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{L}=0$ ); and for other types of footings multiply values by (1+ $0.2 \mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{L}$ ).

$$
\begin{array}{|lll|}
\hline \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}(\text { net })}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}(\text { strip })}\left(1+0.2 \frac{\mathrm{~B}}{\mathrm{~L}}\right) & \text { or } & \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}(\text { net })}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}(\text { square })}\left(0.84+0.16 \frac{\mathrm{~B}}{\mathrm{~L}}\right) \\
\hline & \mathbf{9 1}
\end{array}
$$

Example (11): (footing on clay)
Determine the size of the square footing shown in figure below. If $q_{u}=100 \mathrm{kPa}$ and $\mathrm{F} . \mathrm{S}=3.0$ ?


## Solution:

Assume $B=3.5 \mathrm{~m}, \quad D / B=2 / 3.5=0.57$ then from figure (3.12): $N_{c}=7.3$
$q_{u l t .}=c N_{c}+\bar{q}=50(7.3)+2(20)=405 \mathrm{kPa}$
$q_{\text {all.(net) }}=\frac{q_{u l t .}}{3}-\bar{q}=\frac{405}{3}-20(1.6)-24(0.4)=93.4 \mathrm{kPa}$
Area $=1000 / 93.4=10.71 \mathrm{~m}^{2} ;$ for square footing: $B=\sqrt{10.71}=3.27<3.5 \mathrm{~m}$
$\therefore$ take $B=3.25 m$, and $D / B=2 / 3.25=0.61$ then from figure (3.15): $N_{c}=7.5$
$q_{u l t .}=c N_{c}+\bar{q}=50(7.5)+2(20)=415 \mathrm{kPa}$
$q_{\text {all.(net })}=\frac{q_{u l t .}}{3}-\bar{q}=\frac{415}{3}-20(1.6)-24(0.4)=96.73 \mathrm{kPa}$
Area $=1000 / 96.73=10.34 \mathrm{~m}^{2} ; \quad B=\sqrt{10.34}=3.21 \approx 3.25 \mathrm{~m}$ (O.K.)
$\therefore$ use $B \times B=(3.25 \times 3.25) m$

Example (12): (footing on clay)
For the square footing shown in figure below. If $q_{u}=380 \mathrm{kPa}$ and $\mathrm{F} . \mathrm{S} .=3.0$, determine $q_{\text {all }}$. and $D_{f}$ (min.) which gives the maximum effect on $q_{\text {all. }}$ ?.


## Solution:

From Skempton's equation:
For strip footing: $\quad q_{\text {all.(net })}=\frac{c N_{c}}{3}$
$\underline{\text { For square footing: }} q_{\text {all. }(\text { net })}=\frac{c N_{c}}{3} x 1.2$
From Skempton's figure (3.12) at $D_{f} / B=4$ and $B / L=1$ (square footing): $N_{c}=9$

$$
\therefore \text { qall. }^{(\text {net })}=\frac{\frac{380}{2}(9)}{3}=570 \mathrm{kPa} \text { and } D_{f}=4(0.9)=3.6 \mathrm{~m}
$$

## - Rafts on Clay:

$$
\text { If } q_{b}=\frac{\Sigma Q}{A}=\frac{\text { Total.load (D.L. }+ \text { L.L.) }}{\text { area }}>q_{\text {all. }} \text { use pile or floating foundations. }
$$

From Skempton's equation, the ultimate bearing capacity (for strip footing) is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{u l t .}=c N_{c}+\bar{q}  \tag{3.30}\\
& q_{\text {ult.(net })}=c N_{c}, \quad q_{\text {all.(net })}=\frac{c N_{c}}{F . S .} \quad \text { or } \quad F . S .=\frac{c N_{c}}{q_{\text {all.(net })}}
\end{align*}
$$

Net soil pressure $=q_{b}-D_{f} \cdot \gamma$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\therefore \quad F . S .=\frac{c N_{c}}{q_{b}-D f . \gamma} \text {. } \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Notes:

(1) If $q_{b}=D_{f \cdot \gamma}$ (i.e., F.S. $=\infty$ ) the raft is said to be fully compensated foundation (in this case, the weight of foundation (D.L. + L.L.) = the weight of excavated soil).
(2) If $q_{b}>D_{f \cdot \gamma}$ (i.e., F.S. = certain.value) the raft is said to be partially compensated foundation such as the case of storage tanks.

Example (13): (raft on clay)
Determine the F.S. for the raft shown in figure for the following depths: $D_{f}=1 m, 2 m$, and $3 m$ ?.

## Solution:

$F . S .=\frac{c N_{c}}{q_{b}-D f . \gamma}$

- $\underline{\text { For }} D_{f}=1 \underline{m}:$


From figure (3.12) $D_{f} / B=1 / 10=0.1$ and $B / L=0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{c_{\text {strip }}}=5.4 \text { and } N_{c_{\text {rec tan gular }}=N_{c_{\text {strip }}}(1+0.2 B / L)}=5.4\left(1+0.2 \frac{10}{20}\right)=5.94 \\
& \therefore \quad F . S .=\frac{c N_{c}}{q_{b}-D f . \gamma}=\frac{(100 / 2) 5.94}{\frac{20000}{10 \times 20}-1(18)}=\frac{50(5.94)}{100-18}=3.62
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\underline{\text { For }} D_{f}=\underline{2 m}$ :

From figure (3.12) $D_{f} / B=2 / 10=0.2$ and $B / L=0$ :
$N_{c_{\text {strip }}}=5.5 \quad$ and $\quad N_{c_{\text {rec tan gular }}}=5.5\left(1+0.2 \frac{10}{20}\right)=6.05$
$\therefore F . S .=\frac{c N_{c}}{q_{b}-D f . \gamma}=\frac{(100 / 2) 6.05}{\frac{20000}{10 \times 20}-2(18)}=\frac{50(6.05)}{100-36}=4.72$

- $\underline{\text { For }} D_{f}=\underline{3 m}$ :

From figure (3.12) $D_{f} / B=3 / 10=0.3$ and $B / L=0$ :
$N_{c_{\text {strip }}}=5.7$ and $N_{c_{\text {rectan gular }}}=5.7\left(1+0.2 \frac{10}{20}\right)=6.27$
$\therefore F . S .=\frac{c N_{c}}{q_{b}-D f . \gamma}=\frac{(100 / 2) 6.27}{\frac{20000}{10 \times 20}-3(18)}=\frac{50(6.27)}{100-54}=6.81$
(3) the wider the footing, the greater $q_{u l t}$./unit area. However, for a given settlement $S_{i}$ such as (1 inch or 25mm), the soil pressure is greater for a footing of intermediate width $B_{b}$ than for a large footing with a width $B_{c}$ or for a narrow footing with width $B_{a}$ (see figure 3.14a).
(4) for $\frac{D_{f}}{B}=$ constant and a given settlement on sand, there is an actual relationship between $q_{\text {all. }}$ and B represented by (solid line) (see figure 3.14b). However, as basis for design a substitute relation (dashed lines) can be used as shown in (figure 3.14c). The error for footings of usual dimensions is less than $\pm 10 \%$. The position of the broken line efg is differs for different sands.


Figure (3.14): Footings on sand.
(5) the design charts for proportioning shallow footings on sand and nonplastic silts are shown in Figures (3.15, 3.16 and 3.17).
$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{f}} / \mathrm{B}=$

$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{f}} / \mathrm{B}=$


Width of footing, B, (m)
$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{f}} / \mathrm{B}=$


Fig.(3.15): Design charts for proportioning shallow footings on sand.


Fig.(3.16): Relationship between bearing capacity factors and $\phi$.

Correction factor $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}}$


Fig.(3.17): Chart for correction of $N$-values in sand for overburden pressure.

## Limitations of using charts (3.15, 3.16 and 3.17):

- These charts are for strip footing, while for other types of footings multiply $q_{\text {all. }}$ by $(1+0.2 B / L)$.
- The charts are derived for shallow footings ( $D_{f} / B \leq 1$ ); $\gamma=100 \mathrm{Ib} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$; settlement $=$ 1.0 (inch); F.S. = 2.0; no water table (far below the footing); and corrected $N$-values.
- $N$-values must be corrected for:
(i) overburden pressure effect using figure (3.17) or the following formulas:

$$
C_{N}=0.77 \log \frac{20}{\overline{P_{o}}(T s f)} \quad \text { or } \quad C_{N}=0.77 \log \frac{2000}{\bar{P}_{o}(k P a)}
$$

If $\bar{p}_{o}<0.25(T s f)$ or $<25(k P a)$, (no need for overburden pressure correction).
(ii) and water table effect:

$$
C_{w}=0.5+0.5 \frac{D_{w}}{B+D_{f}}
$$



## Example (14): (footing on sand)

Determine the gross bearing capacity and the expected settlement of the rectangular footing shown in figure below. If $N_{\text {avg. }}$ (not corrected) $=\mathbf{2 2}$ and the depth for correction $=6 \mathrm{~m}$ ?.

Solution:

$$
P_{o}^{\prime}=0.75(16)+5.25(16-9.81)=44.5 \mathrm{kPa}>25 \mathrm{kPa}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{N}=0.77 \log \frac{2000}{\bar{P}_{o}(k P a)}=0.77 \log \frac{2000}{44.5}=1.266 \\
& C_{w}=0.5+0.5 \frac{D_{w}}{B+D_{f}}=0.5+0.5 \frac{0.75}{0.75+0.75}=0.75 \\
& N_{\text {corr. }}=22(1.266)(0.75)=20.8 \quad(\text { use } N=20)
\end{aligned}
$$

From figure (3.15) for footings on sand: at $D_{f} / B=1$ and $B=0.75 m$ (2.5ft) and $N 20$ for strip footing: $q_{\text {all. } .(n e t)}=2.2($ Tsf $) x 105.594=232.307 \mathrm{kPa}$
for rectangular footing: $q_{\text {all. }(\text { net })}=232.307 x(1+0.2 B / L)=255.538 \mathrm{kPa}$
$q_{\text {gross }}=q_{\text {all. }(\text { net })}+D_{f \cdot \gamma}=255.538+0.75(16)=267.538 \mathrm{kPa}$
And the maximum settlement is not more than (1 inch or 25 mm ).

## Example (15): (bearing capacity from field tests)

SPT results from a soil boring located adjacent to a planned foundation for a proposed warehouse are shown below. If spread footings for the project are to be found (1.2m) below surface grade, what foundation size should be provided to support ( 1800 kN ) column load? Assume that 25 mm settlement is tolerable, W.T. encountered at ( 7.5 m ).

| SPT sample depth <br> $(m)$ | $N_{\text {field }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.3 | 9 |
| 1.2 | 10 |
| 2.4 | 15 |
| 3.6 | 22 |
| 4.8 | 19 |
| 6 | 29 |
| 7.5 | 33 |
| 10 | 27 |

## Solution:



Find $\sigma_{o}^{\prime}$ at each depth and correct $N_{\text {field }}$ values. Assume $B=2.4 m$

At depth B below the base of footing $(1.2+2.4)=3.6 m ; \quad N_{\text {avg }}^{\prime}=(15+19+25) / 3=20$ For $N_{\text {avg. }}^{\prime}=20$, and $D_{f} / B=0.5 ; q_{\text {all. }}=2.2 T / f t^{2}=232.31 \mathrm{kPa}$ from Fig.(3.15).

| SPT sample <br> depth $(\mathrm{m})$ | $N_{\text {field }}$ | $\sigma_{o}^{\prime}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $\sigma_{o}^{\prime}$ <br> $\left(T / f t^{2}\right)$ | $C_{N}$ <br> $($ Fig.3.17) | $N^{\prime}=C_{N} \cdot N_{\text {field }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.3 | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.2 | 10 | 20.4 | 0.21 | 1.55 | 15 |
| 2.4 | 15 | 40.8 | 0.43 | 1.28 | 19 |
| 3.6 | 22 | 61.2 | 0.64 | 1.15 | 25 |
| 4.8 | 19 | 81.6 | 0.85 | 1.05 | 20 |
| 6 | 29 | 102 | 1.07 | 0.95 | 27 |
| 7.5 | 33 | 127.5 | 1.33 | 0.90 | 30 |
| 10 | 27 | 152.5 | 1.59 | 0.85 | 23 |

Say $B=2.5 \mathrm{~m}, \quad q_{\text {all. }}=\frac{P}{B . x . L}, \quad L=\frac{1800}{232.31 X 2.5}=3.10 \mathrm{~m}, \therefore$ use $(\mathbf{2} .5 \times 3.25) \mathbf{m}$ footing.

## - Rafts on Sand:

For allowable settlement $=2$ (inch) and differential settlement $>3 / 4$ (inch) provided that $D_{f} \geq(8 f t)$.or. $(2.4 m)$ min. the allowable net soil pressure is given by:


$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\text {all. }(\text { net })}=C_{w} \frac{S_{\text {all. }}(N)}{9} \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . \text { for } 5 \leq N \leq 50 \text {. } \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $C_{w}=1$ and $S_{\text {all. }}=2^{\prime \prime}$; then $q_{\text {all. }(\text { net })}=1.0 \frac{2.0(N)}{9}=0.22 N(T s f)=23.23 N(\mathrm{kPa})$
and $\quad q_{\text {gross }}=q_{\text {all.(net })}+D_{f \cdot \gamma}=\frac{\Sigma Q}{\text { Area }}$
where: $D_{f} \cdot \gamma=D_{w} \gamma+\left(D_{f}-D_{w}\right)\left(\gamma-\gamma_{w}\right)+\left(D_{f}-D_{w}\right) \gamma_{w}$
$C_{w}=0.5+0.5 \frac{D_{w}}{B+D_{f}}=($ correction for water table)
$N=$ SPT number (corrected for both W.T. and overburden pressure).

Hint: A raft-supported building with a basement extending below water table is acted on by hydroustatic uplift pressure or buoyancy equal to $\left(D_{f}-D_{w}\right) \gamma_{w}$ per unit area.

Example (16): (raft on sand)
Determine the maximum soil pressure that should be allowed at the base of the raft shown in figure below If $N_{\text {avg. }}$ (corrected) $=19$ ?

## Solution:



For raft on sand:

$$
q_{\text {all. }(\text { net })}=23.23 N(k P a)=23.23(19)=441.37 \mathrm{kPa}
$$

Correction for water table: $\quad C_{w}=0.5+0.5 \frac{D_{w}}{B+D_{f}}=0.5+0.5 \frac{3}{9+3}=0.625$
$\therefore q_{\text {all. (net })}=441.37(0.625)=275.856 \mathrm{kPa}$
The surcharge $=D_{f \cdot \gamma}=3(15.7)=47.1 \mathrm{kPa}$
and

$$
q_{\text {gross }}=q_{\text {all. } .(\text { net })}+D_{f \cdot \gamma}=275.856+47.1=323 \mathrm{kPa}
$$

### 3.14 Bearing Capacity of Footings on Slopes

If footings are on slopes, their bearing capacities are less than if the footings were on level ground. In fact, bearing capacity of a footing is inversely proportional to ground slope.

