On The Solutions Of Quasi – Lyapunov Operator Equations

Asst.Prof.Dr.. Emad A. Kuffi *

Abstract

In this paper, some theorems are modified to ensure the existence and uniqueness of the solution for the Quasi – Lyapunov operator equation.

Also, the range of the Quasi – Lyapunov equation is studied. As well as, the nature of the solution for the Quasi – Lyapunov operator equation is studied for special types of operators.

Keywords: Lyapunov equations, Sylvester equation, Linear operator equations, Sylvester – Rosenblum Theorem.

-1-

^{*} Al-Mansour University College

(1) Introduction

In this introduction, we give some types of linear operator equations:

(1) The linear operator equation of the form

Where A and W are given operators defined on Hilbert space H, and X is the unknown operator that must be determined. This linear operator equation is called the Lyapunov operator equation, or the continuous – time Lyapunov equation, [3] and [5].

The author in reference [3] studied the necessary and sufficient conditions for the solvability of this linear operator equation.

(2) A special case of the continuous – time Lyapunov operator equation

$$AX + XA = W$$
,(2)

Where A and W are known operators defined on a Hilbert space H, and X is the unknown operator that must be determined, [3] and [4].

(3) The linear operator equation of the form

 $AX + X^*A = W$,(3)

Where A and W are given operators defined on a Hilbert space H, and X is the unknown operator that must be determined, X^* is the adjoint of X. These linear operator equations (2) and (3) are called quasi Lyapunov operator equations or quasi – continuous – time Lyapunov linear operator equations.

- 2 -

2014

(2)_The Quasi – Continuous – Time Lyaponov Operator Equations:

The continuous – time Lyapunov equations, are much studied because of it's importance in differential equations and control theory, [6]. Therefore we devote the studying of the quasi continuous – time Lyapunov operator equations.

Now, does eq. (2) and eq. (3) have a solution?

If yes, is it unique?

To answer this equation, recall the Sylvester – Rosenblum theorem, [5].

Sylvester – Rosenblum Theorem (2.1):

If A and B are operators in B(H) such that $\sigma(A)\cap\sigma(B) = \Phi$, then AX-XB = Y has a unique solution X fore every operator Y.

According to the Sylvester – Rosenblum theorem, we have the following corollary:

Corollary (2.1):

If A is an operator such that $\sigma(A)\cap\sigma(-A) = \Phi$, then eq. (2) has a unique X for every operator W.

Proposition (2.1): Consider eq. (2), if $\sigma(A)\cap\sigma(-A) = \Phi$, then The operator $\begin{bmatrix} A & -W \\ 0 & -A \end{bmatrix}$ is defined on $H_1 \oplus H_2$ is similar to $\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & -A \end{bmatrix}$. Proof:

Since $\sigma(A)\cap\sigma(-A) = \Phi$. Then by Sylvester – Rosenblum theorem eq. (2) has a unique solution X, also:

-3-

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & X \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & -A \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & -W \\ 0 & -A \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & X \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

But
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & X \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 is invertible so
$$\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & -A \end{bmatrix}$$
 is similar to
$$\begin{bmatrix} A & -W \\ 0 & -A \end{bmatrix}.$$

The converse of the above proposition is not true in general as we see the following example.

$$\begin{split} \underline{\text{Example:}} \\ \text{Let } H &= \ell_2(\text{C}), \text{ that is,} \\ \ell_2(\text{C}) &= \left\{ X = (x_1, x_2, \ldots) : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left| x_i \right|^2 < \infty, x_i \in \text{C} \right\} \\ \text{Define } \text{A: } H \longrightarrow \text{H by } \text{A} \left(x_1, x_2, \ldots \right) = (x_1, 0, 0, \ldots). \text{ Consider eq. (2),} \\ \text{where } W \left(x_1, x_2, \ldots \right) = (0, x_1, 0, \ldots). \text{ Then } X = \text{U is A solution of this} \\ \text{equation since } AX + XA = (x_1, x_2, \ldots) = (AU + UA)(x_1, x_2, \ldots) \\ A(0, x_1, x_2, \ldots) + U(x_1, 0, 0, \ldots) = (0, 0, 0, \ldots) + (0, x_1, 0, \ldots) = (0, x_1, 0, 0) = WX \\ \cdot \\ \text{On the other hand, U is solution of eq. (2) and} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & U \\ 0 & -A \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & -A \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & -W \\ 0 & -A \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & U \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \\ \begin{bmatrix} A & -W \\ 0 & -A \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & -W \\ 0 & -A \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & U \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, $\begin{bmatrix} A & -W \\ 0 & -A \end{bmatrix}$ is similar to $\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & -A \end{bmatrix}$. Moreover 0 is an eigenvalue of A and X= $(0, x_2, ...)$ is the associated eigenvector.