## - Meyerhof's Method:

In this method, the ultimate bearing capacity of footings on slopes is computed using the following equations:
where:
$N_{c q}$ and $N_{\gamma q}$ are bearing capacity factors for footings on or adjacent to a slope; determined from figure (3.18),
c or s footing denotes either circular or square footing, and
$\left(q_{\text {ult. }}\right)$ of footing on level ground is calculated from Terzaghi's equation.

## Notes:

(1) $\underline{\text { A }} \phi_{\text {triaxial }}$ should not be adjusted to $\phi_{p s}$, since the slope edge distorts the failure pattern such that plane-strain conditions may not develop except for large $b / B$ ratios.
(2) For footings on or adjacent to a slope, the overall slope stability should be checked for the footing load using a slope-stability program or other methods such as method of slices by Bishop's.



Distance of foundation from edge of slope $\mathbf{b} / \mathbf{B}($ for $N s=0)$ or $\mathbf{b} / \mathbf{H}(f o r N s>0)$.
(b) on top of slope.

Figure (3.18): bearing capacity factors for continuous footing (after Meyerhof).

## Solution:

$\left(q_{\text {ult. }}\right)_{\text {c.orr.s.footing. on.slope }}=\left(q_{\text {ult. }}\right)_{\text {continuous.footing. on.slope }}\left[\frac{\left(q_{\text {ult. }}\right)_{\text {c.or.s.footing.on.level.ground }}}{\left(q_{\text {ult. }}\right)_{\text {continuous.footing.on.level.ground }}}\right] \ldots$.
$\left(q_{\text {ult. }}\right)_{\text {continuous. footing. on.slope }}=(0) N_{c q}+\frac{1}{2}(19.5)(1.0)(25)=243.75 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
( $q_{u l t}$.) of square or strip footing on level ground is calculated from Terzaghi's equation:
$q_{u l t .}=c N_{c} S_{c}+q N_{q}+\frac{1}{2} \cdot B \cdot \gamma \cdot N \gamma \cdot S_{\gamma}$

Bearing capacity factors from table (3.3): for $\phi=30^{\circ} ; \quad N_{c}=37.2, . . N_{q}=22.5, . . N_{\gamma}=19.7$
Shape factors table (3.2): for square footing $S_{c}=1.3, S \gamma=0.8 ;$ strip footing $S_{c}=S_{\gamma}=1.0$
$\left(q_{\text {ult. }}\right)_{\text {square. footing. on.level.ground }}=0+1.0(19.5)(22.5)+0.5(1.0)(19.5)(19.7)(0.8)=592.4 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ $\left(q_{u l t}\right)_{\text {continuous.footing. on.level.ground }}=0+1.0(19.5)(22.5)+0.5(1.0)(19.5)(19.7)(1.0)=630.8 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
$\therefore \quad\left(q_{u l t} .\right)_{\text {square.footing.on.slope }}=243.75 \frac{592.4}{630.8}=228.912 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
and $\left(q_{\text {all. }}\right)_{\text {square.footing.on.slope }}=\frac{228.912}{3}=76 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$

Example (19): (footing on top of a slope)
A shallow continuous footing in clay is to be located close to a slope as shown in figure. The ground water table is located at a great depth. Determine the gross allowable bearing capacity using F.S. $=4$


## Solution:

Since $B<H$ assume the stability number $N_{s}=0$ and for purely cohesive soil, $\phi=0$

$$
\left(q_{\text {ult. }}\right)_{\text {continuous.footing.on.slope }}=c N_{c q}
$$

From figure (3.18-b) for cohesive soil: with $\phi=30^{\circ}, N_{s}=0, \frac{b}{B}=\frac{0.8}{1.2}=0.67$, and $\frac{D_{f}}{B}=\frac{1.2}{1.2}=1.0$ (use the dashed line) $\longrightarrow N_{c q}=6.3$
$\left(q_{\text {ult }}\right)_{\text {continuous.footing.on.slope }}=(50)(6.3)=315 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
$q_{\text {all. }}=315 / 4=78.8 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$.

### 3.15 Foundation on Rock

It is common to use the building code values for the allowable bearing capacity of rocks (see Table 3.8). However, there are several significant parameters which should be taken into consideration together with the recommended code value; such as site geology, rock type and quality (as RQD).

Usually, the shear strength parameters $c$ and $\phi$ of rocks are obtained from high Pressure Triaxial Tests. However, for most rocks $\phi=45^{\circ}$ except for limestone or shale $\phi=\left(38^{\circ}-45^{\circ}\right)$ can be used. Similarly in most cases we could estimate $c=5 \mathrm{MPa}$ with a conservative value.

Table (3.8): Allowable contact pressure $q_{\text {all. }}$ of jointed rock.

| $\boldsymbol{R Q D} \%$ | $q_{\text {all. }}\left(\mathbf{T} / \mathbf{f t}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ | $\left.q_{\text {all. }} \mathbf{( k N} / \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ | Quality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | 300 | 31678 | Excelent |
| 90 | 200 | 21119 | Very good |
| 75 | 120 | 12671 | Good |
| 50 | 65 | 6864 | Medium |
| 25 | 30 | 3168 | Poor |
| 0 | 10 | 1056 | Very poor |
| $1.0\left(T / f t^{2}\right)=105.594\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ |  |  |  |

Example (20): (RQD)
A core advance of 1500 mm produced a sample length of 1310 mm consisting of dust, gravel and intact pieces of rock. The sum of pieces 100 mm or larger in length is 890 mm .

## Solution:

$$
\text { The recovery ratio }\left(L_{r}\right)=\frac{1310}{1500}=0.87 \text {; and }(R Q D)=\frac{890}{1500}=0.59
$$

Example (21): (foundation on rock)
A pier with a base diameter of 0.9 m drilled to a depth of 3 m in a rock mass. If $R Q D=0.5, \phi=45^{\circ}$ and $c=3.5 \mathrm{MPa}, \gamma_{\text {rock }}=25.14 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, estimate $q_{\text {all. }}$ of the pier using Terzaghi's equation.

## Solution:

By Terzaghi's equation: $\quad q_{u l t .}=c N_{c} \cdot S_{c}+q N_{q}+\frac{1}{2} \cdot B \cdot \gamma \cdot N \gamma \cdot S_{\gamma}$
Shape factors: from table (3.2) for circular footing: $\quad S_{c}=1.3 ; \quad S_{\gamma}=0.6$
Bearing capacity factors: $\quad N_{c}=5 \tan ^{4}(45+\phi / 2), \quad N_{q}=\tan ^{6}(45+\phi / 2), \quad N_{\gamma}=N_{q}+1$

$$
\text { for } \phi=45^{\circ}, \quad N_{c}=170, \quad \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{q}}=198, \quad \mathrm{~N}_{\gamma}=199
$$

$$
q_{u l t .}=\left(3.5 \times 10^{3}\right)(170)(1.3)+(3)(25.14)(198)+0.5(25.14)(0.9)(199)(0.6)=789.78 \mathrm{MPa}
$$

and

$$
q_{\text {all. }}=\frac{q_{\text {ult. } .}(R Q D)^{2}}{F . S .}=\frac{789.78(0.5)^{2}}{3.0}=65.815 . \mathrm{MPa}
$$



## снартев 4

## STRESSES IN SOIL MASS

### 4.1 DEFIFINTIONS

- VERTTICAL STRESS

Occurs due to internal or external applied load such as, overburden pressure, weight of structure and earthquake loads.

- HORIZONTAL STRESS

Occurs due to vertical stress or earth pressure, water pressure, wind loads or earthquake horizontal loads.

- ISOBAR

It is a contour connecting all points below the ground surface of equal intensity of pressure.

## - PRESSURE BULB

The zone in a loaded soil mass bounded by an isobar of a given pressure intensity is called a pressure bulb for that intensity.

### 4.2 CONTACT PRESSURE

The analysis of Borowicka (1938) shows that the distribution of contact stress was dependent on a non-dimensional factor defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{r}=\frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{1-v^{2}{ }_{s}}{1-v^{2}{ }_{f}}\right)\left(\frac{E_{f}}{E_{s}}\right)\left(\frac{T}{b}\right)^{3} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where: $v_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $v_{\mathrm{f}}=$ Poisson's ratio for soil and foundation materials, respectively,
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{f}}=$ Young's modulus for soil and foundation materials, respectively,
$\mathrm{T}=$ thickness of foundation,
$\mathrm{B}=$ half-width for strip footing; or radius for circular footing,
$\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}=0$ indicates a perfectly flexible foundation; or
$\infty$ means a perfectly rigid foundation.
The actual soil pressures distributions of rigid and flexible footings resting on sand and clay soils are shown in Figures (4.1 and 4.2).


Figure (4.1): Foundations on sand.


Figure (4.2): Foundations on clay.
4.3 ASSUMPTIONS: The soil is assumed as:
(1) Semi-infinite in extent; $x$ and $y$ are infinite but the depth $z$ has a limit value (Half-space),
(2) Isotropic; the soil has same properties in all directions,
(3) Homogeneous,
(4) Elastic and obeys Hook's law; the soil has linear relationship,
(5) Stresses at a point due to more than one surface load are obtained by superposition, and
(6) Negative values of loading can be used if the stresses due to excavations were required or the principle of superposition was used.

## STRESS INCREASE DUE TO DIFFERENT LOADING <br> (1) POINT LOAD <br> - BOUSSINESQ METHOD FOR HOMOGENEOUS SOIL:

This method can be used for point loads acts directly at or outside the center. For a central load acting on the surface, its nature at depth z and radius r according to (simple radial stress distribution) is a cylinder in two-dimensional condition and a sphere in three-dimensional case.

| $\mathrm{Z}=1 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{r}(\mathrm{~m})$ | $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{z}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{z}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{z}^{2}$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}\left(\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ |
| 0.0 | 0 | 0.47746 | 1.0 | Q | 0.47746 Q |
| 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.32946 | 1.0 | Q | 0.32946 Q |
| 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.13862 | 1.0 | Q | 0.13862 Q |
| 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.05134 | 1.0 | Q | 0.05134 Q |
| 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.01997 | 1.0 | Q | 0.01997 Q |
| 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.00854 | 1.0 | Q | 0.00854 Q |
| 2.4 | 2.4 | 0.00402 | 1.0 | Q | 0.00402 Q |
| 2.8 | 2.8 | 0.00206 | 1.0 | Q | 0.00206 Q |
| 3.6 | 3.6 | 0.00066 | 1.0 | Q | 0.00066 Q |
| 5.0 | 5.0 | 0.00014 | 1.0 | Q | 0.00014 Q |


| $\mathrm{Z}=\mathbf{2} \mathbf{m}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{r}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{z}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{z}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{z}^{2}$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{z}} \quad\left(\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ |
| 0.0 | 0 | 0.47746 | 4.0 | 0.25 Q | 0.1194 Q |
| 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.43287 | 4.0 | 0.25 Q | 0.1082 Q |
| 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.32946 | 4.0 | 0.25 Q | 0.0824 Q |
| 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.22136 | 4.0 | 0.25 Q | 0.0553 Q |
| 1.6 | 0.8 | 0.13862 | 4.0 | 0.25 Q | 0.0347 Q |
| 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.08440 | 4.0 | 0.25 Q | 0.0211 Q |
| 2.4 | 1.2 | 0.05134 | 4.0 | 0.25 Q | 0.0129 Q |
| 2.8 | 1.4 | 0.03168 | 4.0 | 0.25 Q | 0.0079 Q |
| 3.6 | 1.8 | 0.01290 | 4.0 | 0.25 Q | 0.0032 Q |
| 5.0 | 2.5 | 0.00337 | 4.0 | 0.25 Q | 0.0008 Q |


| $\mathrm{Z}=\mathbf{3} \mathbf{m}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{r}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{z}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{z}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{z}^{2}$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}\left(\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ |
| 0.0 | 0 | 0.47746 | 9.0 | $\mathrm{Q} / 9$ | 0.0531 Q |
| 0.4 | 0.1333 | 0.45630 | 9.0 | $\mathrm{Q} / 9$ | 0.0507 Q |
| 0.8 | 0.2666 | 0.40200 | 9.0 | $\mathrm{Q} / 9$ | 0.0447 Q |
| 1.2 | 0.4000 | 0.32950 | 9.0 | $\mathrm{Q} / 9$ | 0.0366 Q |
| 1.6 | 0.5333 | 0.25555 | 9.0 | $\mathrm{Q} / 9$ | 0.0284 Q |
| 2.0 | 0.6666 | 0.19060 | 9.0 | $\mathrm{Q} / 9$ | 0.0212 Q |
| 2.4 | 0.8000 | 0.13862 | 9.0 | $\mathrm{Q} / 9$ | 0.0154 Q |
| 2.8 | 0.9333 | 0.09983 | 9.0 | $\mathrm{Q} / 9$ | 0.0111 Q |
| 3.6 | 1.2000 | 0.05134 | 9.0 | $\mathrm{Q} / 9$ | 0.0057 Q |
| 5.0 | 3.3333 | 0.01710 | 9.0 | $\mathrm{Q} / 9$ | 0.0019 Q |



Figure (4.3): Vertical stress due to point load.
The vertical stress increase below or outside the point of load application is calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}=\frac{\mathrm{Q}}{. \mathrm{z}^{2}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{b}} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where: $A_{b}=\frac{3 / 2 \pi \text {. }}{\left[1+\left(\frac{r}{z}\right)^{2}\right]^{5 / 2}}$

- WESTERGAARD METHOD FOR STRATIFIED SOIL:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{z}=\frac{\mathrm{Q}}{2 \pi \cdot \mathrm{z}^{2}} \frac{\sqrt{(1-2 \mu) /(2-2 \mu)}}{\left\{[(1-2 \mu) /(2-2 \mu)]+\left(\frac{\mathrm{r}}{\mathrm{z}}\right)^{2}\right\}^{3 / 2}} \tag{4.3a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where: $\mu=$ Poisson's ratio.
when $\mu=0: \quad \sigma_{z}=\frac{\mathrm{Q}}{. \mathrm{z}^{2}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{W}}$
where: $A_{W}=\frac{1 / \pi \text {. }}{\left[1+2\left(\frac{r}{z}\right)^{2}\right]^{3 / 2}}$; Values of $A_{W}$ can be tabulated for different values of $\mu$ as:

| $\mathbf{r} / \mathbf{z}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{W}}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mu=\mathbf{0}$ | $\mu=\mathbf{0 . 4}$ |  |
| 0.0 | 0.3183 | 0.9549 |  |
| 0.2 | 0.2836 | 0.6916 |  |
| 0.8 | 0.0925 | 0.0897 |  |
| 1.0 | 0.0613 | 0.0516 | At $\left(\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{z} \approx \approx \begin{array}{c}\text { Note that }: \\ 2.0\end{array} 0.0118\right.$ |
| 3.0 | 0.0038 | 0.0076 | and Westh Boussinessergard methods give |
| 4.0 | 0.0017 | 0.0023 | equal values of $\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}$. |

Example (4.1): A concentrated point load Q acts vertically at the ground surface. Determine the vertical stress $\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}$ for each of the following cases:
a. Along the depth for $\mathrm{r}=2 \mathrm{~m}$, and
b. At depth $\mathrm{z}=2 \mathrm{~m}$.

Solution: From Boussinesq's equation: $\quad \sigma_{z}=\frac{Q}{. z^{2}} A_{b} \quad$ where: $\quad A_{b}=\frac{3 / 2 \pi}{\left[1+\left(\frac{r}{z}\right)^{2}\right]^{5 / 2}}$
(a) For $\mathrm{r}=2 \mathrm{~m}$, the values of $\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}$ at various arbitrarily selected depths are given in the following table and the distribution of $\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}$ with depth is shown in Figure (4.4 a).

| $\mathrm{z}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{z}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{z}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{z}^{2}$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}\left(\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0 | $\infty$ | Indeterminate |
| 0.4 | 5.0 | 0.00014 | 0.16 | 6.250 Q | 0.0009 Q |
| 0.8 | 2.5 | 0.00337 | 0.64 | 1.563 Q | 0.0053 Q |
| 1.2 | 1.67 | 0.01712 | 1.44 | 0.694 Q | 0.0119 Q |
| 1.6 | 1.25 | 0.04543 | 2.56 | 0.391 Q | 0.0178 Q |
| 2.0 | 1.00 | 0.08440 | 4.00 | 0.250 Q | 0.0211 Q |
| 2.4 | 0.83 | 0.12775 | 5.76 | 0.174 Q | 0.0222 Q |
| 2.8 | 0.71 | 0.17035 | 7.84 | 0.128 Q | 0.217 Q |
| 3.6 | 0.56 | 0.24372 | 12.96 | 0.0772 Q | 0.0188 Q |
| 5.0 | 0.40 | 0.32946 | 25.00 | 0.0400 Q | 0.0132 Q |
| 10.0 | 0.20 | 0.43287 | 100.00 | 0.0100 Q | 0.0043 Q |



Figure (4.4 a): $\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}$ distribution with depth at a fixed radial distance from point of surface load.
(b) At depth $\mathrm{z}=2 \mathrm{~m}$, the values of $\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}$ for various horizontal distances of r are given in the following table and the distribution of $\sigma_{z}$ with $r$ is shown in Figure (4.4 b).

| $\mathbf{r}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{z}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{z}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{z}^{2}$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}\left(\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 0 | 0.47746 | 4.0 | 0.25 Q | 0.1194 Q |
| 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.43287 | 4.0 | 0.25 Q | 0.1082 Q |
| 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.32946 | 4.0 | 0.25 Q | 0.0824 Q |
| 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.22136 | 4.0 | 0.25 Q | 0.0553 Q |
| 1.6 | 0.8 | 0.13862 | 4.0 | 0.25 Q | 0.0347 Q |
| 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.08440 | 4.0 | 0.25 Q | 0.0211 Q |
| 2.4 | 1.2 | 0.05134 | 4.0 | 0.25 Q | 0.0129 Q |
| 2.8 | 1.4 | 0.03168 | 4.0 | 0.25 Q | 0.0079 Q |
| 3.6 | 1.8 | 0.01290 | 4.0 | 0.25 Q | 0.0032 Q |
| 5.0 | 2.5 | 0.00337 | 4.0 | 0.25 Q | 0.0008 Q |
| 10.0 | 5.0 | 0.00014 | 4.0 | 0.25 Q | 0.0001 Q |



Figure (4.4 b): $\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}$ distribution with depth at a fixed radial distance from point of surface load.

Example (4.2): Q, is a concentrated point load acts vertically at the ground surface. Determine the vertical stress $\sigma_{z}$ for various values of horizontal distances $r$ and at $z=1,2,3$, and 4 m , then plot the $\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}$ distribution for all z depths.
Solution: From Boussinesq's equation: $\quad \sigma_{z}=\frac{Q}{. z^{2}} A_{b} \quad$ where: $\quad A_{b}=\frac{3 / 2 \pi .}{\left[1+\left(\frac{r}{z}\right)^{2}\right]^{5 / 2}}$ $\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}$ for $\mathrm{z}=1,2,3$, and 4 m depths is given in the following tables and their distributions with horizontal distances are shown in Figure (4.5).

| $\mathrm{Z}=\mathbf{4} \mathbf{~ m}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{r}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{z}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{z}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{z}^{2}$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}\left(\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ |
| 0.0 | 0 | 0.47746 | 16 | $\mathrm{Q} / 16$ | 0.02984 Q |
| 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.46573 | 16 | $\mathrm{Q} / 16$ | 0.02911 Q |
| 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.43287 | 16 | $\mathrm{Q} / 16$ | 0.02705 Q |
| 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.38492 | 16 | $\mathrm{Q} / 16$ | 0.02406 Q |
| 1.6 | 0.4 | 0.32946 | 16 | $\mathrm{Q} / 16$ | 0.02059 Q |
| 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.27332 | 16 | $\mathrm{Q} / 16$ | 0.01708 Q |
| 2.4 | 0.6 | 0.22136 | 16 | $\mathrm{Q} / 16$ | 0.01384 Q |
| 2.8 | 0.7 | 0.17619 | 16 | $\mathrm{Q} / 16$ | 0.01101 Q |
| 3.6 | 0.9 | 0.10833 | 16 | $\mathrm{Q} / 16$ | 0.00677 Q |
| 5.0 | 1.25 | 0.04543 | 16 | $\mathrm{Q} / 16$ | 0.00284 Q |



Figure (4.5): $\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}$ distribution with horizontal distance from point of surface load at several depths.

Example (4.3): An elastic soil medium of ( $4 \mathrm{~m} x 3 \mathrm{~m}$ ) rectangular area is shown in figure. If the area is divided into 4 elementary areas of ( $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ ) each that subjected at its surface a concentrated loads of ( 30 ton) at its centroid, use the Boussinesq's equation to find the vertical pressure at a depth of 6 m below:

1. the center of the area,
2. one corner of the area.


## Solution:

From Boussinesq's equation: $\quad \sigma_{z}=\frac{Q}{. z^{2}} A_{b} \quad$ where: $\quad A_{b}=\frac{3 / 2 \pi .}{\left[1+(r / z)^{2}\right]^{5 / 2}}$

## (a) At the center of the area:

$\mathrm{r}=1.25 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{r} / \mathrm{z}=1.25 / 6=0.208$
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}=3 / 2 \pi . / .\left[1+(0.208)^{2}\right]^{5 / 2}=0.4293, \quad \sigma_{\mathrm{z} \text { (One.el }}$
$\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
$\sigma_{\mathrm{z} \text { (Total) }}=(4) \cdot 0 \cdot 35775=1.43 \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$


At one corner of the area:

| Elementary <br> area | $\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{z}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}=\frac{\mathrm{Q}}{. \mathrm{z}^{2}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 3.750 | 0.625 | 0.209 | 0.174 |
| B | 2.462 | 0.410 | 0.324 | 0.270 |
| C | 1.250 | 0.208 | 0.429 | 0.358 |
| D | 3.092 | 0.515 | 0.265 | 0.221 |
| $\sum \sum$ |  | $1.023 \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{m} 2$ |  |  |

## (5) TRIANGULAR LOADED STRIP AREA:

To calculate the vertical stress under triangular loaded strip area (see Figure 4.12).


Figure (4.12): Pressure bulbs for vertical stresses under triangular strip load.

## (6) UNIFORMLY LOADED CIRCULAR AREA:

$\sigma_{z}=\int_{\theta=0}^{2 \pi} \frac{3 q}{.2 \pi \cdot \mathrm{z}^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{1+(\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{z})^{2}}\right]^{5 / 2} \mathrm{dA}$
where, $d A=\frac{1}{2} r^{2} . d \theta$; which after integrating and simplifying leads to:


$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}=\frac{\mathrm{I} \cdot \mathrm{xq}}{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{o} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\quad \mathrm{I}=$ Influence factor depends on ( $\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{r}$ and $\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{r}$ ); expressed in percentage of surface contact pressure, $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{o}}$, for vertical stress under uniformly loaded circular area (see Figure 4.13).
(2) 2:1 APPROXIMATION METHOD for depths < 2.5 (width of loaded area):

Total load on the surface $=$ q.B.L; and Area at depth $\mathrm{z}=(\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{z})(\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{z})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}=\frac{\mathrm{q} \cdot \mathrm{~B} \cdot \mathrm{~L}}{(\mathrm{~L}+\mathrm{z})(\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{z})} . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

| Type of footing | Area, $A_{z}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Square | $(\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{z})^{2}$ |
| Rectangular | $(\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{z})(\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{z})$ |
| Circular | $\pi(\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{z})^{2} / 4$ |
| Strip or wall | $(\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{z}) .1$ |



Figure (4.6): 2:1 Stress distribution method.

## (3) UNIFORMLY LOADED LINE OF FINITE LENGTH:

Figure (4.7) shows a line load of equal intensity $\mathbf{q}$ applied at the surface. For an element selected at an arbitrary fixed point in the soil mass, an expression for $\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}$ could be derived by integrating Boussinesq's expression for point load as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}=\frac{\mathrm{Q}}{. \mathrm{z}^{2}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}+1\right)^{2}}\left[\frac{3 n}{\sqrt{\mathrm{n}^{2}+1+\mathrm{m}^{2}}}-\left(\frac{\mathrm{n}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{n}^{2}+1+\mathrm{m}^{2}}}\right)^{3}\right] \\
& \mathrm{m}=\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{z} \text {, and } \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{z}
\end{aligned}
$$

Values of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}$ for various combinations of $\mathbf{m}$ and $\mathbf{n}$ are given in Table (4.1).


Table (4.1): Influence values $P_{0}$ for case of uniform line load of finite length.

|  | n |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.4 |
| 0.0 | 0.04735 | 0.09244 | 0.13342 | 0.16917 | 0.19929 | 0.22398 | 0.24379 | 0.25947 | 0.27176 | 0.28135 | 0.29464 | 0.30277 |
| 0.1 | 0.04619 | 0.09020 | 0.13023 | 0.16520 | 0.19470 | 0.21892 | 0.23839 | 0.25382 | 0.26593 | 0.27539 | 0.28853 | 0.29659 |
| 0.2 | 0.04294 | 0.08391 | 0.12127 | 0.15403 | 0.18178 | 0.20466 | 0.22315 | 0.23787 | 0.24947 | 0.25857 | 0.27127 | 0.27911 |
| 0.3 | 0.03820 | 0.07472 | 0.10816 | 0.13764 | 0.16279 | 0.18367 | 0.20066 | 0.21429 | 0.22511 | 0.23365 | 0.24566 | 0.25315 |
| 0.4 | 0.03271 | 0.06406 | 0.09293 | 0.11855 | 0.14058 | 0.15905 | 0.17423 | 0.18651 | 0.19634 | 0.20418 | 0.21532 | 0.22235 |
| 0.5 | 0.02715 | 0.05325 | 0.07742 | 0.09904 | 0.11782 | 0.13373 | 0.14694 | 0.15775 | 0.16650 | 0.17354 | 0.18368 | 0.19018 |
| 0.6 | 0.02200 | 0.04322 | 0.06298 | 0.08081 | 0.09646 | 0.10986 | 0.12112 | 0.13045 | 0.13809 | 0.14430 | 0.15339 | 0.15931 |
| 0.7 | 0.01752 | 0.03447 | 0.05035 | 0.06481 | 0.07762 | 0.08872 | 0.09816 | 0.10608 | 0.11265 | 0.11805 | 0.12607 | 0.13140 |
| 0.8 | 0.01379 | 0.02717 | 0.03979 | 0.05136 | 0.06172 | 0.07080 | 0.07862 | 0.08525 | 0.09082 | 0.09546 | 0.10247 | 0.10722 |
| 0.9 | 0.01078 | 0.02128 | 0.03122 | 0.04041 | 0.04872 | 0.05608 | 0.06249 | 0.06800 | 0.07268 | 0.07663 | 0.08268 | 0.08687 |
| 1.0 | 0.00841 | 0.01661 | 0.02441 | 0.03169 | 0.03832 | 0.04425 | 0.04948 | 0.05402 | 0.05793 | 0.06126 | 0.06645 | 0.07012 |
| 1.2 | 0.00512 | 0.01013 | 0.01495 | 0.01949 | 0.02369 | 0.02752 | 0.03097 | 0.03403 | 0.03671 | 0.03905 | 0.04281 | 0.04558 |
| 1.4 | 0.00316 | 0.00626 | 0.00927 | 0.01213 | 0.01481 | 0.01730 | 0.01957 | 0.02162 | 0.02345 | 0.02508 | 0.02777 | 0.02983 |
| 1.6 | 0.00199 | 0.00396 | 0.00587 | 0.00770 | 0.00944 | 0.01107 | 0.01258 | 0.01396 | 0.01522 | 0.01635 | 0.01828 | 0.01979 |
| 1.8 | 0.00129 | 0.00256 | 0.00380 | 0.00500 | 0.00615 | 0.00724 | 0.00825 | 0.00920 | 0.01007 | 0.01086 | 0.01224 | 0.01336 |
| 2.0 | 0.00085 | 0.00170 | 0.00252 | 0.00333 | 0.00410 | 0.00484 | 0.00554 | 0.00619 | 0.00680 | 0.00736 | 0.00836 | 0.00918 |
| 2.5 | 0.00034 | 0.00067 | 0.00100 | 0.00133 | 0.00164 | 0.00194 | 0.00224 | 0.00252 | 0.00278 | 0.00303 | 0.00349 | 0.00389 |
| 3.0 | 0.00015 | 0.00030 | 0.00045 | 0.00060 | 0.00074 | 0.00088 | 0.00102 | 0.00115 | 0.00127 | 0.00140 | 0.00162 | 0.00183 |
| 4.0 | 0.00004 | 0.00008 | 0.00012 | 0.00016 | 0.00020 | 0.00024 | 0.00027 | 0.00031 | 0.00035 | 0.00038 | 0.00045 | 0.00051 |