.

Therefore, $0 \in \sigma(A) \cap \sigma(-A)$ and hence $\sigma(A) \cap \sigma(-A) \neq \Phi$

-4-

2014

(3) The Nature of the solution of Quasi – Continuous – Time Lyaponov Operator Equations:

In this paper, we study the nature of the solution of eq. (2) for special types of operators.

Remark (3.1):

If W is self – adjoint operator, and A is any operator, then eq. (2) may or may not have solution. Moreover, if it has a solution then it may be non self – adjoint.

This remark can easily be checked in matrices.

Next if A and W are self – adjoint operators, what condition can one put on A (or W) to ensure the existence of self – adjoint solution for eq. (2).

The following theorem gives one such condition.

<u>Theorem (3.1):</u>

Let A and W be positive self – adjoint operators. If $0 \notin \sigma(A)$, then the solution X of eq. (2) is self – adjoint.

Proof:

Since $0 \notin \sigma(A)$ then it is easy to see that $\sigma(A) \cap \sigma(-A) = \Phi$ and hence eq. (2) has a unique solution X by Sylvester – Rosenblum theorem.

Moreover,

$$(AX + XA)^* = W^*,$$

 $A^*X^* + X^*A^* = W^*,$

Since A and W are self – a joint operators, then $AX^* + X^*A = W$.

Therefore, X^* is also a solution of eq. (2). By the uniqueness of the solution on gets $X=X^*$.

Proposition (3.1):

- 5 -

If A and W are self – adjoint operators, and the solution of the eq. (3) exists, then the solution X is a unique. <u>Proof:</u> Consider

 $AX + X^*A = W$,

Since W is self – adjoint operator,

$$(AX + X^*A)^* = W^*,$$

 $A^*(X^*)^* + X^*A^* = W^*,$

Since A is self – adjoint operator,

 $AX\!+\!X^*A\!=\!W\,, \text{ Since the solution exists, then }X$ is a unique.

The following proposition shows that if operators A and W are skew – adjoint, and the solution of eq. (3) exists then this solution is unique.

Proposition (3.2):

If A and W are skew – adjoint operators, and solution of eq. (3) exists, then the solution X is a unique. Proof:

Consider eq. (3),

$$AX + X^*A = W$$
.

Since W is a skew adjoint operator, so

$$-(AX + X^*A)^* = -W^*, -(A^*(X^*)^* + X^*A^*) = -W^*, (-A)X + X^*(-A^*) = -W^*,$$

Since A and W are skew – adjoint operators, then

$$AX + X^*A = W$$
.

Since the solution X exists, then the solution X is a unique.

Remark (3.2):

-6-

If A is a self – adjoint operator and W is a skew – adjoint. Then the solution X of eq. (3) is not necessarily exists.

Remark (3.3):

If W is a self – adjoint operator and A is any operator, then the solution X of Eq. (3) is not necessarily self – adjoint operator.

The following example explains this remark.

Example (3.1):

Consider eq. (3), take $W = W^* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, and $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $AX + X^*A = W$. After simple computations one can gets $X = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \neq X^*$, Under the equation of th

Remark (3.4):

If W is a skew - adjoint and A is any operator, then the solution X of Eq. (3) is not necessarily exists.

The following example explains this remark.

Example (3.2):

-7-

Consider eq. (3), take $W = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$AX + X^* A = W.$$

Proposition (3.3):

If A is a compact operator then the eq. (3) is compact.

Proof:

Since A is compact then X^*A is also compact. Since A is compact then XA is also compact.

Since AX and X^*A are compact then AX + X^{*}A is compact. Therefore W is compact.