|  | n |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 10.0 | $\infty$ |
| 0.0 | 0.30784 | 0.31107 | 0.31318 | 0.31593 | 0.31707 | 0.31789 | 0.31813 | 0.31822 | 0.31828 | 0.31830 | 0.31831 |
| 0.1 | 0.30161 | 0.30482 | 0.30692 | 0.30966 | 0.31080 | 0.31162 | 0.31186 | 0.31195 | 0.31201 | 0.31203 | 0.31204 |
| 0.2 | 0.28402 | 0.28716 | 0.28923 | 0.29193 | 0.29307 | 0.29388 | 0.29412 | 0.29421 | 0.29427 | 0.29428 | 0.29430 |
| 0.3 | 0.25788 | 0.26092 | 0.26293 | 0.26558 | 0.26670 | 0.26750 | 0.26774 | 0.26783 | 0.26789 | 0.26790 | 0.26792 |
| 0.4 | 0.22683 | 0.22975 | 0.23169 | 0.23426 | 0.23535 | 0.23614 | 0.23638 | 0.23647 | 0.23653 | 0.23654 | 0.23656 |
| 0.5 | 0.19438 | 0.19714 | 0.19899 | 0.20147 | 0.20253 | 0.20331 | 0.20354 | 0.20363 | 0.20369 | 0.20371 | 0.20372 |
| 0.6 | 0.16320 | 0.16578 | 0.16753 | 0.16990 | 0.17093 | 0.17169 | 0.17192 | 0.17201 | 0.17207 | 0.17208 | 0.17210 |
| 0.7 | 0.13496 | 0.13735 | 0.13899 | 0.14124 | 0.14224 | 0.14297 | 0.14320 | 0.14329 | 0.14335 | 0.14336 | 0.14338 |
| 0.8 | 0.11044 | 0.11264 | 0.11416 | 0.11628 | 0.11723 | 0.11795 | 0.11818 | 0.11826 | 0.11832 | 0.11834 | 0.11835 |
| 0.9 | 0.08977 | 0.09177 | 0.0318 | 0.09517 | 0.09608 | 0.09677 | 0.09699 | 0.09708 | 0.09713 | 0.9715 | 0.09716 |
| 1.0 | 0.07270 | 0.07452 | 0.07580 | 0.07766 | 0.07852 | 0.07919 | 0.07941 | 0.07949 | 0.07955 | 0.07957 | 0.07958 |
| 1.2 | 0.04759 | 0.04905 | 0.05012 | 0.05171 | 0.05248 | 0.05310 | 0.05330 | 0.05338 | 0.05344 | 0.05345 | 0.05347 |
| 1.4 | 0.03137 | 0.03253 | 0.03340 | 0.03474 | 0.03542 | 0.03598 | 0.03617 | 0.03625 | 0.03630 | 0.03632 | 0.03633 |
| 1.6 | 0.02097 | 0.02188 | 0.02257 | 0.02368 | 0.02427 | 0.02478 | 0.02496 | 0.02504 | 0.02509 | 0.02510 | 0.02512 |
| 1.8 | 0.01425 | 0.01496 | 0.01551 | 0.01643 | 0.01694 | 0.01739 | 0.01756 | 0.01765 | 0.01768 | 0.01769 | 0.01771 |
| 2.0 | 0.00986 | 0.01041 | 0.01085 | 0.01160 | 0.01203 | 0.01244 | 0.01259 | 0.01266 | 0.01271 | 0.01272 | 0.01273 |
| 2.5 | 0.00424 | 0.00453 | 0.00477 | 0.00523 | 0.00551 | 0.00581 | 0.00593 | 0.00599 | 0.00603 | 0.00605 | 0.00606 |
| 3.0 | 0.00201 | 0.00217 | 0.00231 | 0.00258 | 0.00277 | 0.00298 | 0.00307 | 0.00312 | 0.00316 | 0.00317 | 0.00318 |
| 4.0 | 0.00057 | 0.00063 | 0.00068 | 0.00078 | 0.00086 | 0.00096 | 0.00102 | 0.00105 | 0.00108 | 0.00109 | 0.00110 |

## Problem (4.4): Given: $\mathrm{q}=100 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$.

Find: The vertical stress $\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}$ at points 0,1 , and 2 shown in Fig. (4.8)?


Figure (4.8): Uniformly line surface load of finite length.

## Solution:

(a) $\sigma_{z}$ at point (0):

For $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{z}=2 / 4=0.5$ and $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{z}=3.2 / 4=0.8$ from Table (4.1): $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0.15775$
$\sigma_{\mathrm{z}(0)}=\frac{\mathrm{Q}}{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{P}_{0}=\frac{100}{4} 0.15775=3.944 . \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$


Figure (4.9): $\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}$ at point (1).
(b) $\sigma_{z}$ at point (1):
$\sigma_{\mathrm{z}(1)}=\sigma_{\mathrm{z}(1 \mathrm{~L})}+\sigma_{\mathrm{z}(1 \mathrm{R})}$
From Fig. (4.9-a)
For $m=x / z=2 / 4=0.5$ and $n=y / z=1 / 4=0.25$ from Table (4.1): $P_{0}=0.06534$
$\sigma_{\mathrm{z}(1 \mathrm{~L})}=\frac{\mathrm{Q}}{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{P}_{0}=\frac{100}{4}(0.06534)=1.634 . \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
From Fig. (4.9-b)

For $m=x / z=2 / 4=0.5$ and $n=y / z=2.2 / 4=0.55$ from Table (4.1): $P_{0}=0.12578$
$\sigma_{\mathrm{z}(1 \mathrm{R})}=\frac{\mathrm{Q}}{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{P}_{0}=\frac{100}{4}(0.12578)=3.144 . \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
$\sigma_{\mathrm{z}(1)}=\sigma_{\mathrm{z}(1 \mathrm{~L})}+\sigma_{\mathrm{z}(1 \mathrm{R})}=1.634+3.144=4.778 . \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$

(a)

(b)

Figure (4.10): $\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}$ at point (2).
(c) $\sigma_{z}$ at point (2):
$\sigma_{\mathrm{z}(2)}=\sigma_{\mathrm{z}(2 \mathrm{~L})}-\sigma_{\mathrm{z}(2 \mathrm{R})}$
From Fig. (4.10-a):
For $m=x / z=2 / 4=0.5$ and $n=y / z=4 / 4=1.0$ from Table (4.1): $P_{0}=0.1735$
From Fig. (4.10-b):
For $m=x / z=2 / 4=0.5$ and $n=y / z=0.8 / 4=0.2$ from Table (4.1): $P_{0}=0.0532$
$\sigma_{\mathrm{z}(2)}=\sigma_{\mathrm{z}(2 \mathrm{~L})}-\sigma_{\mathrm{z}(2 \mathrm{R})}=\frac{100}{4}(0.1735-0.0532)=3.01 . \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$

Problem (4.5): Given: Two walls loaded as shown in Fig..
Find: the vertical stress $\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}$ at $\mathrm{z}=8 \mathrm{~m}$ below point A ?

## Solution:



D

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{z}(\mathrm{BC})}=\frac{\mathrm{q}}{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{P}_{0}=\frac{60}{8}(0.26+0.15)=3.10 . \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}
$$

Wall CD:

3m: For $m=x / z=4 / 8=0.5$ and $n=y / z=3 / 8=0.375$
From Table (4.1): $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0.09$
17m: For $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{z}=4 / 8=0.5$ and $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{z}=17 / 8=2.125$
From Table (4.1): $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0.20$
$\sigma_{\mathrm{z}(\mathrm{CD})}=\frac{\mathrm{q}}{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{P}_{0}=\frac{70}{8}(009+0.20)=2.50 . \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
$\sigma_{\mathrm{z}(\mathrm{A})}=3 \cdot 10+2.50=5.6 . \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$

## (4) UNIFORMLY LOADED STRIP AREA:

To calculate the vertical stress under uniformly loaded strip area (see Figure 4.11).


Figure (4.11): Pressure bulbs for vertical stresses under strip load.


Figure (4.13): Influence values expressed in percentage of surface contact pressure for vertical stress under uniformly loaded circular area (after Foster and Ahlvin, 1954, as cited by U.S. Navy, 1971).

## Problem ( \&.6):

Given: A circular area, $r=1.6 \mathrm{~m}$, induces a soil pressure at the surface of $100 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$.
Find: the vertical stress $\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}$ at:
(a) $\mathrm{z}=2 \mathrm{~m}$ directly under the center of the circular area.
(b) $\mathrm{z}=2 \mathrm{~m}$ below and 2 m away from the center of the circle.

Solution:
a. For $\mathrm{z} / \mathrm{r}=2 / 1.6=1.25$ and $\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{r}=0$; from Fig. (4.13): $\mathrm{I}=52$

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}=\frac{\mathrm{I} \cdot \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{o}}}{100}=\frac{52 \cdot(100)}{100}=52 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}
$$

b. For $\mathrm{z} / \mathrm{r}=2 / 1.6=1.25$ and $\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{r}=2 / 1.6=1.25$; from Fig. (4.13): $\mathrm{I}=22$

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}=\frac{\mathrm{I} \cdot \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{o}}}{100}=\frac{22 .(100)}{100}=22 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}
$$

## (6) UNIFORMLY LOADED RECTANGULAR OR SQUARE AREA:

The vertical stress increase below the corner of a flexible rectangular or square loaded area is calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{I} . \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{o}} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $I=$ influence factor, depends on ( $m=B / z$, and $n=L / z$ ) obtained from (Figure 4.14).


Example (3): $\quad \sigma_{\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{a})}=\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{o}}\left[\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{abcd}}-\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{abef}}\right]$

Examples for Vertical stress under the corner of a uniformly loaded rectangular area.


Figure (4.14): Values of I for vertical stress below the corner of a flexible rectangular area (after Fadum, 1948).

Problem (4.7): The plan of a foundation is given in the Fig. below. The uniform contact pressure is $40 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. Determine the vertical stress increment due to the foundation at a depth of ( 5 m ) below the point (x).


## (7) TRIANGULAR LOAD OF LIMITED LENGTH:

The vertical stress under the corners of a triangular load of limited length is calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{I} . \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{o}} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $I=$ influence factor, depends on ( $m=L / z$, and $n=B / z$ ) obtained from (Figure 4.15).



Figure (4.15): Influence values for vertical stress under the corners of a triangular load of limited length (after U.S. Navy, 971).

## (8) EMBANKMENT LOADING:

The vertical stress under embankment loading is calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{I} . \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{o}} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\quad I=$ influence factor depends on $(a / z$, and $b / z)$ determined from (Figure 4.16).


Figure (4.16): Influence factor for embankment loading (after Osterberg, 1957).

Problem (4.9): An embankment of (3m) high is to be constructed as shown in the figure below. If the unit weight of compacted soil is $19 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, calculate the vertical stress due to the embankment loading at (A), (B), and (C) points.

## Solution:



## (1) Vertical stress at A:

From Fig. (4.17a): $\sigma_{z A}=\sigma_{z(1)}+\sigma_{z(2)}$
Left-hand section: $b / z=1.5 / 3=0.5$ and $a / z=3 / 3=1.0$, from Fig. (4.16); $\mathrm{I}_{1}=0.396$
Right-hand section: $b / z=4.5 / 3=1.5$ and $a / z=3 / 3=1.0$, from Fig. (4.16); $I_{2}=0.477$
$\sigma_{\mathrm{zA}}=\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}+\mathrm{I}_{2}\right) \mathrm{q}=[0.396+0.477](19)(3)=49.761 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$

## (2) Vertical stress at B:

From Fig. (4.17b): $\sigma_{z B}=\sigma_{z(1)}+\sigma_{z(2)}-\sigma_{z(3)}$
Left-hand section: $\quad b / z=0 / 3=0 \quad$ and $a / z=1.5 / 3=0.5$, from Fig. (4.16); $I_{1}=0.140$
Middle section: $\quad b / z=7.5 / 3=2.5$ and $a / z=3 / 3=1.0$, from Fig. (4.16); $\quad I_{2}=0.493$
Right-hand section: $b / z=0 / 3=0$ and $a / z=1.5 / 3=0.5$, from Fig. (4.16); $I_{3}=0.140$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{z B} & =\left(\mathrm{I}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{q}_{1}\right)+\left(\mathrm{I}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{q}_{2}\right)-\left(\mathrm{I}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{q}_{3}\right) \\
& =(0.14)(19)(1.5)+(0.493)(19)(3)-(0.14)(19)(1.5)=28.101 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## (3) Vertical stress at C:

Using Fig. (4.17c): $\sigma_{z C}=\sigma_{z(1)}-\sigma_{z(2)}$
Left-hand section, $b / z=12 / 3=4$ and $a / z=3 / 3=1.0$, from Fig. (4.16); $I_{1}=0.498$.
Right-hand section, $b / z=3 / 3=1.0$ and $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{z}=3 / 3=1.0$, from Fig. (4.16); $\mathrm{I}_{2}=0.456$.
$\sigma_{\mathrm{zC}}=\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}-\mathrm{I}_{2}\right) \mathrm{q}=(0.498-0.456)(19)(3)=2.394 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$

Table (5.4): Limiting values of deflection ratios
(The 1955 Soviet Code of Practice).

| Building type | Deflection ratio ( $\Delta / \mathrm{L}$ ) |  | Average maximum Settlement (cm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sand | Clay |  |
| Steel and concrete frames | 0.0010 | 0.0013 | 10 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Multistory buildings } \\ & L / H \leq 3 \\ & L / H \geq 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.003 \\ & 0.005 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.004 \\ & 0.007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 8 & L / H \geq 2.5 \\ 10 & L / H \leq 1.5 \end{array}$ |
| One-story building | 0.001 | 0.001 | -------- |
| Water towers, Ring foundations | 0.004 | 0.004 | ----------- |

$L=$ length between two adjacent points under consideration, and
$H=$ height of wall above foundation.

Table (5.5): Limiting angular distortion for various structures
(Bjerrum, 1963).

| Category of potential damage | Angular distortion <br> $\beta_{\text {max }}$. |
| :--- | :---: |
| Safe limit for flexible brick walls $(L / H>4)$ | $1 / 150$ |
| Danger for structural damage of general buildings | $1 / 150$ |
| Cracking in panel and brick walls | $1 / 150$ |
| Visible tilting of high rigid buildings | $1 / 250$ |
| First cracking in panel walls | $1 / 300$ |
| Safe limit of no cracking of building | $1 / 500$ |
| Danger for frames with diagonals | $1 / 600$ |

where, $\mathrm{I}_{1} \ldots$.. and...I $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ are influence factors $=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{B}^{\prime}, . . \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{B}\right)$ obtained from Table (5.9), and H = depth of hard stratum
$I_{D}=$ Depth factor (Fox, 1948) $=f\left(D_{f} / B, . . \mu_{s},\right.$. and..L $\left./ B\right)$ which can be approximated by:

$$
I_{D}=0.66\left(\frac{D_{f}}{B}\right)^{(-0.19)}+0.025\left(\frac{L}{B}+12 \mu_{s}-4.6\right)
$$

Note: when $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{f}}=0$, the value of $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}}=1$ in all cases.
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}}=$ Number of contributing corners $=\mathbf{4}$ for center, $\mathbf{2}$ for edges, and $\mathbf{1}$ for corners.

Table (5.8): Typical values of $E_{S}$ for selected soils
(filed values depend on stress history, water content, density, etc.).

Table (5.7): Typical values of $\mu_{S}$.

| Type of Soil | $\mu_{s}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Clay, saturated | $0.40-0.50$ |
| Clay, unsaturated | $0.10-0.30$ |
| Sandy clay | $0.20-0.30$ |
| Silt | $0.30-0.35$ |
| Sand (dense) | $0.20-0.40$ |
| $\quad$ Coarse (void ratio $=0.4-0.7)$ | 0.15 |
| Fine-grained (void ratio $=0.4-0.7)$ | 0.25 |
| Rock | $0.10-0.40$ |
| Loess | $0.10-0.30$ |
| Concrete | 0.15 |


| Type of Soil | $E_{S}(\mathrm{MPa})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Clay <br> Very soft <br> Soft <br> Medium <br> Hard <br> Sandy | $\begin{gathered} 2-15 \\ 5-25 \\ 15-50 \\ 50-100 \\ 25-250 \end{gathered}$ |
| Glacial till <br> Loose <br> Dense <br> Very Dense | $\begin{gathered} 10-153 \\ 144-720 \\ 478-1440 \end{gathered}$ |
| Loess | 14-57 |
| Sand <br> Silty <br> Loose <br> Dense | $\begin{gathered} 7-21 \\ 10-24 \\ 48-81 \end{gathered}$ |
| Sand and gravel <br> Loose <br> Dense | $\begin{aligned} & 48-144 \\ & 96-192 \end{aligned}$ |
| Shale | 144-14400 |
| Silt | 2-20 |



Figure (4.17): Solution of Example (4.9).

## (9) ANY SHAPE LOADED AREA (NEWMARK CHART):

The stress on an elemental area dA of soil due to surface contact pressure $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{o}}$ is calculated as:
$\mathrm{dq}=\frac{3 \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{o}}}{2 \pi \cdot \mathrm{z}^{2}} \frac{1}{\left[1+(\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{z})^{2}\right]^{5 / 2}} \mathrm{dA}$
but $\mathrm{dA}=2 \pi . \mathrm{r} . \mathrm{dr}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \therefore \mathrm{q}=\int_{0}^{\mathrm{r}} \frac{3 \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{o}}}{2 \pi \cdot \mathrm{z}^{2}} \frac{2 \pi \cdot \mathrm{r} \cdot \mathrm{dr}}{\left[1+(\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{z})^{2}\right]^{5 / 2}} \\
& \mathrm{q}=\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{o}}\left\{1-\frac{1}{\left[1+(\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{z})^{2}\right]^{3 / 2}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{z})=\sqrt{\left(1-\mathrm{q} / \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)^{-2 / 3}-1} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Prepare a chart on transparent paper with $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}$ circles as follows with $18^{\circ}$ sectors:

| $\mathrm{q} / \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{o}}$ | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(\mathbf{r} / \mathbf{z})$ | 0.270 | 0.400 | 0.518 | 0.637 | 0.766 | 0.918 | 1.110 | 1.387 | 1.908 | $\infty$ |

In this case, each circle of the chart is subdivided into 20 units, therefore the number of units for 10 circles $=(20$ units $x 10$ circles $)=200$ and the influence value $\left(I_{V}=1 / 200=0.005\right)$. If the scale distance $(\mathrm{AB})$ is assumed $=5 \mathrm{~cm}$, then:

| $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{cm})$ | 1.35 | 2 | 2.59 | 3.18 | 3.83 | 4.59 | 5.55 | 6.94 | 9.54 | $\infty$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

To estimate $\sigma_{z}$ :
(1) Adopt a scale such that, the scale distance $(\mathrm{AB})$ is equal to the required depth $(\mathrm{z})$,
(2) Based on the scale adopted in (1), replot the plan of the loaded area,
(3) Place the plan plotted in (2) on the Newmark chart in such a way that the point (P) at which the vertical stress is required,
(4) Count the number of blocks, N of the chart which fall inside the plan, and
(5) calculate $\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{q} \cdot\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{V}}\right) \cdot(\mathrm{N}) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $I_{V}=$ Influence value of the chart ( see Figure 4.18).

## - Important Notes about Newmark Chart

a. If the stress is required at different depth, then the plan is drawn again to a different scale such that the new depth $z$ is equal to the distance $(A B)$ on the chart.
b. The use of Newmark's chart is based on a factor termed the influence value, determined from the number of units into which the chart is subdivided. For example; Fig.(4.18) is subdivided into 200 units ( 20 units x 10 circles), therefore the influence value is (1/200 $=0.005$ ). But if the series of rings are subdivided into 400 units, then, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{V}}=1 / 400=$ 0.0025 .
c. In making a chart, it is necessary that the sum of units between two concentric circles multiplied by $I_{V}$ be equal to the change in $q / q_{o}$ of the two rings. (i.e., if the change in two rings is $0.1 \mathrm{q} / \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{o}}$, then $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{x}$ number of units should equal to 0.1 ).


Figure (4.18): Influence chart for computation of vertical pressure (after Newmark, 1942).

## CHAPTER 5

## SETTLEMENT OF BUILDINGS

### 5.1 TYPES OF SETTLEMENT

Settlement is a term that describes the vertical displacement of a structure, footing, road or embankment due to the downward movement of a point.

From structural point of view, settlement of structures may be of two types:

- Equal or uniform settlement: This type has no serious implication on the structure or civil engineering performance of the building. But it should have a maximum limit to prevent the failure of soil under the structure.
- Differential settlement: It means that one point of the structure settles more or less than the others, therefore, it may lead to damage of the superstructure. Usually it occurs due to one or more of the following:

1. Variation of soil stratum (the subsoil is not homogeneous).
2. Variation in loading condition.
3. Large loaded area on flexible footing.
4. Differential difference in time of construction, and
5. Ground condition, such as slopes.

### 5.2 TILTING OF FOUNDATIONS

The limiting values of foundation tilting are presented in Table (5.1) and can be calculated as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tan _{\omega_{\mathrm{L}}}=\frac{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{~L}^{2} \mathrm{~B}} \frac{1-\mu_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}}{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{S}}} I_{\mathrm{m}}  \tag{5.1a}\\
& \tan _{\omega_{\mathrm{B}}}=\frac{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}}{\mathrm{~B}^{2} \mathrm{~L}} \frac{1-\mu_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}}{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{s}}} I_{\mathrm{m}} \tag{5.1b}
\end{align*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{L}}=$ moment in L - direction $=\mathrm{Q} . \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{L}}$
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}=$ moment in $\mathrm{B}-$ direction $=\mathrm{Q} . \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{B}}$
$\omega_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\omega_{\mathrm{B}}=$ tilting angles in L and B directions, respectively, and
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{m}}=$ moment factor that depends on the footing size as given in Table (5.2).

Table (5.1): Effect of foundation tilting on structures.

| $\omega$ ( in radians) | Result to structure |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1 / 150$ | Major damage |
| $1 / 250$ | Tilting becomes visible |
| $1 / 300$ | First cracks appear |
| $1 / 500$ | No cracks (safe limit) |

Table (5.2): Values of $I_{m}$ for various footing shapes.

| Footing type |  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{m}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Circular | 6.00 |  |
| Rectangular with $L / B=$ | 1.00 (Square) | 3.70 |
|  | 1.50 | 5.12 |
|  | 1.25 | 5.00 |
|  | 2.00 | 5.38 |
|  | 2.50 | 5.71 |
|  | 5.00 | 5.82 |
|  | 10.0 | 5.93 |
|  | $\infty$ (Strip) | 5.10 |

### 5.3 LIMITING VALUES OF SETTLEMENT PARAMETERS

Many investigators and building codes recommended the allowable values for the various parameters of total and differential settlements as presented in Tables (5.3-5.6).

Table (5.3): Limiting values of maximum total settlement, maximum differential settlement, and maximum angular distortion for building purposes (Skempton and MacDonald, 1956).

| Settlement parameter | Settlement (mm) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sand |  | Clay |
|  | Ref.1 | Ref.2 | Rf.2 |
| Maximum total settlement, $S_{T \text { (max.) }}$ | 20 | 32 | 45 |
| Maximum differential settlement, $\Delta S_{\text {T(max.) }}$ <br> • Isolated foundations. <br> • Raft foundations. |  |  |  |
|  | 25 | 51 | 76 |

Ref. 1 - Terzaghi and Peck (1948), Ref. 2 - Skempton and MacDonald (1956)
sampling disturbance. Therefore, some correlations which relate $C_{C}$ with soil composition parameter have been published and two of them are as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}}=0.009(\mathrm{LL}-10) \\
& \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{C}} \approx 0.5 \rho_{\mathrm{s}} \frac{\mathrm{PI}}{100} . \tag{Wroth,1979}
\end{align*}
$$ (Terzaghi and Peck, 1948)

where, $\quad \mathrm{LL}=$ liquid limit, $\quad \mathrm{PI}=$ plasticity index, and $\rho_{\mathrm{s}}=$ particle density.

## Method (A):

1. Calculate the effective pressure $\sigma_{0}^{\prime}$ at center of the clay layer before the application of load.
2. Calculate the weighted average pressure increase at mid of clay layer using Simpson's rule:

$$
\Delta \sigma_{\text {avg. }}=\frac{1}{6}\left(\Delta \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}+4 \Delta \sigma_{\mathrm{m}}+\Delta \sigma_{\mathrm{b}}\right)
$$

where, $\Delta \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}, \Delta \sigma_{\mathrm{m}}$, and $\Delta \sigma_{\mathrm{b}}$ are respectively the pressure increase due to applied load at the top, middle and bottom of clay layer.
3. Using $\sigma_{0}^{\prime}$ and $\Delta \sigma_{\text {avg. }}$ calculated above, obtain $\Delta \mathrm{e}$ from equations below, whichever is applicable.
(i) If $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}^{\prime}<\sigma_{0}^{\prime}$, the soil is under consolidated:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}} \log _{10} \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{o}}^{\prime}+\Delta \sigma_{\mathrm{avg} .}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}^{\prime}} \tag{5.8a}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) If $\sigma_{p}^{\prime}=\sigma_{o}^{\prime}(\mathrm{OCR}=1)$, the soil is normally consolidated:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}} \log _{10} \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{o}}^{\prime}+\Delta \sigma_{\mathrm{avg} .}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{o}}^{\prime}} \tag{5.8b}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) If $\sigma_{p}^{\prime}>\sigma_{o}^{\prime}(\mathrm{OCR}>1)$, the soil is overconsolidated, and
(a) If $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}^{\prime} \geq \sigma_{\mathrm{o}}^{\prime}+\Delta \sigma_{\text {avg. }}$ then;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}} \log _{10} \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{o}}^{\prime}+\Delta \sigma_{\mathrm{avg} .}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{o}}^{\prime}} \tag{5.8c}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) If $\sigma_{p}^{\prime}<\sigma_{o}^{\prime}+\Delta \sigma_{a v g}$. then;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}} \log _{10} \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{o}}^{\prime}+\Delta \sigma_{\text {avg. }}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}^{\prime}}+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}} \log _{10} \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}^{\prime}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{o}}^{\prime}} . . \tag{5.8d}
\end{equation*}
$$

5. Calculate the consolidation settlement by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{e}}{1+\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{o}}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{t}} \tag{5.8e}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $e_{o}=\omega_{o} \cdot G_{s}$

## Method (B):

Table (5.6): Recommendation of European Committee for Standardization (1994) on differential settlement parameters.

| Item | Parameter | Magnitude | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Limiting values <br> for serviceability | $S_{T}$ | 25 mm <br> 50 mm | Isolated shallow foundation <br> Raft foundation |
|  | $\Delta S_{T}$ | 5 mm <br> 10 mm <br> 20 mm | Frames with rigid cladding <br> Frames with flexible cladding <br> Open frames |
|  | $\beta$ | $1 / 500$ | ---------- |
| Maximum acceptable <br> foundation movement | $S_{T}$ | 50 mm | Isolated shallow foundation |
|  | $\Delta S_{T}$ | 20 mm | Isolated shallow foundation |

### 5.4 COMPONENTS OF TOTAL SETTLEMENT

Foundation settlement mainly consists of three components (see Fig. (5.1)):
(i) Immediate settlement ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ): occurs due to elastic deformation of soil particles upon load application with no change in water content.
(ii) Primary consolidation settlement $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)$ : occurs as the result of volume change in saturated fine grained soils due to expulsion of water from the void spaces of the soil mass with time.
(iii) Secondary consolidation settlement ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{sc}}$ ): occurs after the completion of the primary consolidation due to plastic deformation of soil (reorientation of the soil particles). It forms the major part of settlement in highly organic soils and peats.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\therefore \quad \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c}}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{sc}} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

These components occur in different types of soils with varying circumstances:

- For clay: $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}$ (minimum) $+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c}}$ (major) $+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{sc}}$ (small, but present to certain extent) Therefore, for clay these settlements must be calculated.
- For sand: $S_{T}=S_{i}$ (major) $+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c}}$ (present but mixed with $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) $+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{sc}}$ (undefined) Since sand is permeable, therefore, Terzaghi theory cannot be applicable.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}(\text { flexible })}=\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{o}} \cdot \mathrm{~B}^{\prime} \frac{1-\mu_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}}{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{s}}} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{s}} \cdot \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}} .  \tag{5.3}\\
& \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i} \text { (rigid) }} \approx 0.93 \cdot \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{i} \text { (flexible) })} \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots  \tag{5.4}\\
& \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i} \text { (average) }}=0.85 \cdot \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{i} \text { (center) }} \cdot \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$