(4) On the Range of $\rho_{\rm A}$:

In this section we study and discuss the range of $ho_{
m A}$,

 $\rho(\mathsf{X}) = \rho_{\mathsf{A}}(\mathsf{X}) = \mathsf{A}\mathsf{X} + \mathsf{X}^*\mathsf{A}, \quad \mathsf{X} \in \mathsf{B}(\mathsf{H})$

Where A is a fixed operator in B(H).

It is clear that the map $\,\rho_{\rm A}\,$ is a linear map. Also the, map $\,\rho_{\rm A}\,$ is bounded, since.

$$\|\rho_{A}\| = \|AX + X^{*}A\| \le \|AX\| + \|X^{*}A\| \le \|A\| \|X\| + \|X^{*}\| \|A\|$$

since $\|X^{*}\| = \|X\|$.

Therefore, $\left\| \rho_{A} \right\| \leq 2 \left\| A \right\| \left\| X \right\|$,

Let $\|M=2\|A\|\!\ge\!0$, so $\|\rho_A\|\!\le\!M\,\|X\|.$ Then ρ_A is bounded.

مجلة المنصور العدد (21) _____ 2014

The following steps shows that Range $(\rho_A)^* \neq$ Range ($ho_{
m A}$), , h

Range
$$(\rho_A)^* = \left\{ \left(AX + X^*A \right)^*, X \in B(H) \right\},$$

 $= \left\{ A^*X + X^*A^*, X \in B(H) \right\},$
 \neq Range $(\rho_A).$
Also, α Range $(\rho_A) = \left\{ \alpha \left(AX + X^*A \right), X \in B(H) \right\}$
 $= \left\{ A (\alpha X) + (\alpha X)^*A, X \in B(H) \right\}$
Let $\alpha X = X.$

Let $\alpha X = X_1$

$$\alpha \text{ Range } (\rho_A) = \left\{ A^* X_1 + X_1 A \quad , \quad X_1 \in B(H) \right\}$$
$$= \text{ Range } (\tau_A).$$

The following remark shows the mapping $\, \rho_{\mathrm{A}} \,$ is not – a derivation.

Remark (4.1):

Since
$$\rho_A(XY) = A(XY) + (XY)^*A$$

= $A(XY) + Y^*X^*A$.
For all X, Y $\in B(H)$,

And X $\rho_{\rm A}$ (Y) = [AY + Y^{*}A] ,

Also,
$$\rho_A(X)Y = (AX + X^A)Y$$
,

 $= AXY + X^*AY.$

Then one can deduce that:

 $\rho_{A}(XY) \neq X \rho_{A}(Y) + \rho_{A}(X)Y$.

Now the following remark shows the mapping $\,
ho_{\mathrm{A}} \,$ is also

not ^{*}- a derivation.

Remark (4.2):

Since $\rho_A (X + Y) = A(X + Y) + (X + Y)^* A$,

=
$$AX+AY + X^*A + Y^*A$$
,
= $AX + X^*A + AY + Y^*A$,
= $\rho_A(X) + \rho_A(Y)$.

Now,

$$\begin{split} X \, \rho_{\rm A} \, ({\rm X}) + \, \rho_{\rm A} \, ({\rm X}) {\rm X}^{*} &= {\rm X} \, [{\rm A} {\rm X} + {\rm X}^{*} {\rm A}] + [{\rm A} {\rm X} + {\rm X}^{*} {\rm A}] \, {\rm X}^{*} \, , \\ &= {\rm X} {\rm A} {\rm X} + {\rm X} {\rm X}^{*} {\rm A} + {\rm A} {\rm X} {\rm X}^{*} + {\rm X}^{*} {\rm A} {\rm X}^{*} \, , \\ &{\rm so} \qquad \rho_{\rm A} \, \left({\rm X}^{2} \right) = \left({\rm A} {\rm X}^{2} + \left({\rm X}^{*} \right)^{2} {\rm A} \right) , \\ &{\rm and} \qquad \rho_{\rm A} \, \left({\rm X}^{2} \right) \neq {\rm X} \, \rho_{\rm A} \, ({\rm X}) + \, \rho_{\rm A} \, ({\rm X}) {\rm X}^{*} \, . \end{split}$$

then ρ_A is not ^{*}- a derivation.