Fig.(5.2): Elastic settlement of flexible and rigid foundations.
where,
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ immediate or elastic,
$\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{o}}=$ net applied pressure on the foundation,
$B^{\prime}=\mathbf{B} / \mathbf{2}$ for center of foundation, and
$=\mathbf{B}$ for corners of foundation,
$\mu_{\mathrm{s}}=$ Poisson's ratio of soil, (see Table (5.7) for typical values).
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{s}}=$ weighted average modulus of elasticity of the soil over a depth of H . For a multi-layered soil stratum it is computed as:
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{s}(\text { avg. })}=\frac{\sum \mathrm{Es}_{(\mathrm{i})} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\sum \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}}$
in which, $H_{i}$ and $E_{i}$ are the thickness and modulus of elasticity of layer $\mathbf{i}$, and $\sum H_{i}=\mathbf{H}$ (the depth of hard stratum) or $\mathbf{5 B}$ whichever is smaller,
(see Table (5.8) for typical values of $E_{S}$ ).
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{s}}=$ Shape factor (Steinbrenner, 1934) computed by:

$$
I_{s}=I_{1}+\frac{1-2 \mu_{\mathrm{s}}}{1-\mu_{\mathrm{s}}} \mathrm{I}_{2}
$$

Table (5.9a): Values of $I_{1}$ to compute Steinbrenner's influence factor

$$
I_{s}=I_{1}+\frac{1-2 \mu_{s}}{1-\mu_{s}} I_{2}
$$

|  | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{B}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.2 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.007 |  |
| 0.4 | 0.033 | 0.032 | 0.031 | 0.030 | 0.029 | 0.028 | 0.028 | 0.027 | 0.027 | 0.027 | 0.027 |  |
| 0.6 | 0.066 | 0.064 | 0.063 | 0.061 | 0.060 | 0.059 | 0.058 | 0.057 | 0.056 | 0.056 | 0.055 |  |
| 0.8 | 0.104 | 0.102 | 0.100 | 0.098 | 0.096 | 0.095 | 0.093 | 0.092 | 0.091 | 0.090 | 0.089 |  |
| 1.0 | 0.142 | 0.140 | 0.138 | 0.136 | 0.134 | 0.132 | 0.130 | 0.129 | 0.127 | 0.126 | 0.125 |  |
| 1.5 | 0.224 | 0.224 | 0.224 | 0.223 | 0.222 | 0.220 | 0.219 | 0.217 | 0.216 | 0.214 | 0.213 |  |
| 2 | 0.285 | 0.288 | 0.290 | 0.292 | 0.292 | 0.292 | 0.292 | 0.292 | 0.291 | 0.290 | 0.289 |  |
| 3 | 0.363 | 0.372 | 0.378 | 0.384 | 0.389 | 0.393 | 0.396 | 0.398 | 0.400 | 0.401 | 0.402 |  |
| 4 | 0.408 | 0.421 | 0.431 | 0.440 | 0.448 | 0.455 | 0.460 | 0.465 | 0.469 | 0.473 | 0.476 |  |
| 5 | 0.437 | 0.452 | 0.465 | 0.477 | 0.487 | 0.496 | 0.503 | 0.510 | 0.516 | 0.522 | 0.526 |  |
| 6 | 0.457 | 0.473 | 0.488 | 0.501 | 0.513 | 0.524 | 0.533 | 0.542 | 0.549 | 0.556 | 0.562 |  |
| 7 | 0.471 | 0.489 | 0.506 | 0.520 | 0.533 | 0.545 | 0.556 | 0.566 | 0.575 | 0.583 | 0.590 |  |
| 8 | 0.482 | 0.502 | 0.519 | 0.534 | 0.549 | 0.561 | 0.573 | 0.584 | 0.594 | 0.602 | 0.611 |  |
| 9 | 0.491 | 0.511 | 0.529 | 0.545 | 0.560 | 0.574 | 0.587 | 0.598 | 0.609 | 0.618 | 0.627 |  |
| 10 | 0.498 | 0.519 | 0.537 | 0.554 | 0.570 | 0.584 | 0.597 | 0.610 | 0.621 | 0.631 | 0.641 |  |
| 20 | 0.529 | 0.553 | 0.575 | 0.595 | 0.614 | 0.631 | 0.647 | 0.662 | 0.677 | 0.690 | 0.702 |  |
| 500 | 0.560 | 0.586 | 0.612 | 0.635 | 0.656 | 0.677 | 0.696 | 0.714 | 0.731 | 0.748 | 0.763 |  |


| $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{B}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2.5 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| 0.2 | 0.007 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.006 |  |  |  |  |
| 0.4 | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.024 |  |  |  |  |
| 0.6 | 0.053 | 0.051 | 0.050 | 0.050 | 0.050 | 0.049 | 0.049 | 0.049 | 0.049 | 0.049 | 0.049 |  |  |  |  |
| 0.8 | 0.086 | 0.082 | 0.081 | 0.080 | 0.080 | 0.080 | 0.093 | 0.092 | 0.091 | 0.090 | 0.089 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.0 | 0.121 | 0.115 | 0.113 | 0.112 | 0.112 | 0.112 | 0.111 | 0.111 | 0.110 | 0.110 | 0.110 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.5 | 0.207 | 0.197 | 0.194 | 0.192 | 0.191 | 0.190 | 0.190 | 0.189 | 0.188 | 0.188 | 0.188 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0.284 | 0.271 | 0.267 | 0.264 | 0.262 | 0.261 | 0.260 | 0.259 | 0.257 | 0.256 | 0.256 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 0.402 | 0.392 | 0.386 | 0.382 | 0.378 | 0.376 | 0.374 | 0.373 | 0.378 | 0.367 | 0.367 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 0.484 | 0.484 | 0.479 | 0.474 | 0.470 | 0.440 | 0.464 | 0.462 | 0.453 | 0.451 | 0.451 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 0.543 | 0.554 | 0.552 | 0.548 | 0.543 | 0.540 | 0.536 | 0.534 | 0.522 | 0.522 | 0.519 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 0.585 | 0.609 | 0.610 | 0.608 | 0.604 | 0.601 | 0.598 | 0.595 | 0.579 | 0.576 | 0.575 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 0.618 | 0.653 | 0.658 | 0.658 | 0.656 | 0.653 | 0.650 | 0.647 | 0.628 | 0.624 | 0.623 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 0.643 | 0.688 | 0.697 | 0.700 | 0.700 | 0.698 | 0.695 | 0.692 | 0.672 | 0.666 | 0.665 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 0.663 | 0.716 | 0.730 | 0.736 | 0.737 | 0.736 | 0.735 | 0.732 | 0.710 | 0.704 | 0.702 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 0.679 | 0.740 | 0.758 | 0.766 | 0.770 | 0.770 | 0.770 | 0.768 | 0.745 | 0.738 | 0.735 |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 0.756 | 0.856 | 0.896 | 0.925 | 0.945 | 0.959 | 0.969 | 0.977 | 0.982 | 0.965 | 0.957 |  |  |  |  |
| 500 | 0.832 | 0.977 | 1.046 | 1.102 | 1.150 | 1.191 | 1.227 | 1.259 | 1.532 | 1.721 | 1.879 |  |  |  |  |

$\mathrm{B}^{\prime}=\mathbf{B} / 2$ for center of foundation, and $=\mathbf{B}$ for corners of foundation,
$\mathrm{H}=$ depth of hard stratum (rock) under the footing.

Table (5.9b): Values of $I_{2}$ to compute Steinbrenner's influence factor

$$
I_{s}=I_{1}+\frac{1-2 \mu_{s}}{1-\mu_{s}} I_{2}
$$

|  | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{B}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.2 | 0.041 | 0.042 | 0.042 | 0.042 | 0.042 | 0.042 | 0.043 | 0.043 | 0.043 | 0.043 | 0.043 |
| 0.4 | 0.066 | 0.068 | 0.069 | 0.070 | 0.070 | 0.071 | 0.071 | 0.072 | 0.072 | 0.073 | 0.073 |
| 0.6 | 0.079 | 0.081 | 0.083 | 0.085 | 0.087 | 0.088 | 0.089 | 0.090 | 0.091 | 0.091 | 0.092 |
| 0.8 | 0.083 | 0.087 | 0.090 | 0.093 | 0.095 | 0.097 | 0.098 | 0.100 | 0.101 | 0.102 | 0.103 |
| 1.0 | 0.083 | 0.088 | 0.091 | 0.095 | 0.098 | 0.100 | 0.102 | 0.104 | 0.106 | 0.108 | 0.109 |
| 1.5 | 0.075 | 0.080 | 0.084 | 0.089 | 0.093 | 0.096 | 0.099 | 0.102 | 0.105 | 0.108 | 0.110 |
| 2 | 0.064 | 0.069 | 0.074 | 0.078 | 0.083 | 0.086 | 0.090 | 0.094 | 0.097 | 0.100 | 0.102 |
| 3 | 0.048 | 0.052 | 0.056 | 0.060 | 0.064 | 0.068 | 0.071 | 0.075 | 0.078 | 0.081 | 0.084 |
| 4 | 0.037 | 0.041 | 0.044 | 0.048 | 0.051 | 0.054 | 0.057 | 0.060 | 0.063 | 0.066 | 0.069 |
| 5 | 0.031 | 0.034 | 0.036 | 0.039 | 0.042 | 0.045 | 0.048 | 0.050 | 0.053 | 0.055 | 0.058 |
| 6 | 0.026 | 0.028 | 0.031 | 0.033 | 0.036 | 0.038 | 0.040 | 0.043 | 0.045 | 0.047 | 0.050 |
| 7 | 0.022 | 0.024 | 0.027 | 0.029 | 0.031 | 0.033 | 0.035 | 0.037 | 0.039 | 0.041 | 0.043 |
| 8 | 0.020 | 0.022 | 0.023 | 0.025 | 0.027 | 0.029 | 0.031 | 0.033 | 0.035 | 0.036 | 0.038 |
| 9 | 0.017 | 0.019 | 0.021 | 0.023 | 0.024 | 0.026 | 0.028 | 0.029 | 0.031 | 0.033 | 0.034 |
| 10 | 0.016 | 0.017 | 0.019 | 0.020 | 0.022 | 0.023 | 0.025 | 0.027 | 0.028 | 0.030 | 0.031 |
| 20 | 0.008 | 0.099 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.014 | 0.015 | 0.016 |
| 500 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |


| $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{B}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2.5 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 100 |  |  |  |
| 0.2 | 0.043 | 0.044 | 0.044 | 0.044 | 0.044 | 0.044 | 0.044 | 0.044 | 0.044 | 0.044 | 0.044 |  |  |  |
| 0.4 | 0.074 | 0.075 | 0.075 | 0.075 | 0.076 | 0.076 | 0.076 | 0.076 | 0.076 | 0.076 | 0.076 |  |  |  |
| 0.6 | 0.094 | 0.097 | 0.097 | 0.098 | 0.098 | 0.098 | 0.098 | 0.098 | 0.098 | 0.098 | 0.098 |  |  |  |
| 0.8 | 0.107 | 0.111 | 0.112 | 0.113 | 0.113 | 0.113 | 0.113 | 0.114 | 0.114 | 0.114 | 0.114 |  |  |  |
| 1.0 | 0.114 | 0.120 | 0.122 | 0.123 | 0.123 | 0.124 | 0.124 | 0.124 | 0.125 | 0.125 | 0.125 |  |  |  |
| 1.5 | 0.118 | 0.130 | 0.134 | 0.136 | 0.137 | 0.138 | 0.138 | 0.139 | 0.140 | 0.140 | 0.140 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0.114 | 0.131 | 0.136 | 0.139 | 0.141 | 0.143 | 0.144 | 0.145 | 0.147 | 0.147 | 0.148 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 0.097 | 0.122 | 0.131 | 0.137 | 0.141 | 0.144 | 0.145 | 0.147 | 0.152 | 0.153 | 0.154 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 0.082 | 0.110 | 0.121 | 0.129 | 0.135 | 0.139 | 0.142 | 0.145 | 0.154 | 0.155 | 0.156 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 0.070 | 0.098 | 0.111 | 0.120 | 0.128 | 0.133 | 0.137 | 0.140 | 0.154 | 0.156 | 0.157 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 0.060 | 0.087 | 0.101 | 0.111 | 0.120 | 0.126 | 0.131 | 0.135 | 0.153 | 0.157 | 0.157 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 0.053 | 0.078 | 0.092 | 0.103 | 0.112 | 0.119 | 0.125 | 0.129 | 0.152 | 0.157 | 0.158 |  |  |  |
| 8 | 0.047 | 0.071 | 0.084 | 0.095 | 0.104 | 0.112 | 0.118 | 0.124 | 0.151 | 0.156 | 0.158 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 0.042 | 0.064 | 0.077 | 0.088 | 0.097 | 0.105 | 0.112 | 0.118 | 0.149 | 0.156 | 0.158 |  |  |  |
| 10 | 0.038 | 0.059 | 0.071 | 0.082 | 0.091 | 0.099 | 0.106 | 0.112 | 0.147 | 0.156 | 0.158 |  |  |  |
| 20 | 0.020 | 0.031 | 0.039 | 0.046 | 0.053 | 0.059 | 0.065 | 0.071 | 0.124 | 0.148 | 0.156 |  |  |  |
| 500 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.008 | 0.016 | 0.031 |  |  |  |

$B^{\prime}=\mathbf{B} / 2$ for center of foundation, and $=\mathbf{B}$ for corners of foundation,
$\mathrm{H}=$ depth of hard stratum (rock) under the footing.

### 5.5.2 Schmertmann's Method (1978): Use of Strain Influence Factor

This method is based on the Dutch cone penetration resistance $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ using the strain influence factor diagram. It is proposed for two cases, square foundation ( $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{B}=1$ ) where axisymmetric stress and strain conditions occur and strip foundation ( $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{B}=10$ ) where plane strain conditions exist.

For square foundation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}=\frac{\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2}}{2.5} \Delta \mathrm{p} \sum_{0}^{2 \mathrm{~B}} \frac{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{z}} \Delta \mathrm{z}}{\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}} \ldots .  \tag{5.6a}\\
& \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}=\frac{\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2}}{3.5} \Delta \mathrm{p} \sum_{0}^{4 \mathrm{~B}} \frac{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{z}} \Delta \mathrm{z}}{\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}} . \tag{5.6b}
\end{align*}
$$

where, $\mathrm{P}=$ gross applied pressure,
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}^{\prime}=$ effective stress at the foundation level,
$\Delta \mathrm{P}=$ net applied pressure $=\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}^{\prime}\left(\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$,
$\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{C}}=$ cone end resistance, $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, for each soil layer,
$\Delta \mathrm{z}=$ thickness for each soil layer, (in meters),
$C_{1}=$ correction for depth of foundation $=1-0.5 \frac{\mathrm{P}_{0}^{\prime}}{\Delta \mathrm{p}} \geq 0.5$
$C_{2}=$ correction for creep or time related settlement $=1+0.2 \log _{10} \frac{t}{0.1}$
$\mathrm{t}=$ time in (years) after construction,
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Z}}=$ average strain influence factor for each soil layer obtained as the value at the mid-point of each soil layer from a diagram drawn alongside the $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}-$ depth graph with a depth of 2 B for square foundation and 4 B for strip foundation as shown in Fig.(5.3), and
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Z} \text { max }}=0.5+0.1 \sqrt{\frac{\Delta \mathrm{p}}{\sigma \mathrm{v}^{\prime}}}$ is the maximum value of $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Z}}$, where $\sigma_{\mathrm{v}}^{\prime}=$ vertical effective stress at a depth of $\mathrm{B} / 2$ for a square foundation and B for strip foundation.

## Notes:

- Values of $\Delta \mathrm{z}$, average $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ and average $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{z}}$ for each soil layer are required for the summation term.
- Settlements for shapes intermediate between square and strip can be obtained by interpolation.



### 5.5.3 Bjerrum's Method for Average Settlement of Layered Clay Soil

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i} \text { (average)flexible }}=\mu_{\mathrm{o}} \cdot \mu_{1} \frac{\mathrm{q} \cdot \mathrm{~B}}{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\mu_{\mathrm{o}}$ and $\mu_{1}$ are factors for depth of embedment and thickness of soil layer beneath the foundation, respectively; obtained from Fig.(5.4). Remember that the principle of layering could be applied with this method such that the overlapping is equal to the number of layers -1 .