(5) On the Range of μ_A (X):

In this section, we study and discuss the range of $\,\mu_{\mathrm{A}}\,$, where

$$\mu_{A} = \mu_{A} (X) = AX + XA$$
, $X \in B(H)$

Where A is a fix operator in B(H)).

It is clear that the map $\mu_{\rm A}$ is a linear map. Also, the map $\mu_{\rm A}$ is bounded, since $\|\mu_A\| = \|AX + XA\| \le M\|X\|$,

Where $M = 2 \|A\| \ge 0$. Then μ_A is bounded.

The following steps shows that Range $(\mu_A)^* \neq$ Range $(\mu_{\rm A}).$ Range $(\mu_A)^* = \{(AX + XA)^*, X \in B(H)\},\$ = $\{A^*X^* + X^*A^*, X \in B(H)\},\$ \neq Range (μ_{Λ}). Also, α Range (μ_A) = { α (AX + XA) , X \in B(H)}, = { $A(\alpha X) + (\alpha X)A$, $X \in B(H)$ }

Let $\alpha X = X_1$

- 10 -

=
$$\{AX_1 + X_1A, X_1 \in B(H)\}$$

= Range (μ_A) .

The following remark shows that the mapping $\,\mu_{\rm A}\,$ is not a derivation.

 $\begin{array}{l} \hline Remark \ (5.1): \\ & \text{Since } \mu_A \ (\text{XY}) = \text{A}(\text{XY}) + (\text{XY})\text{A} \ , \\ \hline \text{For all } X, Y \in B(\text{H}) \ , \\ & \text{And X } \mu_A \ (\text{Y}) = \text{X}(\text{AY}) + \text{X}(\text{YA}) \ , \\ & = \text{XAY} + \text{XYA} \ , \\ \hline \text{Also, } \mu_A \ (\text{X})\text{Y} = \text{AXY} + \text{XAY} \ , \\ & \text{Then one can deduce that:} \\ & \mu_A \ (\text{XY}) \neq \text{X} \ \mu_A \ (\text{Y}) + \ \mu_A \ (\text{X})\text{Y} \ . \\ & \text{Now, the following remark shows that the mapping } \mu_A \ \text{is} \end{array}$

also not ^{*}- a derivation. <u>Remark (5.2):</u>

Since
$$\mu_A (X+Y) = A(X+Y) + (X+Y)A$$
,
= AX +AY + XA + YA,
= (AX + XA) + (AY + YA),
= $\mu_A (X) + \mu_A (Y)$.

Now,

$$\begin{split} X \,\mu_{A} \,(X) + \,\mu_{A} \,(X) X^{*} &= X[AX + XA] + [AX + XA] X^{*}. \\ &= XAX + X^{2}A + AXX^{*} + XAX^{*}. \\ \text{So,} \qquad \mu_{A} \,(X^{2}) &= (AX^{2} + X^{2}A) \,, \end{split}$$

And

$$\mu_{\rm A} ({\rm X}^2) \neq {\rm X} \, \mu_{\rm A} ({\rm X}) + \, \mu_{\rm A} ({\rm X}) {\rm X}^*$$

Therefore μ_A is not ^{*}- a derivation.

- 11 -

References

[1]. Bhatia R.A., note on the Lyapunov equation, linear algebra and it's applications vol.259, pp (71 - 76), 1997.

[2]. Bhatia R., and Sner,L. Positive linear maps and the Lyapunov equation, operator theory: advances and applications vol.130, pp(107 - 120), 2001.

[3]. Bhatia R. and Rosenthal, P. How and why to solve the operator equation AX - XB = Y, Bull – London Math.soc., vol.29, pp(1 – 12), 1997.

[4]. Bahita R. Davis C. and koosis P. An external problem in Fourier analysis with applications to operator theory, J. Functional Anal. Vol.82, pp (138 – 150), 1989.



حول حلول معادلات ليبانوف المؤثرة

أ<u>م د.</u> عماد عباس كوفي*

المستخلص

في هذا البحث ،تم تطوير بعض المبرهنات لأثبات وجود وحدانية الحل لمعادلات شبه ليبانوف المؤثرة وطبيعة الحل لمعادلات شبه ليبانوف المؤثرة لأنواع خاصة من المؤثرات.

- 13 -

^{*} كلية المنصور الجامعة