Fig.(5.4): Coefficients of vertical displacement for foundations on saturated clays (after Janbu et al., 1956).

Problem (5.1): A ( $5 \mathrm{~m} \times 10 \mathrm{~m}$ ) rectangular flexible foundation is placed on two layers of clay, both 10 m thick as shown in the figure below. The modulus of elasticity of the upper layer is $8 \mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and that of the lower layer is $16 \mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. Determine the immediate settlement at the center of the foundation using:
(1) Elastic Theory Method.
(2) Bjerrum Method.


## Solution:

## (1) (Elastic Theory Method):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{E}_{\text {avg. }}=\frac{8(10)+16(10)}{20}=12 \cdot \mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}=12000 \cdot \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{i} \text { (flexible) }}=\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{o}} \cdot \mathrm{~B}^{\prime} \frac{1-\mu_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{2}}{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{s}}} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{s}} \cdot \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

For $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{B}^{\prime}=20 / 2.5=8, \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{B}=10 / 5=2: \quad \mathrm{I}_{1}=0.611$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}=0.038$; from Table (4.9)
$I_{s}=I_{1}+\frac{1-2 \mu_{\mathrm{s}}}{1-\mu_{\mathrm{s}}} \mathrm{I}_{2}=0.611+\frac{1-2(0.3)}{1-0.3} 0.038=0.633$
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}}=1\left(\right.$ for $\left.\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{f}}=0\right)$; and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}}=4$ (for center).
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i} \text { (flexible) } \text { (surface,center) }=(75)(2.5) \frac{1-(0.3)^{2}}{12000}(0.633)(1)(4)=\underline{\mathbf{3 6 ~ m m}} . . . . ~(2)}$

## (2) (Bjerrum Method):

- Settlement of $1^{\text {st }}$. layer (average settlement):

From Fig.(5.4): for $D_{f} / B=0$ and $L / B=2 ; \mu_{o}=1.00$
For $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{B}=10 / 5=2$ and $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{B}=2 ; \mu_{1}=0.70$
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i} \text { (average)flexible }}=\mu_{\mathrm{o}} \cdot \mu_{1} \frac{\mathrm{q} \cdot \mathrm{B}}{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}}$
$\mathrm{S}_{1_{\text {(average)flexible }}=(1.00)(0.70)} \frac{(75)(5)(1000)}{(8 \times 1000)}=32.81 \mathrm{~mm}$

- Settlement of $2^{\text {nd }}$. layer (average settlement):

From Fig.(5.4): for $D_{f} / B=0$ and $L / B=2 ; \mu_{o}=1.00$
For $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{B}=20 / 5=4$ and $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{B}=2 ; \mu_{1}=0.85$
$\mathrm{S}_{2 \text { (average)flexible }}=(1.00)(0.85) \frac{(75)(5)(1000)}{(16 \times 1000)}=19.92 \mathrm{~mm}$

- The interaction between the $1^{\text {st }}$. and $2^{\text {nd }}$. Layers:
$\mathrm{S}_{3 \text { (average)flexible }}=(1.00)(0.70) \frac{(75)(5)(1000)}{(16 \times 1000)}=16.41 \mathrm{~mm}$
The immediate settlement at foundation center $=S_{1}+S_{2}-S_{3}$

$$
=32.81+19.92-16.41=\underline{\mathbf{3 6} .32 \mathrm{~mm}}
$$

Problem (5.2): (Schmertmann's method-settlement on sand)

A $(3 m \times 3 m)$ square footing rested at a depth of $(2 m)$ below the ground surface. Estimate the immediate settlement of the footing under the load and soil conditions shown in the figure below after ( 0.1 year) from construction.


For square foundation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}=\frac{\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2}}{2.5} \Delta \mathrm{p} \sum_{0}^{2 \mathrm{~B}} \frac{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{z}} \Delta \mathrm{z}}{\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}} . \tag{5.6a}
\end{equation*}
$$

- $C_{1}=$ correction for depth of foundation $=1-0.5 \frac{\mathrm{P}_{0}^{\prime}}{\Delta \mathrm{p}} \geq 0.5$
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}^{\prime}=$ effective stress at the foundation level $=D_{f} \cdot \gamma=2(20)=40 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
$\Delta \mathrm{P}=$ net increase in stress at footing level $=\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}^{\prime}=\frac{1.8 \times 10^{3}}{3 \times 3}-40=160 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
$C_{1}=1-0.5 \frac{40}{160}=0.875>0.5$ (O.K.)
- $\mathrm{C}_{2}=$ Time correction factor $=1+0.2 \log _{10} \frac{\mathrm{t}}{0.1}=1+0.2 \log _{10} \frac{0.1}{0.1}=1.0$

| No. | $\Delta_{Z}(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{C}}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Z}}$ (average) | $\frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{Z}} \cdot \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Z}}}{\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{C}}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.0 | 5000 | $(0+0.4) / 2=0.2$ | 0.000040 |
| 2 | 0.5 | 10000 | 0.5 | 0.000025 |
| 3 | 3.5 | 10000 | 0.366 | 0.000128 |
| 4 | 1.0 | 5000 | 0.066 | 0.0000132 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}=\frac{(0.875)(1.0)}{2.5}(160)\left(20.62 \times 10^{-5}\right)=0.01155 \mathrm{~m}=\mathbf{1 1 . 5 5} \mathbf{~ m m}$
Problem (5.3): (Total immediate settlement)

Determine the total immediate settlement of the rectangular footing shown in figure below after 2 months.


## Solution:

Since the soil profile is made up of two different soils, then the total immediate settlement will be:

$$
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}(\text { Total })}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}(\text { clay })}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}(\text { sand })}
$$

- Immediate Settlement of clay by Bjerrum's method:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i} \text { (average)flexible }}=\mu_{\mathrm{o}} \cdot \mu_{1} \frac{\mathrm{q} \cdot \mathrm{~B}}{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Fig.(5.4): for $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{f}} / \mathrm{B}=1 / 3=0.33$ and $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{B}=4 / 3=1.33 ; \mu_{\mathrm{o}}=0.93$
for $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{B}=2 / 3=0.66$ and $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{B}=1.33 ; \mu_{1}=0.38$

$$
\mathrm{S}_{1 \text { (average)flexible }}=(0.93)(0.38) \frac{(1200 / 3 \times 4)(3)(1000)}{(2 \times 8 \times 1000)}=\underline{\mathbf{6 . 6} \mathbf{~ m m}}
$$

- Immediate Settlement of sand by Schmertmann's method:

For square foundation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}=\frac{\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2}}{2.5} \Delta \mathrm{p} \sum_{0}^{2 \mathrm{~B}} \frac{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{z}} \Delta \mathrm{z}}{\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}} \tag{5.6a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{C}_{1}=1-0.5 \frac{\mathrm{P}_{0}^{\prime}}{\Delta \mathrm{p}} \geq 0.5$
At foundation level:
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}^{\prime}=D_{f} \cdot \gamma=1(20)=20 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \quad \Delta \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{A}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}^{\prime}=\frac{1200}{3 \mathrm{x} 4}-20=80 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$.
On sand surface:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}^{\prime}=D_{f} \cdot \gamma=3(20)=60 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \quad \Delta \mathrm{P}=\frac{(80)(3)(4)}{(3+2)(4+2)}=32 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \quad(2: 1 \text { method }) \\
& \mathrm{C}_{1}=1-0.5 \frac{60}{32}=0.06<0.5 \quad \therefore \quad \text { Use } \mathrm{C}_{1}=0.5 \\
& \mathrm{C}_{2}=1+0.2 \log _{10} \frac{\mathrm{t}}{0.1}=1+0.2 \log _{10} \frac{2 / 12}{0.1}=1.04 \\
& \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{z} \text { (avg.) }}=\frac{0.533+0.133}{2}=0.333, \quad \frac{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{z}} \cdot \Delta \mathrm{z}}{\mathrm{E}}=\frac{(0.333)(3)}{20000}=4.9 \cdot x \cdot 10^{-5} \\
& \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{i} \text { (sand) }}=(0.5)(1.04)(32)\left(4.9 . \mathrm{x} \cdot 10^{-5}\right)=\underline{\mathbf{0 . 8 1 5 ~ m m}} \\
& \therefore \quad \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}(\text { Total })}=6.6+0.815=\underline{\mathbf{7 . 4 1 5} \mathbf{~ m m}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Home work: Redo problem (5.3) but with sand instead of clay as shown in the figure below.

 (Ans.: $\left.\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}(\text { Total })}=5.75 \mathrm{~mm}\right)$.

### 5.6 PRIMARY CONSOLIDATION SETTLEMENT

### 5.6.1 Compression Index $C_{c}$ Method:

This method is adopted for normally and lightly overconsolidated clays. The compression index $C_{C}$ is the gradient of $e-\log P$ plot for normally consolidated clay. While for overconsolidated clay, $C_{C}$ is also the slope of the $e-\log P$ but beyond the preconsolidation pressure $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}}^{\prime} . C_{C}$ values obtained from oedometer tests are likely to be underestimated due to

1. For thick clay layer, better results in settlement calculation can be obtained by dividing a given clay layer into (n) sub-layers.
2. Calculate the effective stress $\sigma_{0_{(i)}}^{\prime}$ at the middle of each clay sub-layer.
3. Calculate the increase of stress at the middle of each sub-layer $\Delta \sigma_{(i)}$ due to the applied load.
4. Calculate $\Delta \mathrm{e}_{(\mathrm{i})}$ for each sub-layer from Eqs.(5.8a to 5.8e) mentioned before in method (A) -step 3, whichever is applicable.
5. Calculate the total consolidation settlement of the entire clay layer from:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{C}}=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \Delta \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{c}}=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \frac{\Delta \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}}{1+\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{o}}} \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \text { where } \quad \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{o}}=\omega_{\mathrm{o}} \cdot \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

|  | Values at mid-point of each sub-layer |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Layer | $\sigma_{\text {O(i) }}^{\prime}$ | $\Delta \sigma_{(i)}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{e}_{(\mathrm{i})}$ | $\omega_{0}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{o}}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\frac{\Delta \mathrm{e}_{(\mathrm{i})}}{1+\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{o}}} \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $S_{C}=\sum$ |



Fig.(5.5): Calculation of consolidation settlement Methods.

### 5.7 SKEMPTON - BJERRUM MODIFICATION FOR 3-DIMENTIONAL CONSOLIDATION

In one-dimensional consolidation tests, there is no lateral yield of the soil specimen and the ratio of minor to major principal effective stresses, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{o}}$, remains constant. In that case, the increase of pore water pressure due to an increase of vertical stress is equal in magnitude, (i.e., $\Delta \mathrm{u}=\Delta \sigma$ ) where $\Delta \mathrm{u}$ is the increase in pore water pressure and $\Delta \sigma$ is the increase of vertical stress. While for actual simulation of field condition, in 3-dimensions, any point in a clay layer due to a given load suffers from lateral yield and therefore, $K_{o}$ does not remain constant.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\therefore \quad S_{c}=\rho S_{c(\text { Oed })} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\rho=$ correction factor depends on pore-pressure parameter (A); obtained from Fig.(4.6).


Fig.(5.6): Settlement correction factor versus pore-pressure coefficient for circular and strip footings (after Skempton and Bjerrum, 1957).

Problem (5.4): (consolidation settlement- $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}}$ method)
A circular foundation 2 m in diameter is shown in the figure below. A normally consolidated clay layer 5 m thick is located below the foundation. Determine the consolidation settlement of the clay.


## Solution:

## (1) As one layer of clay of 5m thick:

At the center of clay: $\quad \sigma_{0}^{\prime}=1.5(17)+0.5(19-9.81)+2.5(18.5-9.81)=51.82 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
For circular loaded area, the increase of stress below the center is given by:
$\Delta \sigma=\mathrm{q}\left\{1-\frac{1}{\left[(\mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{z})^{2}+1\right]^{3 / 2}}\right\}$ where: $\mathrm{b}=$ the radius of the circular foundation,
At mid-depth of the clay layer: $z=3.5 \mathrm{~m} ; \Delta \sigma=150\left\{1-\frac{1}{\left[(1 / 3.5)^{2}+1\right]^{3 / 2}}\right\}=16.66 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
$\Delta e=C_{C} \log _{10} \frac{\sigma_{o}^{\prime}+\Delta \sigma}{\sigma_{o}^{\prime}}=0.16 \cdot \log _{10} \frac{51.82+16.66}{51.82}=0.0194$
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{e}}{1+\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{o}}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{t}}=\frac{0.0194}{1+0.85}(5)(1000)=\underline{\mathbf{5 2 . 4 ~ m m}}$
(2) Divide the clay layer into (5) sub-layers each of 1m thick:

- Calculation of effective stress at the middle of each sub-layer $\sigma_{o(i)}^{\prime}$ :

For $1^{\text {st }}$. Layer: $\quad \sigma_{o(1)}^{\prime}=1.5(17)+0.5(19-9.81)+0.5(18.5-9.81)=35.44 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
For $2^{\text {nd }}$. Layer: $\quad \sigma_{o(2)}^{\prime}=35.44+1.0(18.5-9.81)=35.44+8.69=43.13 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
For $3^{\text {rd }}$. Layer: $\quad \sigma_{o(3)}^{\prime}=43.13+8.69=51.81 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
For $4^{\text {th }}$. Layer: $\quad \sigma_{o(4)}^{\prime}=51.81+8.69=60.51 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$

For $5^{\text {th }}$. Layer: $\quad \sigma_{o(5)}^{\prime}=60.51+8.69=69.20 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$

- Calculation of increase of stress below the center of each sub-layer $\Delta \sigma_{(i)}$ :

For $1^{\text {st }}$. Layer: $\Delta \sigma_{(1)}=150\left\{1-\frac{1}{\left[(1 / 1.5)^{2}+1\right]^{3 / 2}}\right\}=63.59 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
For $2^{\text {nd }}$. Layer: $\Delta \sigma_{(2)}=150\left\{1-\frac{1}{\left[(1 / 2.5)^{2}+1\right]^{3 / 2}}\right\}=29.93 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
For 3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$. Layer: $\Delta \sigma_{(3)}=150\left\{1-\frac{1}{\left[(1 / 3.5)^{2}+1\right]^{3 / 2}}\right\}=16.66 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
For $4^{\text {th. }}$. Layer: $\Delta \sigma_{(4)}=150\left\{1-\frac{1}{\left[(1 / 4.5)^{2}+1\right]^{3 / 2}}\right\}=10.46 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
For $5^{\text {th }}$. Layer: $\Delta \sigma_{(5)}=150\left\{1-\frac{1}{\left[(1 / 5.5)^{2}+1\right]^{3 / 2}}\right\}=7.14 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$

| Layer no. | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}} \\ \mathbf{m} \end{gathered}$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{o}_{(\mathrm{i})}^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \sigma_{(\mathrm{i})} \\ \mathbf{k N} / \mathbf{m}^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{e}^{*}(\mathrm{i})$ | $\frac{\Delta \mathrm{e}_{(\mathrm{i})}}{1+\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{o}}} \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 35.44 | 63.59 | 0.0727 | 0.0393 |
| 2 | 1 | 43.13 | 29.93 | 0.0366 | 0.0198 |
| 3 | 1 | 51.82 | 16.66 | 0.0194 | 0.0105 |
| 4 | 1 | 60.51 | 10.46 | 0.0111 | 0.0060 |
| 5 | 1 | 69.20 | 7.14 | 0.00682 | 0.0037 |
| $\sum=0.0793$ |  |  |  |  |  |

$$
\Delta \mathrm{e}^{*}(\mathrm{i})=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{C}} \log _{10} \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{o}(\mathrm{i})}^{\prime}+\Delta \sigma_{(\mathrm{i})}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{o}(\mathrm{i})}^{\prime}} ; \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{C}}=0.16, \quad \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{o}}=0.85, \quad \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{C}}=0.0793 \mathrm{~m}=\underline{79.3 \mathrm{~mm}} .
$$

## (3) Weighted average pressure increase (Simpson's rule):

At the center of clay: $\sigma_{0}^{\prime}=1.5(17)+0.5(19-9.81)+2.5(18.5-9.81)=51.82 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
At $z=1.0 \mathrm{~m}$ from the base of foundation: $\Delta \sigma=150\left\{1-\frac{1}{\left[(1 / 1)^{2}+1\right]^{3 / 2}}\right\}=75 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
where, $m_{v}=$ volume.change.coefficient $=\frac{a_{v}}{1+e_{o}}, a_{v}=\frac{\Delta e}{\Delta p}=$ compressibility coefficient and $\mathrm{k}=$ permeability of soil.
(2) Second, the time factor $\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{V}}\right)$ is calculated from:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{v}}=\frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}} \cdot \mathrm{t}}{\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)^{2}} \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}$ (drainage path) $=\mathrm{H}$ (for one-way drainage) and
= H/2 (for two-way drainage).
(3) Third, with ( $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{v}}$ ) value obtained from Eq. (5.14), the degree of consolidation $\mathrm{U} \%$ at any time ( t ) is calculated from Fig.(5.8) depending on the distribution of the excess pore water pressure; or one of the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{\mathrm{V}}=\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\frac{\mathrm{U} \%}{100}\right)^{2} \quad \text { for } \mathrm{U} \leq 60 \% \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ \tag{5.15a}
\end{align*}
$$

(4) From the degree of consolidation $U \%$ at any time ( $t$ ), the settlement at any time is calculated from the following relation if the total settlement is known:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{t}}=\frac{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{t}}}{\mathrm{~S}_{\infty}}=\frac{\text { Settlement.at.any.time }(\mathrm{t})}{\text { Total.settlement }} \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\mathrm{S}_{\infty}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c}}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{sc}}$.

Note: $\mathrm{U} \%$ for any layer depends on pore water pressure distribution using Figs.(5.20a and 5.20b) to find $U_{t}$ at any time. But, for other shapes use division to suit with figures above as shown in the following example.

## Example:

$2 b$


Curve (1+3)


Curve (1)


Curve (3) 27

$$
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{A}}=\frac{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{B}} \cdot \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{B}}+\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{c}} \cdot \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{C}}}{\sum \mathrm{~A}}
$$

At $z=3.5 m$ from the base of foundation: $\Delta \sigma=150\left\{1-\frac{1}{\left[(1 / 3.5)^{2}+1\right]^{3 / 2}}\right\}=16.66 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
At $z=6.0 \mathrm{~m}$ from the base of foundation: $\Delta \sigma=150\left\{1-\frac{1}{\left[(1 / 6)^{2}+1\right]^{3 / 2}}\right\}=6.04 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
$\therefore \Delta \sigma_{\text {avg. }}=\frac{1}{6}\left(\Delta \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}+4 \Delta \sigma_{\mathrm{m}}+\Delta \sigma_{\mathrm{b}}\right)=\frac{1}{6}[75+4(16.66)+6.04]=24.61 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
$\Delta e=C_{c} \log _{10} \frac{\sigma_{o}^{\prime}+\Delta \sigma}{\sigma_{o}^{\prime}}=0.16 \cdot \log _{10} \frac{51.82+24.61}{51.82}=0.027$
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{C}}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{e}}{1+\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{o}}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{t}}=\frac{0.027}{1+0.85}(5)(1000)=\underline{\mathbf{7 2 . 9} \mathbf{~ m m}}$

Problem (5.5): (consolidation settlement $-m_{v}$ method)
A building is supported on a raft of ( $30 \mathrm{~m} \times 45 \mathrm{~m}$ ), the net pressure being $125 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ as shown in the figure below. Determine the settlement under the center of the raft due to consolidation of the clay.


## Solution:

From Ch.(4) the vertical stress below the corner of flexible rectangular or square loaded area

$$
\Delta \sigma_{\mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{I} . \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{o}}
$$

At mid-depth of the layer , $z=23.5 \mathrm{~m}$ below the center of the raft:
$\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=22.5 / 23.5=0.96$ and $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{z}=15 / 23.5=0.64$ therefore; $\mathrm{I}=0.140$
$\Delta \sigma_{\mathrm{z}}=(4)(0.140)(125)=70 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{v}} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{t}} \cdot \Delta \sigma \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c}}=(0.35)(70)(4)(1000)=\mathbf{9 8} \mathbf{~ m m}$.

### 5.8 SECONDARY CONSOLIDATION SETTLEMENT

It occurs after the primary consolidation settlement has finished when all pore water pressures have dissipated (see Fig.(5.7)). Secondary consolidation can be ignored for hard or overconsolidated soils. But, it is highly increased for organic soil such as peat. This can explained due to the redistribution of forces between particles after large structural rearrangements that occurred during the normal consolidation stage of the soil.


Fig. (5.7): Definition of secondary compression.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}}=\mathrm{C}_{\alpha} \cdot \mathrm{H} \cdot \log _{10} \frac{\mathrm{t}_{2}}{\mathrm{t}_{1}} . \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}}}=$ secondary consolidation settlement.
$\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}=$ coefficient of secondary consolidation; obtained from table below.
$\mathrm{H}=$ thickness of clay layer.
$\mathrm{t}_{1}=$ time of primary consolidation settlement, and
$t_{2}=$ time of secondary consolidation settlement.
To determine $t_{1}$ : from $T_{v}=\frac{C_{v} . t}{H^{2}}$ take $T_{v}=1.0$ and $t=t_{1}$; then $1.0=\frac{C_{v} \cdot t_{1}}{H^{2}}$ or $t_{1}=\frac{H^{2}}{C_{v}}$

Table (5.7): Values of $C_{\alpha}$ for some typical soils.

| Type of clay | $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Normally consolidated clay | $0.005-0.02$ |
| Plastic or organic soil | $\geq 0.03$ |


| Hard clay or overconsolidated clay with O.C.R $>2$ | $0.001-0.0001$ |
| :--- | :--- |

## Problem (5.6): (Total settlement)

As shown in the figure below, a footing 6 m square, carrying a net pressure of $160 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ is located at a depth of 2 m in a deposit of stiff clay 17 m thick; a firm stratum lies immediately below the clay. Form Oedometer tests on specimens of the clay, the value of $m_{v}$ was found to be $0.13 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{MN}$ and from Triaxial tests the value of $A$ was found to be 0.35 . The undrained Young's modulus for the clay is estimated to be $55 \mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. Determine the total settlement under the center of the footing.

## Square foundation (6m x 6m)



Firm stratum

## Solution:

## (1) Immediate settlement (Using Bjerrum method):

From Fig.(5.4): for $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{B}=15 / 6=2.5, \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{B}=1$ and $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{f}} / \mathrm{B}=2 / 6=0.33$
$\mu_{o}=0.91$ and $\mu_{1}=0.60$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i} \text { (average)flexible }}=\mu_{\mathrm{o}} \cdot \mu_{1} \frac{\mathrm{q} \cdot \mathrm{~B}}{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots  \tag{5.7}\\
& \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{i} \text { (average)flexible }}=(0.91)(0.60) \frac{(160)(6)(1000)}{(55 \times 1000)}=9.5 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{align*}
$$

(2) Consolidation settlement ( $m_{\underline{v}}-$ method):

From Ch.(4), the vertical stress below the corner of flexible rectangular or square loaded area

$$
\Delta \sigma_{\mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{I} . \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{o}}
$$

At mid-depth of each 3 m depth as shown in the table below:

| Layer <br> no. | z <br> $\mathbf{( m )}$ | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{z}$ | I <br> From Ch.(4) <br> Fig.(4.15) | $\Delta \sigma^{\prime}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{k N} / \mathbf{m}^{2}\right)$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{oed})}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{v}} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{t}} \cdot \Delta \sigma^{\prime}$ <br> $(\mathbf{m m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.5 | 2.00 | 0.233 | 149 | 58.1 |
| 2 | 5.5 | 0.67 | 0.121 | 78 | 30.4 |
| 3 | 7.5 | 0.40 | 0.060 | 38 | 15.8 |
| 4 | 10.5 | 0.285 | 0.033 | 21 | 8.2 |
| 5 | 13.5 | 0.222 | 0.021 | 13 | 5.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

For $1^{\text {st }}$. Layer: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=3 / 1.5=2.00$ and $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{z}=3 / 1.5=2.00$ therefore; $\mathrm{I}=0.233$
$\Delta \sigma_{\mathrm{z}}^{\prime}=(4)(160)(\mathrm{I})$ $\qquad$ . $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{C}(\text { oed })}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{v}} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{t}} \cdot \Delta \mathrm{P} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$S_{C(\text { oed })}=(0.13)\left(\Delta \sigma_{\mathrm{z}}^{\prime}\right)(3)(1000) \ldots \ldots . .(\mathrm{mm})$.

## (3) Correction for A pore water pressure:

From Fig.(5.6): for $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{B}=15 / 6.77=2.2$ (equivalent diameter $=6.77 \mathrm{~m}$ ) and $\mathrm{A}=0.35$;
$\rho_{\text {circle }}=0.55$ then, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{oed})}=(0.55)(116.6)=64 \mathrm{~mm}$.
$\therefore$ Total settlement $=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{C}}=9.5+64=\underline{73.5 \mathrm{~mm}}$

### 5.9 DEGREE OR RATE OF SETTLEMENT

It is the ratio of consolidation at time ( t ) to that of $100 \%$ consolidation when the pore water pressure was diminishes. It is calculated as follows:
(1) First, from Oedometer tests, the coefficient of consolidation $\left(C_{v}\right)$ is calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{v}=\frac{k}{m_{v} \cdot \gamma_{w}} \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig.(5.8): Variation of average degree of consolidation and time factor (for EPWP conditions given in Figs. $a$, and b) .
(a) 1-D drainage


Curve (1)


Curve (2)


Curve (3)
(b) 2-D drainage


From Fig.(5.8) for $T_{v}=0.50 ; \mathrm{U}_{1}=76 \%$ (curve 1) and for $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{v}}=0.50 ; \mathrm{U}_{2}=69 \%$ (curve 2)

$$
\mathrm{U}_{\text {avg. }}=\frac{\mathrm{U}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{U}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{~A}_{2}}{\sum \mathrm{~A}}=\frac{0.76(4)(100)+0.69(4)(150) / 2}{\left(\frac{100+250}{2}\right)(4)}=0.73=73 \%
$$

(2) To calculate the time required for any degree $\%$ of consolidation, take several times $t_{i}$ (year) and find the corresponding $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{i} \text { (avg.) }}$ as follows:

| $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}$ (year) | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{V}}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{avg} .}=\frac{\mathrm{U}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{U}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{~A}_{2}}{\sum \mathrm{~A}}$ (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0.25 | 0.55 | 0.45 | $50.7<62$ |
| 12 | 0.30 | 0.62 | 0.50 | $56.8<62$ |
| 15 | 0.375 | 0.67 | 0.57 | $62.7 \approx 62$ |
| 18 | 0.45 | 0.72 | 0.64 | $68.5>62$ |

## Sample of Calculation:

- For $\mathrm{t}=10$ (years): $\quad \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{v}}=\frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}} \cdot \mathrm{t}}{\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)^{2}}=\frac{(0.4)(10)}{(4)^{2}}=0.25$

From Fig. (5.8) for $T_{v}=0.25 ; \mathrm{U}_{1}=55 \%$ (curve 1) and

$$
\text { for } \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{v}}=0.25 ; \mathrm{U}_{2}=45 \% \text { (curve 2) }
$$

$$
\mathrm{U}_{\text {avg. }}=\frac{\mathrm{U}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{U}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{~A}_{2}}{\sum \mathrm{~A}}=\frac{0.55(4)(100)+0.45(4)(150) / 2}{\left(\frac{100+250}{2}\right)(4)}=0.507=50.7 \%
$$

- After drawing $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{i} \text { (avg.) }}$ versus $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i} \text { (year) }}$ as obtained from table above; it can be seen that the required time for $62 \%$ consolidation = 15 (years).

Problem (5.9): (consolidation for layered soils)
A raft foundation is placed at surface of a normally consolidated clay layers with internal sand drain layers as shown in the figure below. Determine the \% degree of consolidation after 10 years if the PWP distribution is as given in the same figure.


## Solution:

(1) Calculate ( $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{v}}$ ) for each clay layer; $1,2,3$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{v} 1}=\left[\frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v} 1} \cdot \mathrm{t}}{\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)^{2}}\right]=\frac{0.4(10)}{(2 / 2)^{2}}=4, \\
& \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{v} 2}=\left[\frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v} 2} \cdot \mathrm{t}}{\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)^{2}}\right]=\frac{0.3(10)}{(3 / 2)^{2}}=1.333, \text { and }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{U}_{3}=\frac{\mathrm{U}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{U}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{~A}_{2}}{\sum \mathrm{~A}}=\frac{0.38(4)(50)+0.22(4)(50) / 2}{\left(\frac{50+100}{2}\right)(4)}=0.326=33 \%
$$

The average degree of consolidation after (10) years for all layers is calculated from:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{i}(\text { avg. })}=\mathrm{U}_{(\mathrm{t})}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{c}}}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{c} 1} \mathrm{U}_{1}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c} 2} \mathrm{U}_{2}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c} 3} \mathrm{U}_{3}+\ldots \ldots . . .\right) \\
& \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{i}(\text { avg. })}=\frac{1}{16.86}[(9.55)(1.00)+(4.85)(0.95)+(2.46)(0.33)]=0.89=\mathbf{8 9} \%
\end{aligned}
$$

